

September 09, 2020

B-Temia Inc. % Kristin Davenport Of Counsel Covington & Burling LLP 850 10th St NW Washington, DC 20001

Re: K201539

Trade/Device Name: Keeogo Dermoskeleton System Regulation Number: 21 CFR 890.3480 Regulation Name: Powered Lower Extremity Exoskeleton Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: PHL Dated: May 31, 2020 Received: June 9, 2020

Dear Kristin Davenport:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm</a> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <a href="https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products">https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</a>) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems</u>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance</u>) and CDRH Learn (<u>https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</u>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</u>) for more information or contact DICE by email (<u>DICE@fda.hhs.gov</u>) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Heather Dean, PhD
Acting Assistant Director, Acute Injury Devices
DHT5B: Division of Neuromodulation
and Physical Medicine Devices
OHT5: Office of Neurological
and Physical Medicine Devices
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

# Indications for Use

510(k) Number *(if known)* K201539

Device Name Keeogo Dermoskeleton System

#### Indications for Use (Describe)

The Keeogo is robotic exoskeleton that fits orthotically on the user's waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The device is intended to help assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation settings under the supervision of a trained healthcare professional for the following population:

Individuals with stroke who have gait deficits and sufficient hip (MMT Hip  $\geq$  3) and knee strength (MMT Knee  $\geq$  2) and who are capable of standing and initiating gait movement without assistance.

The trained healthcare professional must successfully complete a training program prior to fitting and tuning the device. The device is not intended for sports.

Type of Use	(Select one	or both,	as applicable)
-------------	-------------	----------	----------------

Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

## \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff *PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov* 

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

# 510(k) Summary

General	Sponsor's Name:	B-Temia, Inc.
Information:	Address:	4780, rue St-Félix - unité 105
		St-Augustin-de-Desmaures (QC) G3A 2J9
		Canada
	Contact Person:	Alexandre Jokic
		VP Regulatory, Clinical Affairs and Quality
		Assurance, B-Temia Inc.
	Address:	4780, rue St-Félix - unité 105
		St-Augustin-de-Desmaures (QC) G3A 2J9
		Canada
	Telephone:	418-653-1010 ext. 248
	Fax Number:	418 653-0155
	Date Prepared:	May 31, 2020
	Dute i reputeu	1.1.1.1.1.2.2.0
Subject Device:	Trade Name:	Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermoskeleton System
~~~~j~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Common Name:	Powered Exoskeleton
	Product Code:	PHL
	FDA Regulation:	21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity
		exoskeletons
	Device Classification:	Class II
<b>Predicate Device:</b>	Trade Name:	Honda Walking Assist Device
	Common Name:	Powered Exoskeleton
	Common Name:	1 owered Enoskereton
	Product Code:	PHL
	Product Code:	PHL
	Product Code:	PHL           21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity
	Product Code: FDA Regulation:	PHL21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity exoskeletons
	Product Code: FDA Regulation: Device Classification: Premarket Notification:	PHL21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity exoskeletonsClass IIK181294
Indications for	Product Code:         FDA Regulation:         Device Classification:         Premarket Notification:         The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity         exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         celeton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits
Indications for Use:	Product Code:         FDA Regulation:         Device Classification:         Premarket Notification:         The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk         orthotically on the user's	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity         exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         xeleton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits         waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The
	Product Code:         FDA Regulation:         Device Classification:         Premarket Notification:         The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity         exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         xeleton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits         waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The         elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation
	Product Code:         FDA Regulation:         Device Classification:         Premarket Notification:         The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity         exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         xeleton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits         waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The
	Product Code:         FDA Regulation:         Device Classification:         Premarket Notification:         The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity         exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         xeleton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits         waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The         elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation
	Product Code:         FDA Regulation:         Device Classification:         Premarket Notification:         The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to he settings under the superv following population:	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         xeleton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation ision of a trained healthcare professional for the
	Product Code:         FDA Regulation:         Device Classification:         Premarket Notification:         The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be settings under the superv following population:         Individuals with stroke w	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity         exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         celeton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits         waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The         elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation         ision of a trained healthcare professional for the         vho have gait deficits and sufficient hip
	Product Code:FDA Regulation:Device Classification:Premarket Notification:The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be settings under the superv following population:Individuals with stroke w (MMT Hip $\geq$ 3) and knew	PHL21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity exoskeletonsClass IIK181294celeton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation ision of a trained healthcare professional for thewho have gait deficits and sufficient hip e strength (MMT Knee $\geq 2$ ) and who are capable
	Product Code:FDA Regulation:Device Classification:Premarket Notification:The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be settings under the superv following population:Individuals with stroke w (MMT Hip $\geq$ 3) and knew	PHL         21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity         exoskeletons         Class II         K181294         celeton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits         waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The         elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation         ision of a trained healthcare professional for the         vho have gait deficits and sufficient hip
