

# 1 ADUFA Reauthorization Performance Goals 2 and Procedures – FYs 2019 thru 2023

3 The goals and procedures of the FDA Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) as  
4 agreed to under the "Animal Drug User Fee Amendments of 2018" are  
5 summarized as follows:

## 6 I. Definitions

- 7 1. For the application/submission goals below, the term "review and act on"  
8 is understood to mean the issuance of a complete action letter after the  
9 complete review of an animal drug application, supplemental animal drug  
10 application, or investigational animal drug submission which either (1)  
11 approves an animal drug application or supplemental application or  
12 notifies a sponsor that an investigational animal drug submission is  
13 complete or (2) sets forth in detail the specific deficiencies in such animal  
14 drug application, supplemental animal drug application, or investigational  
15 animal drug submission and, where appropriate, the actions necessary to  
16 place such an application, supplemental application, or submission in  
17 condition for approval. Within 30 days<sup>1</sup> of submission, FDA shall refuse to  
18 file an animal drug application, supplemental animal drug application, or  
19 their reactivation, which is determined to be insufficient on its face or  
20 otherwise of unacceptable quality for review upon initial inspection as per  
21 21 CFR 514.110. Thus, the Agency will refuse to file an application  
22 containing numbers or types of errors, or flaws in the development plan,  
23 sufficient to cause the quality of the entire submission to be questioned to  
24 the extent that it cannot reasonably be reviewed. Within 60 days of  
25 submission, FDA will refuse to review an investigational animal drug  
26 submission which is determined to be insufficient on its face or otherwise  
27 of unacceptable quality upon initial inspection using criteria and  
28 procedures similar to those found in 21 CFR 514.110. A decision to  
29 refuse to file an application or to refuse to review a submission as  
30 described above will result in the application or submission not being  
31 entered into the cohort upon which the relevant user fee goal is based.  
32 The Agency will keep a record of the numbers and types of such refusals  
33 and include them in its annual performance report.
- 34 2. A minor amendment is understood to mean information requested by FDA  
35 during the review of the application or investigational submission. FDA  
36 may request minor amendments to animal drug applications,  
37 supplemental animal drug applications, and investigational animal drug  
38 submissions during its review of the application or submission. At its

---

<sup>1</sup> All references to "days" in this document are to calendar days, unless otherwise specified.

- 39 discretion, the Agency may extend an internal due date (but not a user fee  
40 goal) to allow for the complete review of an application or submission for  
41 which a minor amendment is requested. If a pending application is  
42 amended with significant changes, the amended application may be  
43 considered resubmitted, thereby effectively resetting the clock to the date  
44 FDA received the amendment. The same policy applies for investigational  
45 animal drug submissions.
- 46 3. The term “submission date” means the date the FDA Center for Veterinary  
47 Medicine (CVM) Electronic Submission System (ESS) receives an  
48 application or submission. Upon receipt of an application or submission,  
49 the CVM ESS creates an electronic receipt that contains the date of  
50 receipt and is sent to the submitter.
  - 51 4. The term “labeling supplement” is understood to mean certain applications  
52 as described in 21 CFR 514.8(c)(2)(i)(A) and (D) that require approval of a  
53 supplemental application prior to distribution of the drug made using the  
54 change.
  - 55 5. The term “presubmission conference” (PSC) is understood to mean one or  
56 more conferences between a potential applicant and FDA as described in  
57 21 CFR 514.5 to reach a binding agreement establishing a submission or  
58 investigational requirement.
  - 59 6. The term “dosage characterization” is understood to mean a justification of  
60 the dosage (dose or dose range, dosing frequency, and the dosing  
61 duration) and a characterization of the critical aspects of the dose-  
62 response relationship related to each intended use and associated  
63 conditions of use.

## 64 **II. Application/Submission Goals**

65 Beginning October 1, 2018, all applications and submissions under the Federal  
66 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) section 512(b) and 571 must be  
67 created using the eSubmitter tool and submitted to the Agency through CVM's  
68 ESS.

### 69 **1. Original New Animal Drug Applications (NADAs)**

70 Review and act on 90 percent of original NADAs within 180 days after the  
71 submission date.

72 An application is incomplete if it would require additional data or  
73 information to enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review of  
74 the application and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the  
75 application.

