

November 18, 2022

SiOxMed, LLC. % Linda Braddon Woodstock Secure BioMed Evalutations 7828 Hickory Flat Highway Suite 120 Woodstock, Georgia 30188

Re: K222189

Trade/Device Name: SiOxD Wound Matrix

Regulatory Class: Unclassified

Product Code: FRO Dated: October 18, 2022 Received: October 19, 2022

Dear Linda Braddon:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal

K222189 - Linda Braddon Page 2

statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance) and CDRH Learn (https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Julie A. Morabito -S

Julie Morabito, Ph.D.
Assistant Director
DHT4B: Division of Infection Control
and Plastic Surgery Devices
OHT4: Office of Surgical
and Infection Control Devices
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120 Expiration Date: 06/30/2023

See PRA Statement below.

K222189
Device Name SiOxD Wound Matrix
Indications for Use (Describe) The SiOxD Wound Matrix is intended for use in the management of wounds. Wound types include: Partial and full-thickness wounds, pressure ulcers, venous ulcers, diabetic ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, tunneled/undermined wounds, surgical wounds (donor sites/grafts, post-Moh's surgery, post laser surgery, podiatric, wound dehiscence), trauma wounds (abrasions, lacerations, first degree and partial thickness burns, skin tears) and draining wounds.
Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D) Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

510(k) SUMMARY:

SiOxMed SiOxD Wound Matrix

Date Prepared	November 17, 2022		
1	SiOxMed		
	2011 Muddy Creek Road		
Sponsor	Clemmons, NC 27012		
	(336) 242-4498		
	Secure BioMed Evaluations		
	Linda Braddon, Ph.D.		
	7828 Hickory Flat Highway		
510(k) Contact	Suite 120		
	Woodstock, GA 30188		
	770-837-2681		
	Regulatory@SecureBME.com		
Trade Name	SiOxD Wound Matrix		
Common Name	Wound Dressing		
('ode_('lassification	FRO		
Couc -Classification	Unclassified: Class II		
Primary Predicate	K161067 Engineered Tissue Solutions, LLC Mirragen TM Advanced Wound		
-	Matrix		
Additional Predicate	K142363 Beeken Biomedical NuStat HemoStatic Dressing		
Device			
Device Description	The SiOxD Wound Matrix is a non-pyrogenic, sterile, single use device		
	intended for use in local management of wounds. The SiOxD Wound Matrix		
	is a soft, white, conformable, non-woven, absorbent, biocompatible fiber		
	matrix made from synthetic biomaterials. The SiOxD Wound Matrix		
	conforms in the defect space / wound bed and includes a fibrous, porous		
	structure that allows for fluid absorption. The SiOxD Wound Matrix is		
	structurally similar to collagen, a key component of the native extracellular		
	matrix, and serves as a scaffold for cellular infiltration and vascularization.		
	SiOxD Wound Matrix promotes a moist environment for the body's natural		
	healing process.		
	The SiOxD Wound Matrix is not designed to be held in place with		
	compression bandages or tapes. Only light pressure without mechanical		
	compression or secondary bandaging is required for proper device function.		
	The matrix applied to the wound bed naturally sloughs off during wound		
	healing and does not require manual removal.		
Indications for Use	The SiOxD Wound Matrix is intended for use in the management of wounds.		
Statement	Wound types include: Partial and full-thickness wounds, pressure ulcers,		
	venous ulcers, diabetic ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, tunneled/undermined		
	wounds, surgical wounds (donor sites/grafts, post-Moh's surgery, post laser		
	surgery, podiatric, wound dehiscence), trauma wounds (abrasions, lacerations,		
	first degree and partial thickness burns, skin tears) and draining wounds.		