	Product Code:FDA Regulation:Device Classification:Premarket Notification:The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to he settings under the superv following population:Individuals with stroke w (MMT Hip $\geq$ 3) and knew of standing and initiating	PHL21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity exoskeletonsClass IIK181294celeton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation ision of a trained healthcare professional for thewho have gait deficits and sufficient hip e strength (MMT Knee $\geq 2$ ) and who are capable gait movement without assistance.
	Product Code:FDA Regulation:Device Classification:Premarket Notification:The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be settings under the superv following population:Individuals with stroke w (MMT Hip $\geq$ 3) and kneed of standing and initiating The trained healthcare pr	PHL21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity exoskeletonsClass IIK181294Keleton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation ision of a trained healthcare professional for theVho have gait deficits and sufficient hip e strength (MMT Knee $\geq 2$ ) and who are capable gait movement without assistance.ofessional must successfully complete a training
	Product Code:FDA Regulation:Device Classification:Premarket Notification:The Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermosk orthotically on the user's device is intended to be settings under the superv following population:Individuals with stroke w (MMT Hip $\geq$ 3) and kneed of standing and initiating The trained healthcare pr	PHL21 CFR 890.3480 Powered lower extremity exoskeletonsClass IIK181294celeton System is robotic exoskeleton that fits waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The elp assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation ision of a trained healthcare professional for thewho have gait deficits and sufficient hip e strength (MMT Knee $\geq 2$ ) and who are capable gait movement without assistance.

<b>Description of</b>	Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermoskeleton System is an ambulatory assistive device that is
device:	fitted to the lower body, and is powered at the knee. This computer-
	controlled orthosis provides complementary force to the knee joint to assist with: (1) knee flexion and extension in the swing phase of gait, and (2) eccentric knee control and extension in the weight bearing phase.
	Keeogo <sup>TM</sup> Dermoskeleton System does not move through a pre- determined pattern of movement, but rather integrates seamlessly with movements initiated by the user themselves, and provides assistance based on the detected activity.

**Non-Clinical** Several performance tests were conducted with the Keeogo<sup>TM</sup> Dermoskeleton System to demonstrate safety, effectiveness, and usability: Add here

- Durability
- Vibration IEC 60601-1-11
- Electrical Safety IEC 60601-1
- Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) IEC 60601-1-2
- Usability IEC 62366

- Battery Safety IEC 62133:2012
- Sensor accuracy
- Biocompatibility testing in accordance with ISO 10993-1:2009, ISO 10993-5 & ISO 10993-10)

Clinical	Trial	URI	: http://www.clinical	trials.gov		
Performance	Registration		Identifier: NCT03986320			
	Trial Design	Interventional, comparative, single-arm trial				
		• The Shirley R	yan AbilityLab (Chic	ago, Illinois, USA)		
		<ul> <li>Human Performance</li> </ul>	mance and Engineeri	ng Research		
		(HPER) (Wes	t Orange, New Jersey	, USA)		
	Trial Sites	<ul> <li>James J Peters</li> </ul>	s VA Medical Center	- Center for the		
	I mai sites	Medical Cons	equences of Spinal C	ord Injury (Bronx,		
		New York, U	SA)			
		Assistive Tech	hnology Clinic (ATC)	) (Toronto, Ontario,		
	Canada)					
	Condition	Stroke				
	Test Groups	Keeogo – Intervention				
	Intervention	Week 1: 3 baseline sessions				
		• Week 2: 3 device familiarization sessions				
		• Week	3: 3 Keeogo testing	sessions		
		Domulation	Safety Population	Effectiveness		
	Total Subjects	Population	Visit 1	Population		
	Total Subjects	Ν	55	48		
		Age	$58 \pm 11$	$58 \pm 11$		

	Gender	26 female, 29 male	23 female, 25 male
	Hemiparesis	25 left-side, 30 right-side	23 left-side, 25 right-side
	Stroke Legacy	$70 \pm 81$ months	$74 \pm 84$ months
	MMT	Hip: $MMT \ge 3+$ (flexors & extensors), Knee: $MMT \ge 2$ (flexors & extensors)	Hip: $MMT \ge 3+$ (flexors & extensors), Knee: $MMT \ge 2$ (flexors & extensors
Clinical	Basel	line (each of three bas	seline test visits)
Outcome		vention (each of three	
Assessments		(after 9 visits)	,
Results		Assessment, Wiscon	sin Gait Scale
	Units: scalar		
	Scale Range:	[13.35, 42] = [heal	lthy gait, max gai
	disability]		
	Mean (SD)		
	Baseline: 20.3	36 (3.22)	
		vice: 17.76 (2.54)	
		<b>Baseline</b> (Paired tes	st)
	0		,
	Minimum Cli	inically Important D	Difference: 2.25
		inically Important D t: 2.60 (1.71)	Difference: 2.25
	Improvement	t: 2.60 (1.71)	
	Improvement 95% Confide		
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55	t: 2.60 (1.71)	
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance:	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001	
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001	provement: 2.60 :
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001 :: dverse Event was rep	provement: 2.60 =
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001	provement: 2.60 =
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001 :: dverse Event was rep rimary outcome) or ondary outcome).	provement: 2.60
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001 :: dverse Event was rep rimary outcome) or ondary outcome).	provement: 2.60 ported either for th physical therapist
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness Participant gr improvement	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001 :: dverse Event was reprimary outcome) or ondary outcome). results: roup showed a statt in the WGS (secondar	provement: 2.60 ported either for th physical therapist tistically significar