76 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of reactivated applications:

- 77 i Within 180 days after the reactivated NADA submission date if the  
78 Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies  
79 are substantial;  
80 ii Within 135 days after the reactivated NADA submission date if the  
81 Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies  
82 are not substantial; and the NADA reactivation must be submitted  
83 no more than 120 days after the Agency's dated incomplete letter  
84 to qualify for the shorter review time; and  
85 iii Within 180 days after the reactivated NADA submission date if the  
86 NADA reactivation is submitted after 120 days of the Agency's  
87 dated incomplete letter or new substantial information is provided in  
88 the reactivated application.

89 The Agency will generally favor using the shorter reactivation timeframe of  
90 135 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the incomplete letter  
91 the appropriate timeframe for review of the reactivation. Sponsors wishing  
92 to discuss the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior to  
93 reactivation of the application. The shorter review time of 135 days for  
94 reactivated NADAs for which the deficiencies are determined not to be  
95 substantial is not intended to prevent the use of minor amendments during  
96 Agency review of an application.

## 97 **2. Administrative NADAs**

98  
99 Review and act on 90 percent of administrative NADAs (NADAs filed after  
100 all scientific decisions already have been made as part of the  
101 investigational new animal drug process) within 60 days after the filing  
102 date.

## 103 **3. Non-manufacturing Supplemental Animal Drug Applications**

104 Review and act on 90 percent of non-manufacturing supplemental animal  
105 drug applications (i.e. supplemental animal drug applications for which  
106 safety or effectiveness data are required) within 180 days after the  
107 submission date.

108 A supplemental application is incomplete if it would require additional data  
109 or information to enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review  
110 of the supplement and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the  
111 supplement.

112 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of reactivated supplements:

- 113 i Within 180 days after the reactivated supplemental NADA  
114 submission date if the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor  
115 that the deficiencies are substantial;

- 116           ii    Within 135 days after the reactivated supplemental NADA  
117           submission date if the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor  
118           that the deficiencies are not substantial; and the reactivation to the  
119           supplemental application must be submitted no more than 120 days  
120           after the Agency's dated incomplete letter to qualify for the shorter  
121           review time; and  
122           iii   Within 180 days after the reactivated supplemental NADA  
123           submission date if the reactivation to the supplemental application  
124           is submitted after 120 days of the Agency's dated incomplete letter  
125           or new substantial information is provided in the reactivated  
126           supplement.

127           The Agency will generally favor using the shorter reactivation timeframe of  
128           135 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the incomplete letter  
129           the appropriate timeframe for review of the reactivation. Sponsors wishing  
130           to discuss the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior to the  
131           reactivation of the supplement. The shorter review time of 135 days for  
132           reactivated supplements for which the deficiencies are determined not to  
133           be substantial is not intended to prevent the use of minor amendments  
134           during Agency review of a supplemental application.  
135

#### 136           **4. Prior Approval Manufacturing Supplemental NADAs and** 137           **Reactivations**

138           Review and act on 90 percent of Prior Approval manufacturing  
139           supplemental NADAs within 120 days after the submission date. A Prior  
140           Approval manufacturing supplemental NADA includes: one or more major  
141           manufacturing changes as described in 21 CFR 514.8(b)(2)(ii) and in  
142           accordance with Guidance for Industry 83 (Chemistry, Manufacturing, and  
143           Controls Changes to an Approved NADA or ANADA); and, changes  
144           submitted as "Supplement-Changes Being Effected in 30 Days" that  
145           require prior approval according to 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3)(v)(A). If a Prior  
146           Approval supplement does not clearly identify any major manufacturing  
147           changes, the Prior Approval supplement will be designated by the Agency  
148           as a "Supplement-Changes Being Effected" with a 180 days review goal  
149           (see "Supplement-Changes Being Effected Manufacturing Supplemental  
150           NADAs and Reactivations" below).

151           A submission is incomplete if it requires additional data or information to  
152           enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review of the submission  
153           and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the submission. If the  
154           Agency determines that the deficiencies are not substantial for  
155           manufacturing supplements requiring prior approval, the Agency will allow  
156           the manufacturing supplements to be resubmitted as "Supplement-  
157           Changes Being Effected in 30 Days" as described in 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3)  
158           and the drug made with the change can be distributed 30 days after the

159 resubmission according to 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3)(iv). The Agency will review  
160 and act on 90 percent of these reactivated manufacturing supplements  
161 within 180 days after the resubmission date of a complete submission. If  
162 the Agency determines that the deficiencies remain substantial or new  
163 substantial information is provided, prior-approval is required according to  
164 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3)(v)(A). The Agency will review and act on 90 percent  
165 of these reactivated manufacturing supplements within 120 days after the  
166 resubmission date of a complete submission.

