

Position Statement

Academy Position Statement: The Risk of Severe Allergic Reactions from the Use of Potassium Iodide for Radiation Emergencies

February 2004

AAAAI Position Statements and Work Group Reports are not to be considered to reflect current AAAAI standards or policy after five years from the date of publication. For reference only.

This is a new statement from the Academy.

The statement below is not to be construed as dictating an exclusive course of action nor is it intended to replace the medical judgment of healthcare professionals. The unique circumstances of individual patients and environments are to be taken into account in any diagnosis and treatment plan. The above statement reflects clinical and scientific advances as of the date of publication and is subject to change.

Prepared by the Adverse Reactions to Foods Committee and the Adverse Reactions to Drugs and Biologicals Committee

Executive Summary:

- Anaphylactoid reactions to RCM should not be considered evidence of KI allergy.
- Allergic contact dermatitis from iodine-containing antibacterial preparations should not be considered evidence of IgE antibody mediated KI allergy or sensitivity
- IgE antibody mediated allergy to seafood should not be considered evidence of KI allergy or sensitivity
- Physicians should ensure that persons are not allergic to inactive ingredients/components of the KI formulation prescribed.

Potassium Iodide (KI) has been suggested for use to prevent the uptake of radioactive iodine by the thyroid gland in the event of exposure or potential exposure in radiation emergencies.^{1,2} Based upon data from natural disasters in which radioactive iodine was released into the atmosphere, the rate of thyroid cancers following exposure was increased as much as 100-fold. The effectiveness of KI as a specific blocker of radioiodide uptake is well established and when used for prophylaxis in Poland after the Chernobyl accident, it reduced the rate of expected thyroid cancers.³ Recommendations have been made to provide KI to persons living in proximity of nuclear power plants both for risks of accidental discharge or terrorism. KI is the same compound used, in smaller quantities, to iodize table salt. The U.S Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) provides a document entitled "Guidance, Potassium Iodide as a Thyroid Blocking Agent in Radiation Emergencies" that discusses the particular recommendations for use of KI (<http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm>).¹ Specific information about FDA approved KI products is available at <http://www.fda.gov/cder/ob/default.htm>.

While the FDA considers KI to be a safe and effective, and concludes that the risks of KI are far outweighed by the benefits with regard to prevention of thyroid cancer in susceptible individuals, specific cautions are given as follows "Short-term administration of KI at thyroid blocking doses is safe and, in general, more so in children than adults. The risks of stable iodine administration include sialadenitis (an inflammation of the salivary gland, of which no cases were reported in Poland among users after the Chernobyl accident), gastrointestinal disturbances, allergic reactions and minor rashes. In addition, persons with known iodine sensitivity should avoid KI, as should individuals with dermatitis herpetiformis and hypocomplementemic vasculitis, extremely rare conditions associated with an increased risk of iodine hypersensitivity."¹

The purpose of this document is to discuss the risks of allergic reactions to oral administration of KI to persons with "iodine sensitivity" and "allergic reactions" to iodide. This report will not discuss additional issues of thyroidal and extra-thyroidal adverse reactions. Several terms regarding adverse reactions to iodine/iodide are used in the literature, sometimes without precise definitions. The term "allergy" is typically used to describe a specific adverse immune response to (usually) a protein, more specifically an IgE antibody response. In a typical allergic reaction, the target protein binds to previously formed IgE antibodies specific to the protein. These IgE antibodies are bound to high affinity IgE receptors on mast cells/basophils. This binding of protein to IgE results in aggregation of high affinity IgE receptors, the transduction of cellular signals and the release of mediators such as histamine that result in clinical symptoms (e.g., anaphylaxis). "Anaphylactoid" reactions occur when similar mediators are released through mechanisms other than through IgE antibodies. There are no studies to demonstrate IgE antibodies to small molecules or salts such as iodine/iodide. "Sensitivity" is a term usually used to refer to non-immunologic adverse events. Hypersensitivity is a term usually used to indicate a response that is abnormal and out of proportion to expected adverse reactions, and the term is sometimes used to imply an immune basis for the reaction (of a variety of types such as cell-mediated, IgG antibody mediated).

Iodine "allergy" and radiocontrast media

Radiocontrast media (RCM) containing organic iodine may cause adverse reactions such as urticaria, angioedema, bronchospasm, laryngospasm and shock. Several studies and a previous position statement indicate that these reactions are not attributable to specific immune responses (e.g., IgE antibodies) to iodine, and although the exact mechanism is unknown, it is apparently related to the high osmolarity of these agents (lower osmolar preparations are less likely to cause reactions).⁴⁻⁶ Thus, such reactions are "anaphylactoid" in nature. Several studies indicate a higher risk of reactions to high osmolar radiocontrast agents among persons with allergic disorders such as asthma, allergic rhinitis and food allergy.⁶⁻⁸ There is an apparent concern about seafood allergy in relation to an increased risk of reactions based upon the higher content of iodine in fish.⁹ However, the risk of reactions to RCM was similarly elevated (about a 3-fold relative risk compared to average) for persons with allergy to egg, milk or chocolate,⁶ indicating that a general atopic disposition, rather than an iodine-specific reactivity, accounts for the increased incidence of reactions in this sub-group.⁶⁻⁸ Thus, reactions to RCM should not be construed as an indication of an IgE antibody-mediated iodine allergy.

Iodine sensitivity and topical antibacterial treatments

Topical antibacterial preparations containing iodine (povidone-iodine) sometimes cause allergic contact dermatitis or irritant reactions. Patients with allergic contact dermatitis appear to respond to the povidone-iodine component but not to potassium iodide solution on patch testing.¹⁰ There is one case report of anaphylaxis to povidone-iodine applied intravaginally¹¹ but the component of the preparation that caused the reaction was not determined (e.g., not necessarily a reaction to iodide). Thus, contact dermatitis caused by topically applied iodine-containing antibacterials does not indicate an allergy to iodine. Anaphylaxis to these preparations is exceedingly rare and not proven to be due to iodine.

