

December 22, 2021

Immunalysis Corporation Elina Arroyo Manager Regulatory Affairs 829 Towne Center Drive Pomona, California 91767

Re: K203647

Trade/Device Name: SEFRIATM Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 862.3610

Regulation Name: Methamphetamine Test System

Regulatory Class: Class II

Product Code: LAF Dated: October 7, 2021 Received: October 8, 2021

Dear Elina Arroyo:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801 and Part 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance) and CDRH Learn (https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Marianela Perez-Torres, Ph.D.
Deputy Director
Division of Chemistry and Toxicology Devices
OHT7: Office of In Vitro Diagnostics and Radiological
Health
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiologial Health

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

Indications for Use

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120 Expiration Date: January 31, 2017 See PRA Statement below.

510(k) Number (if known)
k203647
Device Name SEFRIA™ Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay
Indications for Use (Describe) For In Vitro Diagnostic Use.
The Immunalysis SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay is an enzyme immunoassay with a cutoff of 50 ng/mL in neat oral fluid collected by Quantisal or Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Device. The assay is intended for the qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of methamphetamine in human oral fluid with clinical analyzers. This assay is calibrated against d-methamphetamine.
The semi-quantitative mode is for purposes of enabling laboratories to determine an appropriate dilution of the specimen for confirmation by a confirmatory method such as Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) or permitting laboratories to establish quality control procedures.
The Immunalysis SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to obtain a confirmed analytical result. Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is the preferred confirmatory method. Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any test result, particularly when preliminary positive results are used.
Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D) Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."



510(K) SUMMARY

510(k) Number: k203647

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant Name: Immunalysis Corporation

829 Towne Center Drive

Pomona, CA 91767

Establishment # 2020952

Company Contact: Elina Arroyo, Manager Regulatory Affairs

Immunalysis Corporation

829 Towne Center Drive, Pomona, CA 91767 USA

(224) 361-7080

elina.arroyo@abbott.com

Date Prepared: October 7, 2021

B. DEVICE IDENTIFICATION

Trade or Proprietary Names: SEFRIATM Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay

Common Name: Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay

C. REGULATORY INFORMATION

Device Classification Name: Gas Chromatography, Methamphetamine

Product Codes: LAF

Regulatory Class: Class II

Classification Regulation: 862.3610

Panel: Toxicology (91)

Predicate Device: LZI Oral Fluid Methamphetamine Enzyme Immunoassay, LZI Oral Fluid



Methamphetamine Calibrators, LZI Oral Fluid Methamphetamine Controls [K131652]

D. DEVICE DESCRIPTION

The Immunalysis SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay is an *in-vitro* test to detect the presence of methamphetamine in human oral fluid samples collected by Quantisal or Ouantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Device.

Methamphetamine is a stimulant drug usually used as a white, bitter-tasting powder or a pill. It is highly addictive and is rarely prescribed. When smoked, the vapor moves from the lungs to the bloodstream causing euphoria, increased energy and alertness, higher confidence, and motivation. Side effects include paranoia, tremors, loss of appetite, mood swings, and neuropsychological effects including deficits in episodic memory, executive functions, information processing speed, motor skills, language, and visuoconstructional abilities. The disposition of methamphetamine in oral fluid has been well documented showing the main drug found after intake is the parent compound. Following single dosing of 10 mg and 20 mg, concentrations over 50 ng/mL of methamphetamine were detected in oral fluid. Oral fluid has been shown to be a useful matrix for the analysis of methamphetamine in several areas including workplace drug testing, pain management compliance monitoring, and driving under the influence of drugs. [3],[4],[5]

E. INTENDED USE

For In Vitro Diagnostic Use.

The Immunalysis SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay is a homogeneous enzyme immunoassay with a cutoff of 50 ng/mL in neat oral fluid collected by Quantisal or Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Device. The assay is intended for the qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of methamphetamine in human oral fluid with clinical analyzers. This assay is calibrated against d-methamphetamine.

