



**Alternative methods for agribusiness
Analytical performances certified**

**VALIDATION CERTIFICATE FOR ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL METHOD
ACCORDING TO STANDARD EN ISO 16140: 2003**

Certificate No.: CHR 21/01 – 12/01

Validation date:	13.12.2001
Renewal dates:	10.03.2006*
	25.09.2009
	03.10.2013
End of validity:	13.12.2017

* EN ISO 16140 protocol was used in 2005 during the first renewal study

The Company **CHROMagar**
(Head office) 4, place du 18 juin 1940
75006 Paris – France

Site of **CHROMagar**
production 60 – 62 avenue de Saxe
75015 Paris – France

Retailer **Laboratoires HUMEAU**
4, rue Képler
ZA de Gesvrine – BP 4125
44241 La Chapelle-sur-Erdre Cedex – France

is hereby authorized to refer to this NF VALIDATION certificate for the following alternative qualitative analysis method :

CHROMagar™ Listeria

Validated for the detection of *Listeria monocytogenes*

Protocol reference: CHROMagar™ Listeria: NT-EXT-009 – Version 5
CHROMagar™ Identification Listeria: NT-EXT-026 – Version 4

SCOPE

All human food products and production environment samples.

RESTRICTIONS

None.

REFERENCE METHOD

EN ISO 11290-1 (February 1997) and its amendment A1 (February 2005): Food microbiology – Horizontal method for detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* – Part 1: Detection method.



**Managing Director
Florence MÉAUX**

AFNOR Certification

11, rue Francis de Pressensé – 93571 La Plaine Saint-Denis Cedex - France
Phone +33 (0)1 41 62 80 00 – Fax +33 (0)1 49 17 90 00

www.afnor.org - www.afnor-validation.com

PRINCIPLE OF THE METHOD

CHROMagar™ *Listeria* method includes a single enrichment step in half Fraser broth followed by a isolation of 100µl onto a single CHROMagar™ *Listeria* plate which is a chromogenic medium allowing the specific detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* after 24 hours.

In case of absence of typical colonies after 24 hours of incubation on CHROMagar™ *Listeria*, the method indicates absence of *L.monocytogenes*, thus after a total of 48 hours.

In the context of NF VALIDATION, all samples identified as positive by the alternative method must be confirmed by one of the following means:

- According to classical tests described in methods standardized by CEN or ISO (including a purification step), starting from CHROMagar™ *Listeria*.
- Directly from a typical colony from CHROMagar™ *Listeria* by spotting the colony onto CHROMagar™ Identification *Listeria*: *Listeria monocytogenes* displays a mauve colour surrounded by a white opaque halo.

In the event of discordant results (presumptive positive with alternative method, non-confirmed by means of options described above), the laboratory must follow the necessary steps to ensure validity of the result obtained.

NOTE (validation history)

In March 2006, the validation of the method was renewed. Since its first validation granted in 2001, the CHROMagar™ *Listeria* method has not been modified. A new mode of confirmation for the positive samples by CHROMagar™ Identification *Listeria* test has been added. The reference method has been changed (addition of amendment A1) and the protocol described in standard EN ISO 16140 has been applied. The study has been entirely done again, except for the practicability study from 2001 completed to test the use of the new confirmation option.

For the renewal study of September 2009, no additional validation assays were implemented. Since the previous validation in 2006, the formula of CHROMagar™ *Listeria* numeration test has not changed, as well as the reference method and the protocol described in standard EN ISO 16140.

In October 2013, the validation of the method has been renewed without conducting additional validation study because, nor the alternative method, nor the reference method, nor the validation protocol change since the previous validation study.

Relative ACCURACY, relative SPECIFICITY and relative SENSITIVITY Comparison of performances of the alternative method and the reference method

In 2005 tests were carried out on 439 product samples, of which 88 were naturally contaminated, 76 artificially contaminated, and 275 non-contaminated, belonging to the following principal food product categories: meat products, dairy products, seafood, vegetables and environment samples.

All samples were analysed **in single** by the **two methods**.

Table of results (Cf. Table 1 of the EN ISO 16140 standard):

	Reference method positive (R+)	Reference method negative (R-)
Alternative method positive (A+)	Positive agreement A+ / R+ PA = 150 ⁽¹⁾	Positive deviation A+ / R- PD = 3 ⁽¹⁾
Alternative method negative (A-)	Negative deviation A- / R+ ND = 11 ⁽²⁾	Negative agreement A- / R- ND = 275 ⁽³⁾

(1) Confirmed positives

(2) Of which none sample presumed positive by the alternative method was negative after confirmation

(3) Of which none sample presumed positive by the alternative method was negative after confirmation

Percentages obtained compared to the reference method are as follows:

- Relative accuracy: **AC = 96.8%**
- Relative specificity: **SP = 98.9%**
- Relative sensitivity: **SE = 93.2%**

NB: **relative specificity** below 100% results from a number of confirmed supplementary positives and not from false positives.

Sensitivity was also recalculated taking into account all confirmed positives (including supplementary positives by alternative method):

Alternative method :	Reference method :
$(PA + PD) / (PA + PD + ND) = 93.3\%$	$(PA + ND) / (PA + PD + ND) = 98.2\%$

Analysis of discrepant results (according to appendix F of standard EN ISO 16140):

PD = 3, ND = 11 therefore $Y = PD + ND = 14$; $6 \leq Y \leq 22$ $m = 3, M = 2$ therefore $m > M$

Three of the negative deviations were obtained on dairy products samples from the same combination of matrix / strain / contamination level / stress.