167 **5. Supplements – Changes Being Effected Manufacturing Supplemental**  
168 **NADAs and Reactivations**

169 Review and act on 90 percent of “Supplement- Changes Being Effected”  
170 manufacturing supplemental NADAs and reactivations submitted  
171 according to 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3)(vi) and in accordance with Guidance for  
172 Industry 83 (Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Changes to an  
173 Approved NADA or ANADA), including manufacturing changes not  
174 requiring prior approval according to 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3) within 180 days  
175 after the submission date.

176 **6. Investigational New Animal Drug (INAD) Study Submissions**

177 Review and act on 90 percent of INAD study submissions within 180 days  
178 after the submission date.

179 An INAD study submission is incomplete if it would require additional data  
180 or information to enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review  
181 of the submission and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the  
182 submission.

183 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of resubmissions:

- 184 i Within 180 days after the resubmitted INAD study submission date  
185 if the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the  
186 deficiencies are substantial;
- 187 ii Within 60 days after the resubmitted INAD study submission date if  
188 the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the  
189 deficiencies are not substantial; and the resubmission must be  
190 submitted no more than 120 days after the Agency’s dated  
191 incomplete letter to qualify for the shorter review time; and
- 192 iii Within 180 days after the resubmitted INAD study submission date  
193 if the resubmission is submitted after 120 days of the Agency’s  
194 dated incomplete letter or new substantial information is provided in  
195 the resubmission.

196 The Agency will generally favor using the shorter resubmission timeframe  
197 of 60 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the incomplete letter  
198 the appropriate timeframe for review of the resubmission. Sponsors  
199 wishing to discuss the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior  
200 to resubmitting the application. The shorter review time of 60 days for  
201 resubmissions for which the deficiencies are determined not to be  
202 substantial is not intended to prevent the use of minor amendments during  
203 Agency review of a submission.

204 Review and act on 90 percent of microbial food safety hazard  
205 characterization submissions within 100 days after the submission date.

## 206 **7. INAD Protocols without Data Submissions**

207 Review and act on 90 percent of INAD submissions consisting of protocols  
208 without data, that the Agency and the sponsor consider to be an essential  
209 part of the basis for making the decision to approve or not approve an  
210 NADA or supplemental NADA, within 50 days after the submission date.

211 An INAD protocol without data submission is incomplete if it would require  
212 additional information to enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive  
213 review of the protocol and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in  
214 the protocol.

215 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of resubmitted INAD  
216 protocol without data submissions:

- 217 i Within 50 days after the resubmission date if the Agency  
218 determines and notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies are  
219 substantial;
- 220 ii Within 20 days after the resubmitted INAD protocol without data  
221 submission date if the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor  
222 that the deficiencies are not substantial; and the resubmission must  
223 be submitted no more than 120 days after the Agency's dated non-  
224 concurrence letter to qualify for the shorter review time; and
- 225 iii Within 50 days after the resubmission date if the resubmission is  
226 submitted after 120 days of the Agency's dated non-concurrence  
227 letter or new substantial information is provided in the  
228 resubmission.

229 The Agency will generally favor using the shorter resubmission timeframe  
230 of 20 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the non-concurrence  
231 letter the appropriate timeframe for review of the resubmission. Sponsors  
232 wishing to discuss the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior  
233 to resubmission of the protocol without data. The shorter review time of  
234 20 days for resubmitted INAD protocol without data submissions for which

235 the deficiencies are determined not to be substantial is not intended to  
236 prevent the use of minor amendments during Agency review of a  
237 submission.

238 Sponsors are not required to submit study protocols for review. However,  
239 for each protocol voluntarily submitted prior to the commencement of the  
240 study that the Agency and the sponsor consider to be an essential part of  
241 the basis for making the decision to approve or not approve an animal  
242 drug application or supplemental animal drug application, the Agency will  
243 issue a complete action letter providing comments resulting from a  
244 complete review of the protocol. The complete action letter will be as  
245 detailed as possible considering the quality and level of detail of the  
246 protocol submission; will include a succinct assessment of the protocol;  
247 and will state whether the Agency agrees, disagrees, or lacks sufficient  
248 information to reach a decision that the protocol design, execution plans,  
249 and data analyses are adequate to achieve the objectives of the study.