The semi-quantitative mode is for purposes of enabling laboratories to determine an appropriate dilution of the specimen for confirmation by a confirmatory method such as Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) or permitting laboratories to establish quality control procedures.

The Immunalysis SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to

¹ Cobb Scott J, Woods SP, Matt GE, Meyer RA, Heaton RK, Atkinson JH, Grant I. Neurocognitive effects of methamphetamine: A critical review and meta-analysis. Neuropsych Review. 2007;17(3):275-297.

² Huestis MA, Cone EJ. Methamphetamine disposition in oral fluid, plasma and urine. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2007;1098:104-121.

³ Moore C. Oral fluid and hair in workplace drug testing programs: new technology for immunoassays. Drug Test Anal. 2011;3(3):166-168.

⁴ Moore C. Drug testing and adherence monitoring in pain management: Oral fluid testing. J Opioid Manage. 2015;11(1):69-75.

⁵ Veitenheimer AM, Wagner JR. Evaluation of oral fluid as a specimen for DUID. J Anal Toxicol. 2017;41(6):517-522.



obtain a confirmed analytical result. Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is the preferred confirmatory method. Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any test result, particularly when preliminary positive results are used.

F. COMPARISON WITH PREDICATE

Attribute	Candidate Device SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay	Predicate Device LZI Oral Fluid Methamphetamine Enzyme Immunoassay [K131652]
	Similarities	
Test Principle	Identical	Homogeneous enzyme immunoassay
Calibrated Against	Identical	d-methamphetamine
Assay Materials	Identical	antibody reagent, drug conjugate reagent
Cutoff Level	Identical	50 ng/mL
User Environment	Identical	For use in laboratories
Sample Matrix	Identical	Human oral fluid
Reagent Storage	Identical	2-8°C until expiration date
Instrumentation	Identical	Automated clinical chemistry analyzer
Mass Spectrometry Confirmation	Identical	Required for preliminary positive analytical results
	Differences	
Intended Use	Qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of methamphetamine in human oral fluid collected by Quantisal or Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Device	Qualitative and semi-quantitative determination of d-methamphetamine in neat human oral fluid collected into the LZI Oral Fluid Collector
Sample Collection Device	Oral fluid is collected with the Quantisal or Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Device. Sample is stored in a plastic tube containing preservative buffer with snap cap.	Oral fluid is collected with the LZI Oral Fluid Collector. Sample is stored in a plastic tube.

G. PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

The following laboratory performance studies were performed to determine substantial equivalence of the SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay to the predicate device. Assay performance was established using the Beckman Coulter AU480 chemistry analyzer.



1. Precision

Precision study was performed over 15 days, 2 runs per day with 2 collection devices per run (N=60), one replicate per collection device on 1 lot of reagent and 1 lot of Quantisal and 1 lot of Quantisal II oral fluid collection devices. Drug free negative oral fluid was spiked to concentrations of assay cutoff and $\pm 25\%$, $\pm 50\%$, $\pm 75\%$, $\pm 100\%$ of the cutoff and was collected using the collection devices. The spiked concentrations were confirmed by mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) before collection. The study established the repeatability of the testing system, including assay and oral fluid collection device. Test results in qualitative and semi-quantitative modes are presented in **Tables 1** to **6**.

An additional 20-day study was performed on 3 lots of assay reagent to demonstrate the repeatability across multiple reagent lots.