Comparison of Technological Characteristics

Characteristic	Subject Device SiOxMed SiOxD Wound Matrix	Primary Predicate Engineered Tissue Solutions, LLC Mirragen TM Advanced Wound Matrix K161067	Additional Predicate Beeken Biomedical NuStat XR HemoStatic Dressing K142363
Regulation	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified
Product Classification	FRO	FRO	FRO
Common Name	Wound Dressing	Wound Dressing	Wound Dressing
Indications for Use	The SiOxD Wound	The Mirragen TM	NuStat XR is a single-
	Matrix is intended for	Advanced Wound	use hemostatic wound
	use in the management	Matrix is intended for	dressing applied
	of wounds. Wound	use in the management	externally with
	types include: Partial	of wounds. Wound	mechanical compression
	and full-thickness	types include: Partial	to temporarily
	wounds, pressure ulcers,	and full-thickness	control bleeding in
	venous ulcers, diabetic ulcers, chronic vascular	wounds, pressure ulcers, venous ulcers, diabetic	lacerations, punctures, abrasions and incisions.
	ulcers, chrome vascular	ulcers, chronic vascular	aurasions and incisions.
	tunneled/undermined	ulcers,	
	wounds, surgical	tunneled/undermined	
	wounds (donor	wounds, surgical	
	sites/grafts, post-Moh's	wounds (donor	
	surgery, post laser	sites/grafts, post-Moh's	
	surgery, podiatric,	surgery, post laser	
	wound dehiscence),	surgery, podiatric,	
	trauma wounds	wound dehiscence),	
	(abrasions, lacerations,	trauma wounds	
	first degree and partial	(abrasions, lacerations,	
	thickness burns, skin	first and second degree	
	tears) and draining	burns, skin tears) and	
Composition of	wounds.	draining wounds.	Knitted cellulose and
Composition of Material	Hydrated amorphous silica in fibrous form	Borate glass fibers and particulate	continuous filament
TVICITAL	Sinca in norous form	particulate	silica
			Cellulose (rayon, edge
			sealant)
			Optional Radiopaque
			element –
			Polypropylene thread
			coated with barium
			sulfate
Primary Function	Wound dressing	Wound dressing	Wound dressing

Characteristic	Subject Device SiOxMed SiOxD Wound Matrix	Primary Predicate Engineered Tissue Solutions, LLC Mirragen TM Advanced Wound Matrix K161067	Additional Predicate Beeken Biomedical NuStat XR HemoStatic Dressing K142363
Available Sizes	2.5" Round4" x 4" Square	1" x 6"2" x 2"4" x 4"	Sizes ranges from 2" to 8" in width and 2" to 60" in length
Resorbable	No	Yes	No
Absorbent	Yes	Yes	Yes
Requires Mechanical Pressure / Secondary Dressing	A non-adherent secondary wound dressing (e.g., multilayer compression bandage system, or other appropriate dressing) can be placed over SiOxD Wound Matrix but is not required. Only light, brief compression is required.	To be used with non-adherent secondary bandaging	To be used with mechanical pressure
Moist Wound Environment	Maintains a moist wound environment	Maintains a moist wound environment	Not stated
Reapplication	As needed	Every 3 to 7 days as needed	As needed
Customizable	Yes, trim to size	Yes, trim to size	Yes, trim to size
Single Use	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-Pyrogenic	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sterility	Gamma, 10 ⁻⁶ SAL	Gamma, 10 ⁻⁶ SAL	Gamma, 10 ⁻⁶ SAL
Biocompatibility	Biocompatible	Biocompatible	Biocompatible

Technological Characteristics

There are no significant technological differences between the subject and predicate devices. The subject device uses similar materials, is of a similar size, has similar design properties, and has the same intended use as the primary and additional predicates. Technological differences include that the subject device does not require mechanical pressure or secondary bandaging for proper function which is required by the predicate devices. The subject device also naturally sloughs off during healing while the primary predicate is resorbed. These differences are adequately addressed through the non-clinical performance and animal testing provided which demonstrate that they do not raise new concerns for safety or effectiveness.

