

Toward Consensus on Definitions of PD and Synergy for Claims in Drug Regulatory Decisions

Ting-Chao Chou, Ph.D.

Founder & President, PD Science LLC (USA)

**A Public Hearing Presentation at FDA-DQMM
Workshop, Silver Spring MD
October 2-3, 2017**

Trends of Drug Combination Methods for Synergy Determination, 1900-2017*

Method, and Reference Source		Thomson Reuters Web of Science Citation Database					Total Citations Since Publication	Average Citations per year
		Trend of Citation						
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017*		
A.	Chou, TC & Talalay, P Adv. Eng. Regul. 1984; 22:27-55 [ME & CI Theory]	283	331	308	308	210	<u>4,252</u>	129.8
B.	Chou, TC Pharmacol. Rev. 2006;58: 621-681 [CI Review]	159	208	266	307	205	<u>1,625</u>	151.2
C.	Chou TC Cancer Res. 2010; 70: 440-446 [CI Perspectives]	124	176	234	286	206	<u>1,160</u>	171.9
D.	Berenbaum, MC Pharmacol. Rev. 1989; 41: 93-141	46	43	38	50	25	1,021	36.8
E.	Bliss, CI Ann. Appl. Biol. 1939; 26: 585-615	70	77	73	109	60	927	11.9
F.	Greco, WR et al Pharmacol. Rev. 1995; 47: 331-385	57	56	69	80	44	794	36.5
G.	Steel GG & Peckham MJ Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. BioPhys. 1979; 5: 85-91	14	16	18	18	12	722	19.1
H.	Elion GB, Singer S & Hitchings GH J. Biol. Chem. 1954; 208: 477-488	4	4	7	3	4	461	7.3
I.	Tallarida, RJ J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2001; 298: 865-872	35	35	44	34	17	430	27.3
J.	Prichard, MN & Shipman C Jr Antiviral Res. 1990; 14: 181-205	16	24	26	35	20	414	15.5
K.	Webb J.L. Acad. Press. 1963; 1: 66-79, 488-512	15	8	11	7	7	350#	6.5
L.	Loewe, S Pharmacol. Rev. 1957; 9: 237-242	4	5	2	0	2	124	2.1

*Based on Thomson Reuters Web of Science **all database**, as of September 23, 2017. (Citation numbers are higher in Google Scholar Citations).

#Based on Google Scholar Citations, as of September 23, 2017.

CompuSyn

For Single Drug and Drug Combinations

[An One Second Automated PD Data Analysis Based on The Mass-Action Law]

A Computer Program for Quantitation of
Synergism and Antagonism in Drug Combinations,
and the Determination of IC_{50} , ED_{50} and LD_{50} Values.

By Ting-Chao Chou (MSKCC)

and Nick Martin (MIT)

Published and Distributed by ComboSyn, Inc.

©Copyright 2004, On Market (2004-2012)

Offered for Free Download as Donations *upon Registration*: Since 8/1/2012

[As of 9/23/2017: 22,964 Downloads by Scientists from 121 Countries]

<http://www.combosyn.com>

– PD Science, LLC

Comparison of Two-Drug Combinations for Anti-Cancer Agents Using Econo-Green Small Size Experimentation

[Chou TC, InAm J Cancer Res 1(7): 925-954, 2011]

[Chou TC, Integrative Biol. 3: 548-559, 2011]

	In Vitro	In Animal	In Clinic (I)
Time & Effort	2 weeks	2 months	>1 year
Non-wage Cost	\$200 [cells and chemicals]	\$3,000 [nude mice]	Expensive Trials [Vary]
Sample Size	> 2 x 10 ⁶ [cells]	> 65 [nude mice] [Chou-Talalay method]	> 36 [vary]
“Practical” Minimum of Data Points (Econo-Green)	16	10	10
Quantitative “Synergy” Determination	Very Easy [But frequently not done properly in the past]	Not Difficult [Rarely properly done in the past]	Difficult Use Surrogate Markers and Fractional Doses

Tales of Two Anti-HIV Clinical Trials

AZT + 3TC

AZT + INF_α

Authors	J.J. Eron et al. (9 authors + Northern Am. HIV Working Party)	D. Mildvan et al. (21 authors)
Publication	<u>N. Engl. J. Med.</u> 333: 1662-1669, 1995	<u>Antiviral Therapy</u> 1(2): 77-88, 1996
Journal Impact Factor	28.5	3.1
Number of Patients	366	36
Surrogate Marker	CD ₄ ⁺ , HIV-RNA	P24 Antigen, CD ₄ ⁺
Treatment Design	Fractionated Repeated Doses AZT <u>Single Dose</u> , 3TC 2 Doses	Fractionated Repeated Doses Both Drugs have 3 Doses
What They Have Proved	“Combination Effect is Greater than Each Drug Alone” <u>Not Possible to Claim Synergism</u> A+B > A, A+B > B (p<0.001). Axiom Does Not Need A Proof !	“Quantitative Determination of Synergism Using Combination Index Method” (CI < 1 determined synergism) Used Chou-Talalay Method. Adv. Enz. Regul. 22: 27-55, 1984

Conclusion: Synergy is Not determined by *p* values but rather by the CI values
Synergy is Not a Statistical Issue but rather a Mass-Action Law Issue
[Chou T.C. *Integrative Biol.* 3: 548-559, 2011; *Am J Cancer Res* 1: 925-954,2011]

New Emphasis on Pharmacodynamics (PD) Over Pharmacokinetics (PK)

[Presented at *Drug Development Summit, Zurich, Switzerland*. by Chou TC 6.08.2011; *Am J Cancer Res* 1(7): 925-954, 2011]

Items	PD	PK
Mode of action	<i>What drug does to the body</i>	<i>What body does to the drug</i>
Characteristics	Mainly vary dose Single Unified Theory	Mainly vary time Multi-factorial Mix
Principle	The median-effect principle of the mass-action law	Empirical phenomenal /observations
Rigorousness	Explicitly derived equations	Empirically perceived formula
Applications	Physico/chemical quantitative parameters	Probabilistic empiric parameters
Parameters & Constants [<i>Defined PD</i> And <i>Empirical PK</i>]	D_m , m , r , CI , DRI , IC_{50} , K_m , K_i , K_a and K_d Competitiveness, Exclusivity, Synergism, Antagonism [Mass-action parameters for potency, shape, dynamic order, and interaction indices]	$t_{1/2}$, C_{max} , CI , AUC , V_{dis} Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion [Measurement of Parameters without direct physico-chemical bearing]
Determining Efficacy	Yes	No
Determining Toxicity	Yes	No
Determinant for	<i>What it takes to be a good drug</i>	<i>Help proper use of a drug</i>