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Result
0	-100%	60	60 Negative
12.5	-75%	60	60 Negative
25	-50%	60	60 Negative
37.5	-25%	60	60 Negative
50	Cutoff	60	26 Neg/34 Pos
62.5	+25%	60	60 Positive
75	+50%	60	60 Positive
87.5	+75%	60	60 Positive
100	+100%	60	60 Positive

Table 1. Precision – Qualitative - Quantisal

\mathbf{T}	D	0 . (1.1 1.	A 4 1
I ahia /	Precision	- Semi-	Duantitative	_ (liianfical
Table 4.	I I CCISIUII	- Duni-c	Juanulauve	- Ouanusai

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Mean Conc. (ng/mL)	Result
0	-100%	60	1.1	60 Negative
12.5	-75%	60	14.2	60 Negative
25	-50%	60	25.3	60 Negative
37.5	-25%	60	39.3	60 Negative
50	Cutoff	60	50.2	37 Neg/23 Pos
62.5	+25%	60	68.9	60 Positive
75	+50%	60	78.9	60 Positive
87.5	+75%	60	93.8	60 Positive
100	+100%	60	112.2	60 Positive

Table 3. Precision - Qualitative - Quantisal II Pad A

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Result
0	-100%	60	60 Negative



Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Result
12.5	-75%	60	60 Negative
25	-50%	60	60 Negative
37.5	-25%	60	60 Negative
50	Cutoff	60	34 Neg/26 Pos
62.5	+25%	60	60 Positive
75	+50%	60	60 Positive
87.5	+75%	60	60 Positive
100	+100%	60	60 Positive

Table 4. Precision - Semi-Quantitative - Quantisal II Pad A

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Mean Conc. (ng/mL)	Result
0	-100%	60	2.4	60 Negative
12.5	-75%	60	14.7	60 Negative
25	-50%	60	25.1	60 Negative
37.5	-25%	60	38.4	60 Negative
50	Cutoff	60	48.6	44 Neg/16 Pos
62.5	+25%	60	63.8	60 Positive
75	+50%	60	74.9	60 Positive
87.5	+75%	60	86.8	60 Positive
100	+100%	60	109.3	60 Positive

Table 5. Precision – Qualitative – Quantisal II Pad B

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Result
0	-100%	60	60 Negative
12.5	-75%	60	60 Negative
25	-50%	60	60 Negative
37.5	-25%	60	60 Negative
50	Cutoff	60	31 Neg/29 Pos
62.5	+25%	60	60 Positive
75	+50%	60	60 Positive
87.5	+75%	60	60 Positive
100	+100%	60	60 Positive



Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Mean Conc. (ng/mL)	Result
0	-100%	60	3.8	60 Negative
12.5	-75%	60	14.7	60 Negative
25	-50%	60	24.9	60 Negative
37.5	-25%	60	40.4	60 Negative
50	Cutoff	60	49.0	42 Neg/18 Pos
62.5	+25%	60	65.8	60 Positive
75	+50%	60	78.3	60 Positive
87.5	+75%	60	89.0	60 Positive
100	+100%	60	111.9	60 Positive

Table 6. Precision - Semi-Quantitative - Quantisal II Pad B

2. Specificity and Cross-Reactivity

Structurally and functionally similar compounds were spiked into drug free pooled oral fluid at levels that will yield a result that is equivalent to the cutoff, if cross reacting. The study verified the cross reactivity of the methamphetamine assay to related drugs and drug metabolites, in both the qualitative and semi-quantitative modes. Cross-reactivity test results in qualitative mode are presented in **Table 7**. Cross-reactivity test results in semi-quantitative mode are presented in **Table 8**.

Table 7. Cross-Reactivity – Qualitative

Compound	Compound	Methamphetamine	Result	Cross-
	Conc. (ng/mL)	Equivalent Conc. (ng/mL)		Reactivity (%)
l-Methamphetamine	7,500	50	POS	0.7
d,l-Methamphetamine	110	50	POS	45.5
d-Amphetamine	8,000	50	POS	0.6
1-Amphetamine	40,000	< 50	NEG	< 0.1
Diphenhydramine	40,000	<50	NEG	< 0.1
Doxylamine	40,000	<50	NEG	< 0.1
d-Ephedrine	40,000	<50	NEG	< 0.1
1-Ephedrine	4,250	50	POS	1.2
Fenfluramine	5,000	50	POS	1.0
Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)	55	50	POS	90.9
(±)-3,4- Methylenedioxyethylamphetamine (MDEA)	110	50	POS	45.5
Methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA)	6,000	50	POS	0.8
Methylone	27,500	50	POS	0.2
4-Methoxyamphetamine (PMA)	3,250	50	POS	1.5
Para-Methoxy-N- Methylamphetamine (PMMA)	27.75	50	POS	180.2