Subject Device Testing Summary

Non-clinical performance testing for the SiOxD Wound Matrix include:

Test	Test Method Summary	Results	
Absorption Capacity	The absorption capacity of the device was	Device was characterized as	
	characterized.	absorbent.	
Partial	ASTM F2382 Standard Test Method for	Clotting time was lower than	
Thromboplastin Time	Assessment of Circulating Blood-	control.	
	Contacting Medical Device Materials on		
	Partial Thromboplastin Time (PTT)		
Complement	ISO 10993-4 Biological evaluation of	Device was characterized as a	
Activation	medical devices – Part 4: Selection of tests	complement activator.	
	for interactions with blood		
Fourier Transform	FTIR spectra collected using attenuated	Results were consistent with	
Infrared Spectroscopy	total reflectance (ATR) were used for the	hydrated amorphous silica in	
(FTIR) Analysis	purpose of characterizing the material.	fibrous form.	
X-Ray Diffraction	XRD spectrometry was used to	Results were consistent with	
(XRD) Spectrometry	characterize the material.	hydrated amorphous silica in	
		fibrous form.	
Scanning Electron	SEM imaging was collected to	The matrix structure was	
Microscope (SEM)	characterize the matrix structure.	structurally similar to collagen	
Imaging		and created a scaffold for	
		cellular infiltration and	
		vascularization.	

A full thickness porcine wound healing study found equivalent wound healing performance for the SiOxD Wound Matrix when compared to the additional predicate device and untreated control sites.

The SiOxD Wound Matrix was found to be biocompatible for its intended use when tested in compliance with ISO 10993-1.

Test	Test Method Summary	Results
Cytotoxicity	ISO 10993-5 Biological evaluation of medical devices —	Non-cytotoxic
	Part 5: Tests for in vitro cytotoxicity	-
Sensitization	ISO 10993-10 Biological evaluation of medical devices —	Non-sensitizing
	Part 10: Tests for skin sensitization	
	Also addressed via Human Repeat Insult Patch Testing	
Irritation	ISO 10993-23 Biological evaluation of medical devices —	Non-irritating
	Part 23: Tests for irritation	
	Also addressed via Human Repeat Insult Patch Testing	
Acute Systemic	ISO 10993-11 Biological evaluation of medical devices —	Non-toxic
Toxicity	Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity	

Test	Test Method Summary	Results
Material Mediated	USP <151> Pyrogen Test	Non-pyrogenic
Pyrogenicity		
Subacute Systemic	ISO 10993-11 Biological evaluation of medical devices —	Non-toxic
Toxicity	Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity	
Implantation	ISO 10993-6 Biological evaluation of medical devices —	No adverse
	Part 6: Tests for local effects after implantation	tissue response.
	Testing via full thickness porcine wound model; Endpoints	
	also addressed as part of subacute toxicity testing results	
Endotoxin	USP <85> Bacterial Endotoxins	<20EU/Device;
		Non-pyrogenic

A Human Repeat Insult Patch Test (HRIPT) was performed to determine the potential of the test material to elicit dermal irritation and/or induce sensitization following repeated patch applications in human subjects. The Induction Phase of the study is designed to assess the potential of the subject device to elicit an irritation reaction, whereas the Challenge Phase of the study is designed to assess the potential of the subject device to elicit a sensitization response.

120 male and female subjects ranging from 18 to 70 years old were enrolled in the study. Of the subjects who completed the Induction Phase, 100% were categorized as "No visible skin reaction" at any time point. Of the subjects who completed the Challenge Phase, 100% were categorized as "No visible skin reaction" at any time point. No re-challenge testing was required for any subjects.

Based on the test population of 114 subjects who completed the study, SiOxD Wound Matrix did not demonstrate a potential for eliciting dermal irritation or inducing sensitization.

All testing passed showing the device to be biocompatible for its intended use.

Conclusions

Based on the similarities of the intended use/indications for use, technological and functional characteristic, and the results of the non-clinical performance testing, the subject device is substantially equivalent to the legally marketed predicate device.