

June 8, 2020

Wright Medical Technology, Inc. Antonio Ayala Regulatory Affairs Specialist 1023 Cherry Road Memphis, Tennessee 38117

Re: K193067

Trade/Device Name: The INBONETM Total Ankle System, the INFINITYTM Total Ankle System and

the INVISIONTM Total Ankle Revision System

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 888.3110

Regulation Name: Ankle Joint Metal/Polymer Semi-Constrained Cemented Prosthesis

Regulatory Class: Class II

Product Code: HSN Dated: May 6, 2020 Received: May 8, 2020

Dear Antonio Ayala:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's

requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance) and CDRH Learn (https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Ting Song, Ph.D., R.A.C.
Acting Assistant Director
DHT6A: Division of Joint
Arthroplasty Devices
OHT6: Office of Orthopedic Devices
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120

Expiration Date: 06/30/2020 See PRA Statement below.

K193067
Device Name The INBONE TM Total Ankle System, the INFINITY TM Total Ankle System and the INVISION TM Total Ankle Revision System
Indications for Use (Describe) The INBONE TM Total Ankle System, the INFINITY TM Total Ankle System and the INVISION TM Total Ankle Revision System are indicated for patients with ankle joints damaged by severe rheumatoid, post-traumatic, or degenerative arthritis.
The INBONE TM Total Ankle System, the INFINITY TM Total Ankle System and the INVISION TM Total Ankle Revision System are additionally indicated for patients with a failed previous ankle surgery.
CAUTION: In the United States, the ankle prosthesis is intended for cement use only.
Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D) Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)
CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."



1023 Cherry Road Memphis, TN 38117 wright.com

510(K) SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS

In accordance with the Food and Drug Administration Rule to implement provisions of the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990 and in conformance with 21 CFR 807.92, this information serves as a Summary of Safety and Effectiveness for the use of the INBONE™ Total Ankle System, the INFINITY™ Total Ankle System and the INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System.

(a)(1). Submitted By: Wright Medical Technology, Inc.

1023 Cherry Road Memphis, TN 38117

Date: June 8th, 2020

Contact Person: Antonio Ayala

Regulatory Affairs Specialist Office (901) 290-5640 Fax (901) 867-4190

(a)(2). Proprietary Name: The INBONE™ Total Ankle System, the

INFINITY™ Total Ankle System and the INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System

Common Name: Total Ankle Prosthesis

Classification Name and Reference: 21 CFR 888.3110 - Class II

Device Product Code, Device Panel: HSN – Orthopedic

(a)(3). Predicate Device: K140749 – INFINITY Total Ankle System

K133585 – INBONE Total Ankle System K100886 – INBONE Total Ankle System K142117 – INVISION Total Ankle Revision

System

K180730 - INVISION Total Ankle Revision

System

(a)(4). Device Description

The subject INBONE™ Total Ankle System, INFINITY™ Total Ankle System and INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System are fixed-bearing, bone-sparing ankle replacement prostheses that restore mobility to a failing ankle joint. The systems include three components (i.e., tibial tray, poly insert, and talar dome) that are assembled together to create the two-piece prosthesis.

(a)(5). Intended Use

The INBONE™ Total Ankle System, the INFINITY™ Total Ankle System and the INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System are intended to give a patient limited mobility by reducing pain, restoring alignment and replacing the flexion and extension movement in the ankle joint.

Indications for Use

The INBONE™ Total Ankle System, the INFINITY™ Total Ankle System and the INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System are indicated for patients with ankle joints damaged by severe rheumatoid, post-traumatic, or degenerative arthritis.

The INBONE™ Total Ankle System, the INFINITY™ Total Ankle System and the INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System are additionally indicated for patients with a failed previous ankle surgery.

CAUTION: In the United States, the ankle prosthesis is intended for cement use only.

(a)(6). Technological Characteristics Comparison

The INBONE™ Total Ankle System, INFINITY™ Total Ankle System and INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System have identical indications, utilizes similar instrumentation, are made from identical materials, and have identical sterilization methods when compared to the legally marketed predicate devices.

(b)(1). Substantial Equivalence- Non-Clinical Evidence

Non-clinical performance bench testing was performed to demonstrate substantial equivalence to the predicate devices.

Non-clinical performance bench	Applicable Standard
testing	
Tensile Properties	ASTM F648
Percent Crystallinity	ASTM F2625
Impact Resistance	ASTM F648
Cross-Link Density	ASTM F2214
Oxidation Characterization	ASTM F2102
Density Characterization	ASTM D1505
Fatigue Crack Growth Rate	ASTM E647
Free Radical Concentration	There is no ASTM or ISO standard governing free radical
	concentration evaluation or acceptance in this submission
Wear Performance	ISO/DIS 22622
Lock Detail Testing	ASTM F2665
Articular Shear Stability	ASTM F2665
Endotoxin (<20EU/device)	ANSI/AAMI ST72:2011

(b)(2). Substantial Equivalence- Clinical Evidence

Clinical Studies were not required to demonstrate equivalence between the subject and predicate devices

(b)(3). Substantial Equivalence- Conclusions

The design characteristics of the subject system do not raise any new types of questions of safety or effectiveness. From the evidence submitted in this 510(k), the subject devices can be expected to perform at least as well as the predicate devices. In addition, the subject device is expected to pose minimal risk to patients when place in an MR environment and is categorized as MR Conditional.