Compound	Compound Conc. (ng/mL)	Methamphetamine Equivalent Conc. (ng/mL)	Result	Cross- Reactivity (%)
Phenethylamine	40,000	<50	NEG	<0.1
Phenylephrine	40,000	< 50	POS	< 0.1
Phentermine	40,000	<50	POS	< 0.1
Phenylpropanolamine (PPA)	40,000	<50	POS	< 0.1
d-Pseudoephedrine	15,000	50	POS	0.3
1-Pseudoephedrine	40,000	50	NEG	0.1*
Tyramine	40,000	<50	NEG	< 0.1

^{*}As the Semi-Quantitative result is positive, the % cross reactivity is calculated based on Semi-Quantitative result.

Table 8. Cross-Reactivity – Semi-Quantitative

	Compound	Methamphetamine	Mean		
Compound	Conc. (ng/mL)	Equivalent Conc. (ng/mL)	Value (ng/mL)	Result	Cross-Reactivity (%)
1-Methamphetamine	7,500	50	54.4	POS	0.7
d,l-Methamphetamine	110	50	54.1	POS	45.5
d-Amphetamine	8,000	50	51.2	POS	0.6
l-Amphetamine	40,000	< 50	28.9	NEG	<0.1
Diphenhydramine	40,000	< 50	2.8	NEG	<0.1
Doxylamine	40,000	< 50	2.3	NEG	<0.1
d-Ephedrine	40,000	< 50	22.9	NEG	<0.1
l-Ephedrine	4,250	50	50.0	POS	1.2
Fenfluramine	5,000	50	54.3	POS	1.0
Methylenedioxymethamp hetamine (MDMA)	55	50	51.3	POS	90.9
(±)-3,4- Methylenedioxyethylamp hetamine (MDEA)	110	50	50.0	POS	45.5
Methylenedioxyampheta mine (MDA)	6,000	50	53.7	POS	0.8
Methylone	27,500	50	52.2	POS	0.2
Methoxyamphetamine (PMA)	3,250	50	53.6	POS	1.5
Para-Methoxy-N- Methylamphetamine (PMMA)	27.75	50	51.5	POS	180.2
Phenethylamine	40,000	< 50	14.1	NEG	<0.1
Phenylephrine	40,000	< 50	14.8	POS	<0.1
Phentermine	40,000	< 50	35.2	POS	<0.1
Phenylpropanolamine (PPA)	40,000	<50	15.9	POS	<0.1
d-Pseudoephedrine	15,000	50	52.5	POS	0.3
1-Pseudoephedrine	40,000	50	50.0	POS	0.1
Tyramine	40,000	< 50	15.4	NEG	< 0.1



3. Interference – Structurally Unrelated Compounds

Structurally unrelated compounds were evaluated in qualitative and semi-quantitative modes by spiking the potential interferent into drug free oral fluid containing methamphetamine at $\pm 25\%$ of the cutoff. At the levels tested, there was no interference with structurally unrelated compounds. The concentration levels of structurally unrelated compounds are presented in **Table 9**.

