

FDA Proposes Sunscreen Monograph Updates

September 2021

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates sunscreens to ensure they meet safety and effectiveness standards. To improve the quality, safety, and effectiveness of sunscreens, FDA issued a proposed order that describes updated proposed monograph requirements for sunscreens. Sun safety is important for everyone, including people of all skin tones. Consumers should continue to use sun protection measures, including using broad spectrum sunscreen of SPF 15 or higher, as this important update to the monograph moves forward.



Highlights of FDA's Proposals



Sunscreen active ingredient safety and effectiveness

Two ingredients (zinc oxide and titanium dioxide) are proposed to be safe and effective for sunscreen use and two (aminobenzoic acid (PABA) and trolamine salicylate) are proposed as not safe and effective for sunscreen use. FDA proposes that it needs more safety information for the remaining 12 sunscreen ingredients (cinoxate, dioxybenzone, ensulizole, homosalate, meradimate, octinoxate, octisalate, octocrylene, padimate O, sulisobenzone, oxybenzone, avobenzone).



Sunscreen dosage forms

Sunscreen sprays, oils, lotions, creams, gels, butters, pastes, ointments, and sticks are proposed as safe and effective. FDA proposes that it needs more data for sunscreen powders.



New proposed sun protection factor (SPF) and broad spectrum requirements

- Raise the maximum proposed labeled SPF from SPF 50+ to SPF 60+
- Require any sunscreen SPF 15 or higher to be broad spectrum
- Require for all broad spectrum products SPF 15 and above, as SPF increases, broad spectrum protection increases



New proposed label requirements

- Include alphabetical listing of active ingredients on the front panel
- Require sunscreens with SPF below 15 to include "See Skin Cancer/Skin Aging alert" on the front panel
- Require font and placement changes to ensure SPF, broad spectrum, and water resistance statements stand out



Sunscreen-insect repellent combination products proposed not safe and effective