Iodine in relation to seafood allergy

As indicated above, seafood may contain iodine. However, IgE antibody mediated seafood allergy has never been attributed to iodine, but rather to specific proteins in fish and shellfish (e.g., parvalbumin, tropomyosin) that also do not contain iodine.¹² Thus, fish or shellfish allergy does not indicate a sensitivity/allergy to iodine.

Other possible adverse immunologic reactions from treatment with KI

As indicated in statements from the FDA quoted above, certain adverse effects that appear to have an immunological basis (though perhaps not directly related to an immune response to KI itself) have occurred in patients treated with KI preparations. Sialadenitis appears to be an idiosyncratic, possibly

metabolic reaction and no cases were observed during widespread use of KI in Poland (about 10.5 million children and 7 million adults treated).³ Iododerma is a rare acneiform or proliferative/ulcerative eruption related to iodide ingestion that is reversible and appears to be more common among persons with renal insufficiency, multiple myeloma, lymphoma, polyarteritis nodosa, arthritis, and hypocomplementemic urticaria/vasculitis.¹³⁻¹⁷ The mechanism for this reaction is unknown but postulated to result from non-specific immune stimulation.¹⁸ No such cases were specifically reported in the experience in Poland, but 1% of children and 1.25% of adults experienced a rash that was minor.³ The extra-thyroidal side effects reported from Poland included (%children/% adults) headache (0.2/0.7), and shortness of breath (0.1/0.6) but no control incidence values were determined.³ Two adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and previous known "iodine sensitivity" (specific problem not listed) developed respiratory distress, a problem reported elsewhere.¹⁹ No episodes of anaphylaxis were reported.

Current approved formulations of KI for prophylaxis of radiation emergencies are not known to contain allergens, but care should be taken to be sure individuals with allergies are not known to be reactive to the inactive ingredients/components of the formulation prescribed.

References

1. US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Guidance Document: Potassium Iodide as a Thyroid Blocking Agent in Radiation Emergencies. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/4825fnl.htm>. Accessed August 19, 2003.
2. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Environmental Health. Radiation disasters and children. *Pediatrics*. 2003 Jun;111(6 Pt 1):1455-66.
3. Nauman J, Wolff J. Iodide prophylaxis in Poland after the Chernobyl reactor accident: benefits and risks. *Am J Med*. 1993 May;94(5):524-32.
4. Executive summary of disease management of drug hypersensitivity: a practice parameter. Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters, the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology, the American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology, and the Joint Council of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 1999 Dec;83(6 Pt 3):665-700.
5. Patterson R, Schatz M. Administration of radiographic contrast medium after a prior adverse reaction. *Ann Intern Med*. 1975 Aug;83(2):277.
6. Katayama H, Yamaguchi K, Kozuka T, Takashima T, Seez P, Matsuura K. Adverse reactions to ionic and nonionic contrast media. A report from the Japanese Committee on the Safety of Contrast Media. *Radiology*. 1990 Jun;175(3):621-8.
7. Konen E, Konen O, Katz M, Levy Y, Rozenman J, Hertz M. Are referring clinicians aware of patients at risk from intravenous injection of iodinated contrast media? *Clin Radiol*. 2002 Feb;57(2):132-5.
8. Shehadi WH. Adverse reactions to intravascularly administered contrast media. A comprehensive study based on a prospective survey. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med*. 1975 May;124(1):145-52.
9. Coakley FV, Panicek DM. Iodine allergy: an oyster without a pearl? *Am J Roentgenol*. 1997 Oct;169(4):951-2.
10. van Ketel WG, van den Berg WH. Sensitization to povidone-iodine. *Dermatol Clin*. 1990 Jan;8(1):107-9.
11. Waran KD, Munsick RA. Anaphylaxis from povidone-iodine. *Lancet*. 1995 Jun 10;345(8963):1506.
12. Sampson HA. Food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2003 Feb;111(2 Suppl):S540-7.
13. Curd JG, Milgrom H, Stevenson DD, Mathison DA, Vaughan JH. Potassium iodide sensitivity in four patients with hypocomplementemic vasculitis. *Ann Intern Med*. 1979 Dec;91(6):853-7.
14. Khan F, Einbinder JM, Seriff NS. Suppurative ulcerating iododerma—a rare manifestation of inorganic iodide hypersensitivity. *N Engl J Med*. 1973 Nov 8;289(19):1018-20.
15. Soria C, Allegue F, Espana A, Rocamora A, Harto A, Ledo A. Vegetating iododerma with underlying systemic diseases: report of three cases. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1990 Mar;22(3):418-22.

16. Alpay K, Kurkcuoglu N. Iododerma: an unusual side effect of iodide ingestion. *Pediatr Dermatol.* 1996 Jan-Feb;13(1):51-3.
17. Vaillant L, Pengloan J, Blanchier D, De Muret A, Lorette G. Iododerma and acute respiratory distress with leucocytoclastic vasculitis following the intravenous injection of contrast medium. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 1990 May;15(3):232-3.
18. Stone OJ. Proliferative iododerma. A possible mechanism. *Int J Dermatol.* 1985 Nov;24(9):565-6.
19. Huang TY, Peterson GH. Pulmonary edema and iododerma induced by potassium iodide in the treatment of asthma. *Ann Allergy* 1981;46 (5):264-6.

AAAAI Position Statements and Work Group Reports are not to be considered to reflect current AAAAI standards or policy after five years from the date of publication. For reference only. February 2004.