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness Participant gr improvement i use of the Kee	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = $p < 0.001$ :: dverse Event was reprimary outcome) or ondary outcome). results: roup showed a stat in the WGS (secondar $cogo^{TM}$ ( $p < 0.001$ )	ported either for th physical therapist tistically significan ry outcome) with th
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness Participant gr improvement i use of the Kee	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = p < 0.001 :: dverse Event was reprimary outcome) or ondary outcome). results: roup showed a statt in the WGS (secondar	ported either for th physical therapist tistically significan ry outcome) with th
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness Participant gr improvement i use of the Kee All the partici effectiveness a	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = $p < 0.001$ ence Event was reprimary outcome) or ondary outcome). results: roup showed a station the WGS (secondar $000^{TM}$ ( $p < 0.001$ ) ipants showed an impassessment (WGS, 30	ported either for th physical therapist tistically significan ry outcome) with th provement with on DSCT, TST-up, TST
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness Participant gr improvement i use of the Kee All the partici effectiveness a	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = $p < 0.001$ ence Event was reprimary outcome) or ondary outcome). results: roup showed a station the WGS (secondary cogo <sup>TM</sup> ( $p < 0.001$ ) ipants showed an imp	ported either for th physical therapist tistically significan ry outcome) with th provement with on DSCT, TST-up, TST
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness Participant gr improvement i use of the Kee All the partici effectiveness a down, ClinRC secondary and	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = $p < 0.001$ ence interval for Im P-Value = $p < 0.001$ enderse Event was reprimary outcome) or ondary outcome) or ondary outcome). results: roup showed a stat in the WGS (secondar ogo <sup>TM</sup> ( $p < 0.001$ ) ipants showed an impassessment (WGS, 30 O, PRO; assessment I tertiary outcomes).	ported either for th physical therapist tistically significar ry outcome) with th provement with on SCT, TST-up, TST tts described under Seventy-five percer
	Improvement 95% Confide 0.55 Significance: Safety results No Serious A participant (pr clinician (seco Effectiveness Participant gr improvement i use of the Kee All the partici effectiveness a down, ClinRC secondary and	t: 2.60 (1.71) ence interval for Im P-Value = $p < 0.001$ :: dverse Event was reprimary outcome) or ondary outcome). results: roup showed a station in the WGS (secondary $cogo^{TM}$ ( $p < 0.001$ ) ipants showed an impassessment (WGS, 30 O, PRO; assessment l tertiary outcomes). So provement with mo	ported either for th physical therapist tistically significar ry outcome) with th provement with on SCT, TST-up, TST tts described under Seventy-five percer

## Substantial Equivalence

CATEGORY	KEEOGO <sup>TM</sup>	HONDA WALKING ASSIST DEVICE (HWA)	Substantial Equivalence Comments
510(k) Number	TBA	K181294	N/A
Product Code	PHL	PHL	[SAME]

CATEGORY	KEEOGO <sup>TM</sup>	HONDA WALKING ASSIST DEVICE (HWA)	Substantial Equivalence Comments
Sub-Product Code	N/A	N/A	[SAME]
Regulation Name	Powered Lower Extremity Exoskeleton	Powered Lower Extremity Exoskeleton	[SAME]
Device Class	Class II	Class II	[SAME]
Regulation	21 CFR 890.3480	21 CFR 890.3480	[SAME]
Indications for Use	The Keeogo Dermoskeleton System is a robotic exoskeleton that fits orthotically on the user's waist, thigh, and shin, outside of clothing. The device is intended to help assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation settings under the supervision of a trained healthcare professional for the following population: Individuals with stroke who have gait deficits and sufficient hip (MMT Hip $\geq$ 3) and knee strength (MMT Knee $\geq$ 2) and who are capable of standing and initiating gait movement without assistance. The trained healthcare professional must successfully complete a training program prior to fitting and tuning the device. The device is not intended for sports	The Honda Walking Assist Device is a robotic exoskeleton that fits orthotically on the user's waist and thigh, outside of clothing. The device is intended to help assist ambulatory function in rehabilitation institutes under the supervision of a trained healthcare professional for the following population: Individuals with stroke who have gait deficits and exhibit gait speeds of at least 0.4m/s and are able to walk at least 10 meters with assistance from a maximum of one person. The trained healthcare professional must successfully complete a training program prior to use of the device. The devices are not intended for sports.	<ul> <li>[SIMILAR]</li> <li>The patient population is the same</li> <li>The Keeogo indication defines the necessary physical characteristics of the patient population in terms of minimum hip and knee strength, while the predicate describes the patient characteristics in terms of minimum gait speed</li> <li>Hip and knee strength correlate to gait speed, as supported by data reported in literature</li> <li>The difference in how minimum physical characteristics are described and determined does not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness</li> </ul>

CATEGORY	KEEOGO <sup>TM</sup>	HONDA WALKING ASSIST DEVICE (HWA)	Substantial Equivalence Comments
Device Weight	15 lbs (6.8 kg)	5.95 lbs (2.7 kg)	[SIMILAR] - Difference in weight is due to the Keeogo's design (covers area between hip and shin) compared to the predicate that covers the area between the hip and above the knee. This difference in weight does not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness
Body Coverage and Area of Assistance	Worn around the waist & legs; assistance provided to knee joint	Worn around the waist & thighs; assistance provided at hip	<ul> <li>[SIMILAR]</li> <li>As described above, the Keeogo covers the area between the hip and shin and provides assistance at the knee. The Honda device covers the area between hip and above the knee and provides assistance at the hip.</li> <li>The differences in body coverage and area to which assistance is applied do not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness</li> </ul>
Mobility Aid	Optional (e.g., walker, cane)	Optional (e.g., walker, cane)	[SAME]
Patient Population	• Individuals with stroke who have gait deficits and sufficient hip (MMT Hip $\geq$ 3) and knee strength (MMT Knee $\geq$ 2) and who are capable of standing and initiating gait movement without assistance.	• Individuals with stroke who have gait deficits and exhibit gait speeds of at least 0.4m/s and are able to walk at least 10 meters with assistance from a maximum of one person.	<ul> <li>[SAME]</li> <li>The patient population is the same, <i>i.e.</i>, individuals with stroke who have gait deficits</li> <li>The difference in how minimum physical user characteristics are described and measured does not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness</li> </ul>
Device limit on user's gait speed	None	None	[SAME]
Type of Surface for Training	Smooth, cement, carpet	Smooth, cement, carpet	[SAME]

CATEGORY	<b>KEEOGO</b> <sup>TM</sup>	HONDA WALKING ASSIST DEVICE (HWA)	Substantial Equivalence Comments
Device Range of Motion (ROM)	<ul> <li>Hips: 150° flexion to 40° extension</li> <li>Knee: 166° flexion to 4° extension</li> </ul>	- Hips: 113° flexion to 47° extension	[SIMILAR] - Keeogo hip joint ROM is comparable to predicate - Keeogo also has a knee ROM because it provides assistance at the knee - Minor differences in hip ROM, and additional knee ROM, do not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness
User Height Requirement	1.52 m to 1.88 m (~60 in to ~74 in)	1.4 m to 2.0 m (~55 in to ~79 in)	[SIMILAR] - This minor difference does not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness
User Weight Requirement	≤285 lbs (130 kg)	≤220 lbs (100 kg)	[SIMILAR] - Keeogo is designed to accommodate up to the 95th percentile of adult men - This minor difference in user weight does not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness
Battery Specifications	<ul> <li>Rechargeable Li-Ion</li> <li>39.6 V, 1 A-h</li> <li>1 hr continuous operation</li> <li>1 hr charge time</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rechargeable Li-Ion</li> <li>22.2 V, 1 A-h</li> <li>1 hr continuous operation</li> <li>2 hr charge time</li> </ul>	[SIMILAR] - The minor differences in battery specifications (voltage and charge time) do not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness

CATEGORY	<b>KEEOGO</b> <sup>TM</sup>	HONDA WALKING ASSIST DEVICE (HWA)	Substantial Equivalence Comments
			[SIMILAR] - Keeogo motors are located at the knees, whereas the predicate motors are located at the hip
Actuator Specifications	<ul><li> 2 motors (2 at knee)</li><li> Up to 40 Nm max torque</li></ul>	<ul><li>2 motors (2 at hip)</li><li>4 Nm max torque</li></ul>	- Higher torque is required at the knee to support knees from collapsing
			- This difference does not raise different questions of safety or effectiveness
Control Method	<ul> <li>Handheld controller attached to device</li> <li>Keeogo does not initiate steps</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Handheld interface for physical therapist</li> <li>HWA does not initiate steps</li> </ul>	[SAME]
Life Cycle	3 years	3 years	[SAME]
Training Program	Yes	Yes	[SAME]
Certification Program	Yes	Yes	[SAME]
Device Feedback to the User	Auditory feedback on the handheld controller that is attached to device. Visual feedback is provided on the battery to indicate the battery status and charge level.	Visual & auditory feedback on both the handheld controller & device.	[SAME]
Fall Detection & Mitigation	None	None	[SAME]
Failsafe Features	Motor torque disables; device becomes passive	Motor torque disables; device becomes passive	[SAME]
Operating Temperature	32 °F to 86 °F (0 °C to 30 °C)	32 °F to 86 °F (0 °C to 30 °C)	[SAME]
Operating Humidity	15% to 90%	30% to 85%	[SIMILAR] - Keeogo has a slightly larger humidity range, per 60601-1-11 - This does not raise different questions of
			different questions of safety or effectiveness

Training certification	Keeogo is an adjustable exoskeleton that allows fitting of the device to the user's body dimensions and adjustment of the software settings to meet the user's needs. A mobile application, which runs on a touchscreen tablet, allows the clinician to adjust the software settings during device installation to customize the level of assistance to the individual patient's need.
	To ensure safe and effective use, clinicians are required to complete a training program and obtain a certification prior to using the device with patients. The 15-18 hours training course is divided into 4 blocks, resulting in a certification test. The training activities allow clinicians to become familiar with the device and provide training on the following:
	<ul> <li>Screen and evaluate patients for use of Keeogo</li> <li>Configure the device hardware and software settings use with patients</li> <li>Practice training sessions with Keeogo</li> <li>Demonstrate understanding of safety features, device controls, intended use, and patient training procedures</li> <li>Understand Keeogo maintenance</li> <li>Become familiar with resources for technical support</li> </ul>
Statement on Substantial Equivalence:	The Keeogo System has the same intended use as the predicate Honda Walking Assist Device. The differences in technological characteristics between the Keeogo and the predicate do not raise new questions of safety or effectiveness. The data submitted in the 510(k) show that the Keeogo is at least as safe and effective as the Honda Walking Assist Device. As a result, the devices are substantially equivalent.