250 If the Agency determines that a protocol is acceptable, this represents an  
251 agreement that the data generated by the protocol can be used to support  
252 a safety or effectiveness decision regarding the subject animal drug. The  
253 fundamental agreement is that having agreed to the design, execution, or  
254 analyses proposed in protocols reviewed under this process, the Agency  
255 will not later alter its perspectives on the issues of design, execution, or  
256 analyses unless the Agency by written order determines that a  
257 substantiated scientific requirement essential to the assessment of the  
258 study appeared after the Agency's protocol assessment, or public or  
259 animal health concerns unrecognized at the time of protocol assessment  
260 under this process are evident.

261 The Agency will permit comparability protocols as described in 21 CFR  
262 514.8(b)(2)(v) to be submitted as protocols without substantial data in an  
263 INAD file. The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of INAD  
264 submissions consisting of protocols without substantial data within 50  
265 days after the submission date of the protocol. For potentially more  
266 complex comparability protocols, for example sterile process validation  
267 protocols, the sponsor should discuss and have Agency concurrence  
268 regarding the appropriate filing strategy.

## 269 **8. Labeling Supplements**

270  
271 Review and act on 90 percent of qualifying labeling supplements as  
272 described in 21 CFR 514.8(c)(2)(i)(A) and (D) within 60 days after the  
273 submission date. Qualifying labeling supplements are defined as those for  
274 which the sponsor provides and certifies a complete list of label changes  
275 made in the application and that CVM can determine upon initial review do  
276 not decrease the safety of drug use.

277 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of non-qualifying labeling  
278 supplements within 180 days after the submission date.  
279 Additional Performance Goals

## 280 **Work Queue Review Procedures**

281 The Agency will review all submissions in accordance with procedures for  
282 working within a queue. An application/submission that is not reviewed  
283 within the applicable Application/Submission Goal time frame (noted  
284 above) will be reviewed with the highest possible priority among those  
285 pending.

## 286 **III. Pre-Approval Foreign Inspections**

287 The Agency and regulated industry are committed to improving the review and  
288 business processes that will facilitate the timely scheduling and conducting of  
289 pre-approval inspections (PAIs). To improve the timeliness and predictability of  
290 foreign PAIs, sponsors may voluntarily submit 1) at the beginning of the calendar  
291 year, a list of foreign manufacturing facilities that are specified in an animal drug  
292 application, supplemental animal drug application, or investigational animal drug  
293 submission and may be subject to foreign PAIs for the following fiscal year; and  
294 2) a notification 30 days prior to submitting an NADA, a supplemental NADA, or  
295 INAD submission that informs the Agency that the application/submission  
296 includes a foreign manufacturing facility. Should any changes to the annual list  
297 occur after its submission to the Agency, the sponsor may provide the updated  
298 information to the Agency.

299 The Agency will keep a record of the number of foreign PAIs conducted for new  
300 animal drug applications, along with the average time for completing the PAIs,  
301 and include this information in its annual performance report. The time for  
302 completing the PAI is understood to mean the time from the inspection  
303 scheduling request through notification to the Center of inspectional findings.

## 304 **IV. Foreign GMP Inspections**

305 The Agency commits to working to implement the US-EU GMP Inspection Mutual  
306 Recognition Agreement starting in FY 2019 for establishments manufacturing  
307 animal/veterinary drugs. The Agency will provide annual progress updates to the  
308 industry.

### 309 **1. Supporting Information for Presubmission Conferences and INAD** 310 **Protocols without Data Submissions**

311 The Agency and the regulated industry agree that data and/or information  
312 which uniquely describes the general attributes of the new animal drug  
313 (e.g. the known characteristics of the drug that can impact safety,



314 effectiveness and/or quality) needs to be submitted early in the new  
315 animal drug development process in order to enable the parties to reach  
316 agreement at a presubmission conference or to begin review of a protocol.  
317 The intent of this provision is to avoid the submission of data or  
318 information between the presubmission conference and the submission of  
319 a protocol. Eligibility both for short justifications in protocols and for  
320 concurrent supporting data and protocol review described below is  
321 predicated on the sponsor submitting information early in the new animal  
322 drug development process.

323 The Agency will allow for the inclusion of this data and/or information in  
324 presubmission conferences; however it would not preclude holding a  
325 presubmission conference without such data.

326 The Agency will allow short justifications within INAD protocols without  
327 data submissions that are limited in scope (e.g., no more than ten pages  
328 or no more than two (peer-reviewed) journal articles).

329 The Agency will allow for the concurrent submission of supporting data  
330 (INAD H submissions) and protocols (INAD E submissions) provided that  
331 the protocol is not submitted until the supporting data has been in the  
332 Agency's queue for at least 50 days.