Table 9. Non-Interfering Structurally Unrelated Compounds

Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)
4-Bromo-2,5,Dimethoxyphenethylamine	40,000
Acetaminophen	40,000
6-Acetylcodeine	40,000
6-Acetylmorphine	40,000
Alprazolam	40,000
7-Aminoclonazepam	40,000
7-Aminoflunitrazepam	40,000
7-Aminonitrazepam	40,000
Amitriptyline	40,000
Amobarbital	40,000
Benzylpiperazine	5,000
Bromazepam	40,000
Buprenorphine	40,000
Bupropion	40,000
Butabarbital	40,000
Butalbital	40,000
Cannabidiol	40,000
Cannabinol	40,000
Carbamazepine	40,000
Carisoprodol	40,000
Chlordiazepoxide	40,000
Chlorpromazine	40,000
cis-Tramadol	40,000
Clobazam	40,000
Clomipramine	40,000
Clonazepam	40,000
Cocaine	40,000
Clozapine	40,000
Codeine	40,000
Cotinine	40,000
Cyclobenzaprine	40,000
Demoxepam	40,000



Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)
Desakylflurazepam	40,000
Desipramine	15,000
Desomorphine	40,000
Dextromethorphan	40,000
Dihydrocodeine	40,000
Diazepam	40,000
Digoxin	40,000
Dehydronorketamine	40,000
Delta-9-THC	40,000
Doxepin	35,000
Ecgonine	40,000
Ecgonine Methyl Ester	40,000
EDDP	40,000
EMDP	40,000
Ethyl-β-D-Glucuronide	40,000
Ethylmorphine	40,000
Fentanyl	40,000
Flunitrazepam	40,000
Fluoxetine	15,000
Flurazepam	40,000
Haloperidol	40,000
Heroin	40,000
Hydrocodone	40,000
Hydromorphone	40,000
11-hydroxy-delta-9-THC	40,000
Imipramine	40,000
Ketamine	40,000
Lamotrigine	40,000
Levorphanol	40,000
Lidocaine	40,000
Lorazepam	40,000
Lorazepam Glucuronide	40,000
Lormetazepam	40,000
LSD	40,000
Maprotiline	40,000
Meperidine	40,000
Meprobamate	40,000
Methadone	40,000
Methaqualone	40,000



Conc. Tested (ng/mL)
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
20,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000
40,000



Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)
Propoxyphene	40,000
Protriptyline	20,000
Ritalinic Acid	40,000
Salicylic Acid	40,000
Secobarbital	40,000
Sertraline	40,000
Sufentanil	40,000
Tapentadol	40,000
Temazepam	40,000
Theophylline	40,000
Thioridazine	40,000
Trazadone	40,000
Triazolam	40,000
3-Trifluoromethylphenyl-piperazine	20,000
Trimipramine	20,000
Venlafaxine	40,000
Verapamil	30,000
Zolpidem	40,000

4. Interference – Endogenous Compounds and Exogenous Compounds

Endogenous compounds and exogenous compounds were evaluated in qualitative and semi-quantitative modes by spiking the potential interferent into drug free oral fluid containing methamphetamine at ±25% of the cutoff. Additional orally used products were tested by collecting oral fluid using Quantisal and Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Devices from volunteers after use of the substances. At the levels tested, there was no interference observed with endogenous compounds, exogenous compounds and orally used compounds. Endogenous compounds and exogenous compounds are presented in **Tables 10** and 11. Orally used compounds tested are presented in **Table 12**.

Table 10. Non-interfering Endogenous Compounds

Compound	Concentration Tested
Ascorbic Acid	2 mg/mL
Bilirubin	0.15 mg/mL
Cholesterol	0.45 mg/mL
γ-Globulin	0.8 mg/mL
Hemoglobin	2 mg/mL
Human Serum Albumin	15 mg/mL
IgA	1 mg/mL
IgG	1 mg/mL
IgM	0.5 mg/mL
Salivary-α-amylase	1000 U/mL



ds

Compound	Concentration Tested
Acetylsalicylic Acid	0.01 mg/mL
Baking Soda	0.6% v/v
Denture Adhesive	0.6% w/v
Ibuprofen	0.01 mg/mL
Alcohol (Ethanol)	6% v/v
Caffeine	0.01 mg/mL
Coffee	6% v/v
Cranberry Juice	6% v/v
Milk	1% v/v
Mouthwash	6% v/v
Naproxen	0.01 mg/mL
Orange Juice	2% v/v
Soft Drink (Pepsi)	6% v/v
Sodium Chloride	18 mg/mL
Sugar	10 mg/mL
Tea	6% v/v
Toothpaste	6% w/v