Conclusion

Both methods are not statistically different.

Relative DETECTION LEVEL

Comparison of performances of the alternative method and the reference method

Tests were carried out in 2005, on 5 combinations of "food product/strain".

Products were analysed **6 times** by the **2 methods** at **4 levels** of contamination.

Results obtained are as follows:

		Relative detection level (CFU/25g or 25 ml) With confidence interval (3) LOD ₅₀	
Matrix	Strain	Alternative method	Reference method
Potted meat ("rillettes")	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> 4e	0.4 [0.2 - 1.0]	0,4 [0.2 – 1.0]
Smoked salmon	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> 1/2b	0.3 [0.1 - 1.0]	0.3 [0.1 - 1.0]
Salad	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> 1/2a	0.9 [0.5 - 1.6]	0.9 [0.5 - 1.6]
Raw milk	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> 1/2a	0.5 [0.2 - 1.3]	0.5 [0.2 - 1.3]
Industrial water	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> 1/2a	0.9 [0.3 - 2.2]	0.7 [0.3 - 1.9]

(3) **LOD₅₀**: estimation of level of contamination enabling positive detection by alternative method in 50% of cases. *FDA. 2006. Final Report and Executive Summaries from the AOAC International Presidential Task Force on Best Practices in Microbiological Methodology. Appendix K. Statistics Working Group Tholen, D. W., D. S. Paulson, B. Jarvis, D. M. Mettler, B. Lombard, K. Newton, M. A. Mozola, and A. D. Hitchins.) Report Part 4a - LOD50.*

Conclusion

The detection limit of the alternative method is between 0.1 and 2.2 CFU/25g.
The detection limit of the reference method is between 0.1 and 1.9 CFU/25g.

INCLUSIVITY / EXCLUSIVITY

Implementation of alternative method only

- 50 strains of *L.monocytogenes* were detected out of 50 tested.
- The study of 31 strains not belonging to the species *L.monocytogenes* did not detect the presence of any cross-reaction.

PRACTICABILITY

Implementation of alternative method only

- **Response time:**
 - **Positive** results are obtained in 3 days using the alternative method (when confirmation is done with the CHROMagar™ Identification Listeria test) or 5 to 8 days (confirmation according to classical tests of the reference method) against 8 to 11 days using the reference method.
 - **Negative** results are obtained in 2 days using the alternative method against 5 days (if absence of typical colonies) to 11 days using the reference method.
 - In the case of results presumed positive using the alternative method, but rendered negative following confirmation, these negative results are obtained in 3 days (when confirmation is done with the CHROMagar™ Identification Listeria test) and up to 5 to 8 days (if confirmation is done with classical tests).

INTER-LABORATORY STUDY

The inter-laboratory study was conducted in 2006 with 14 participating laboratories. The analyses were carried out on samples of milk (obtained by mixing 50% of pasteurized milk with 50% of half skimmed milk) artificially contaminated with a strain of *L.monocytogenes* serotype 1/2a the 3 following levels of contamination:

- Level 0: 0 CFU/mL
- Level 1: 3 CFU/mL
- Level 2: 30 CFU/mL

The laboratories tested, using **both methods, 8 replicate samples** for **each level** of contamination, giving a total of 24 analysis for each participating laboratory as a whole.

The following results were obtained:

Contami- nation level	Total number of samples	Number of samples analysed	Number of results processed*	Number of negative results		Number of positive results	
				REF	ALT	REF	ALT
0	112	112	88	88	88	0	0
1	112	112	88	0	0	88	88
2	112	112	88	0	0	88	88

* Three laboratories were excluded because they didn't follow correctly the analysis protocol.

REF: reference method; ALT: alternative method

Calculations

- Relative accuracy = 100%
- % specificity = 100%
- % sensitivity = 100%

Interpretation

Results of the inter-laboratory study are comparable to those obtained during the preliminary study.

Accordance, concordance and concordance odds ratio:

Accordance: percentage chance of finding the same result (i.e. both negative or both positive) from two identical test portions analysed in the same laboratory, under repeatability conditions (i.e. one operator using the same apparatus and same reagents within the shortest feasible time interval). The accordance is the average (mean) of the probabilities that two replicates give the same result for each laboratory.

Concordance: percentage chance of finding the same result for two identical samples analysed in two different laboratories. The concordance is the percentage of all pairings of duplicates giving the same result.

Concordance odds ratio (COR): defined by the following formula:

$$\text{COR} = \frac{\text{accordance} \times (100 - \text{concordance})}{\text{concordance} \times (100 - \text{accordance})}$$

The following table indicates values for the **alternative method**:

Contamination level	Accordance	Concordance	COR
L0	100%	100%	1.00
L1	100%	100%	1.00
L2	100%	100%	1.00

The following table indicates values for the **reference method**

Contamination level	Accordance	Concordance	COR
L0	100%	100%	1.00
L1	100%	100%	1.00
L2	100%	100%	1.00

Conclusion

Variability of the alternative method (accordance, concordance, odds ratio) is equivalent to that of the reference method.

Please send any queries concerning the performance of the validated method to AFNOR Certification.

You may download a summary document on the preliminary and inter-laboratory studies on www.afnor-validation.com