## 333 **2. Dosage Characterization**

334 The Agency and the regulated industry agree that dosage characterization  
335 is part of the effectiveness technical section of an investigational new  
336 animal drug file. In instances where data and/or information about the  
337 dosage is integral to the review of a protocol, the Agency and the  
338 regulated industry agree that this data and/or information should be  
339 submitted as supporting data (INAD H submission) well in advance of the  
340 protocol submission. Such information may be needed to ensure  
341 selection of optimal study time points and would be particularly important  
342 for novel drugs and drugs with modified-release characteristics.

## 343 **3. Animal Drug Availability Act (ADAA) Combination Medicated Feeds** 344 **Applications**

345 Review and act on 90 percent of qualifying ADAA Combination Medicated  
346 Feeds Applications within 60 days after the submission date. An ADAA  
347 combination application will qualify for the 60 day review timeframe only if  
348 the following criteria are met:

- 349 i. The regulatory requirements for an ADAA combination application  
350 have been met as outlined in 21 CFR 514.4(c)(2)(ii)
- 351 ii. A presubmission conference has been conducted and either:

- 352 a. No data are needed (i.e., no tissue residue non-interference  
353 study is required) and this is documented in the  
354 memorandum of conference for the presubmission  
355 conference; or  
356 b. A justification for not conducting a tissue residue non-  
357 interference study has been submitted, reviewed and found  
358 acceptable under an INAD, prior to the submission of the  
359 ADAA combination application; or  
360 c. A tissue residue non-interference study has been submitted,  
361 reviewed and found acceptable under an INAD, prior to the  
362 submission of the ADAA combination application.
- 363 iii. No effectiveness or target animal safety data are required.
- 364 iv. No manufacturing data requirements- sponsor can address in  
365 meeting assay non-interference, but data submission is not  
366 required.
- 367 v. All other information is referenced to previous drug experience  
368 reports.
- 369 vi. Sponsor makes submission and it includes: Bluebird labeling,  
370 Veterinary Feed Directive (if applicable).
- 371 vii. Includes a request for categorical exclusion from the need to  
372 prepare an environmental assessment (EA); i.e., no EA required.
- 373 viii. Reference to presubmission conference.
- 374 ix. Right of reference (if applicable) to NADA(s) not owned by the filing  
375 sponsor of the ADAA combination application has been received by  
376 the Agency.

377 Review and act on 90 percent of ADAA combination applications within  
378 100 days for those applications initially accepted for the 60-day timeframe  
379 but subsequently determined to need minor amendments.  
380

381 If any of the above conditions cannot be met, the ADAA combination  
382 application will be given a 180-day review timeframe and placed in the  
383 original NADA application cohort.

#### 384 **4. Categorical Exclusions**

385 Review and act on 90 percent of resubmissions of a previously completed  
386 Environmental Impact technical section within 60 days after the  
387 resubmission date where:

- 388 i. A Categorical Exclusion was issued; and  
389 ii. All other technical sections have been submitted; and

390           iii. Information contained in the other technical sections reveals a  
391           change in the conditions of use of the drug that may affect the  
392           previous determination of categorical exclusion.

393           **5. Presubmission Conferences**

394           Conduct 90% of qualifying presubmission conferences within a 60-day  
395           timeframe when all of the following conditions are met:

- 396           i. All background materials, including presentations, have been  
397           submitted, and
- 398           ii. A complete agenda has been agreed upon by the Agency and the  
399           sponsor

400           A sponsor and the Agency can mutually agree to exclude a particular  
401           presubmission conference from this performance goal. If a sponsor  
402           accepts a date beyond the 60-day timeframe for their scheduling purposes  
403           or is unable to meet with the Agency on Agency available dates, the  
404           submission will be excluded from the presubmission conference cohort.

405           **6. Tissue Residue Method**

406           Commence 90% of tissue residue method demonstrations within 120 days  
407           of completion of the “3-hour meeting” process or equivalent process  
408           milestone where there is a single laboratory validation tissue residue  
409           method demonstration.

410           **V. Workload Adjustment**

411           The workload adjustment will continue to be calculated per CVM Program  
412           Policy and Procedures Manual 1243.3022, except that, for purposes of  
413           calculating the workload adjustment, it has been agreed to reset the base  
414           years to FY 2014- FY 2018. There will be no workload adjustment for FY  
415           2019. Workload adjustments are one-time adjustments, and are  
416           calculated annually.