May 28, 2020



SeaSpine Orthopedics Corporation Ms. Aly Alvarez Sr. Specialist, Regulatory Affairs 5770 Armada Drive Carlsbad, California 92008

Re: K200885

Trade/Device Name: Meridian Anterior Plate System, Regatta Lateral Plate System Regulation Number: 21 CFR 888.3060 Regulation Name: Spinal Intervertebral Body Fixation Orthosis Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: KWQ Dated: March 31, 2020 Received: April 2, 2020

Dear Ms. Alvarez:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for

devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <u>https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</u>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems</u>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance</u>) and CDRH Learn (<u>https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</u>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</u>) for more information or contact DICE by email (<u>DICE@fda.hhs.gov</u>) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

for

Colin O'Neill, M.B.E. Acting Assistant Director DHT6B: Division of Spinal Devices OHT6: Office of Orthopedic Devices Office of Product Evaluation and Quality Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)

K200885

Device Name Meridian Anterior Plate System

Indications for Use (Describe)

The Meridian Anterior Plate System is indicated as additional support during fusion via an anterior or anterolateral surgical approach above the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of thoracic and thoracolumbar (T1-L5) spine instability or via the anterior surgical approach, below the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of lumbar and lumbosacral (L1-S1) spine instability as a result of fracture (including dislocation and subluxation), tumor, degenerative disc disease (defined as back pain of discogenic origin with degeneration of the disc confirmed by patient history and radiographic studies), scoliosis, kyphosis, lordosis, spinal stenosis, or a failed previous spine surgery.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)				
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)	Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)			

CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff *PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov*

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)

K200885

Device Name Regatta Lateral Plate System

Indications for Use (Describe)

The SeaSpine Regatta Lateral Plate System is indicated as additional support during fusion via a lateral or anterolateral surgical approach above the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of thoracic and thoracolumbar (T1-L5) spine instability or via a lateral or anterolateral surgical approach below the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of lumbar and lumbosacral (L1-S1) spine instability as a result of fracture (including dislocation and subluxation), tumor, degenerative disc disease (defined as back pain of discogenic origin with degeneration of the disc confirmed by patient history and radiographic studies), scoliosis, kyphosis, lordosis, spinal stenosis, or a failed previous spine surgery.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)	, as applicable)	or both.	(Select one	Type of Use
---	------------------	----------	-------------	-------------

Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

510(k) Summary

Contact Details

Applicant Name:	SeaSpine Orthopedics Corporation		
Address: Phone number: Fax number:	5770 Armada Drive, Carlsbad CA (760) 216-5622 (760) 683-6874		
Contact person:	Aly Alvarez, Sr. Regulatory Affairs Specialist		
Date Prepared:	March 30, 2020		
Device Name			
Trade Name:	 Meridian Anterior Plate System Regatta Lateral Plate System 		
Common Name:	Appliance, fixation, spinal intervertebral body		
Classification Name:	Spinal Intervertebral Body Fixation Orthosis (21 CFR 888.3060)		
Class:	II		
Product Code:	KWQ		

Legally Marketed Predicate Devices

510(k) Number	Product Code	Trade Name	Manufacturer				
Primary Predicate Device							
К072407	KWQ	BodyForm Thoracic Fixation System	SeaSpine Orthopedics Corporation (previously Theken Spine, LLC				
Additional Predicate Devices							
К171538	KWQ	Cure Lumbar Plate System	Meditech Spine, LLC				

Device Description

The Meridian Anterior Plate and Regatta Lateral Plate Systems each consist of varying sizes of plates and fixation options that accommodate either anterior or lateral surgical approaches in the thoracic, lumbar, and sacral spine (T1-S1). Each plate is manufactured from titanium alloy per ASTM F136 and is designed to provide additional support during spinal fusion procedures.

Intended Use/Indications for Use

Meridian Anterior Plate

The Meridian Anterior Plate System is indicated as additional support during fusion via an anterior or anterolateral surgical approach above the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of thoracic and thoracolumbar (T1-L5) spine instability or via the anterior surgical approach, below the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of lumbar and lumbosacral (L1-S1) spine instability as a result of fracture (including dislocation and subluxation), tumor, degenerative disc disease (defined as back pain of discogenic origin with degeneration of the disc confirmed by patient history and radiographic studies), scoliosis, kyphosis, lordosis, spinal stenosis, or a failed previous spine surgery.

Regatta Lateral Plate

The SeaSpine Regatta Lateral Plate System is indicated as additional support during fusion via a lateral or anterolateral surgical approach above the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of thoracic and thoracolumbar (T1-L5) spine instability or via a lateral or anterolateral surgical approach below the bifurcation of the great vessels in the treatment of lumbar and lumbosacral (L1-S1) spine instability as a result of fracture (including dislocation and subluxation), tumor, degenerative disc disease (defined as back pain of discogenic origin with degeneration of the disc confirmed by patient history and radiographic studies), scoliosis, kyphosis, lordosis, spinal stenosis, or a failed previous spine surgery.

Summary of Technological Characteristics

The Meridian Anterior Plate and Regatta Lateral Plate is equivalent to the predicate devices through comparison in regard to intended use, material, principles of operation, design and performance.

Non-Clinical Testing

Nonclinical testing was performed to demonstrate that the proposed Meridian Anterior Plate and Regatta Lateral Plate systems are substantially equivalent to the cited predicate devices. The following testing and analysis were performed:

- Static Compression Bending (ASTM F1717)
- Static Torsion (ASTM F1717)
- Dynamic Compression Bending (ASTM F1717)

Conclusions

The submitted data demonstrates that both the Meridian Anterior Plate and Regatta Lateral Plate are as safe, as effective, and perform at least as safely and effectively as the cited predicates. Minor differences in non-clinical test results do not impact device performance when compared to the legally marketed predicate devices.