Table 12. Non-interfering Orally Used Exogenous Products

Compound	Concentration Tested
Teeth Whitener	2 strips
Cigarette	1 cigarette
Hard Candy	1 piece
Chewing Gum	1 piece
Hydrogen Peroxide (3% OTC)	Neat (2 min. mouth rinse)
Sugar	2 Teaspoons
Cough Syrup	2 Teaspoons
Milk	100 mL
Orange Juice	100 mL
Ibuprofen	200 mg
Acetaminophen	1000 mg

5. Interference – pH

To evaluate potential interference from the effect of oral fluid pH, device performance in the qualitative and semi-quantitative modes was tested using a range of oral fluid pH values (3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0) and 11.0). All test samples were prepared in drug free oral fluid containing methamphetamine at $\pm 25\%$ of the cutoff. At the pH levels tested, there was no interference observed for each test mode.

6. Linearity/Recovery

Assay linearity was evaluated in the semi-quantitative mode by spiking a drug free oral fluid pool with a high concentration of methamphetamine. Additional pools were made by serially diluting the high concentration specimen with drug free oral fluid to achieve concentrations ranging from 20 ng/mL to



220 ng/mL. The 0 ng/mL specimen was made from drug free oral fluid. Each pool was collected by Quantisal and Quantisal II oral fluid collection devices and tested in triplicate to calculate the mean concentration values that were used to calculate drug recovery. Linearity test results in semi-quantitative mode are presented in **Tables 13** to **15**. The study confirmed the linear range to be 20-200 ng/mL with a drug recovery percentage of 93.9% to 109.2% across the collection devices.

Table 13. Linearity/Recovery – Quantisal

Expected Concentration	Mean Concentration	Recovery (%)
(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	
0	1.0	N/A
20	20.7	103.3
40	43.1	107.8
50	51.9	103.8
60	64.8	107.9
80	81.2	101.5
100	96.8	96.8
120	127.7	106.4
140	141.4	101.0
160	150.3	93.9
180	184.6	102.6
200	194.8	97.4
220	225.3	102.4

Table 14. Linearity/Recovery – Quantisal II "A"

Expected Concentration	Mean Concentration	Recovery (%)
(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	
0	-1.4	N/A
20	20.5	102.5
40	41.6	103.9
50	48.4	96.8
60	59.3	98.9
80	78.2	97.8
100	97.3	97.3
120	123.2	102.6
140	145.6	104.0
160	159.0	99.4
180	180.0	100.0
200	201.0	100.5
220	219.9	100.0

Table 15. Linearity/Recovery – Quantisal II "B"

Expected Concentration	Mean Concentration	Recovery (%)
(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	
0	0.5	N/A
20	21.8	109.2
40	42.9	107.3
50	49.1	98.3

13



Expected Concentration	Mean Concentration	Recovery (%)
(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	
60	60.9	101.5
80	78.2	97.8
100	94.8	94.8
120	119.3	99.4
140	140.7	100.5
160	163.6	102.2
180	176.3	98.0
200	192.4	96.2
220	214.5	97.5

7. Methamphetamine Stability in Oral Fluid

Drug free negative oral fluid spiked with methamphetamine at +50% of the 50 ng/mL cutoff were collected and stored in Quantisal and Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Devices at 2°C - 8°C, tested by LC-MS/MS at each time point and compared to the baseline concentration result. The test results indicate that oral fluid samples containing methamphetamine are stable for up to 12 months stored in Quantisal or Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Device at 2°C - 8°C.

Data to support 10-day storage in Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Device at ambient temperature 8°C - 25°C were reported in K183048 and K200801.

8. Calibration Duration

Drug free negative oral fluid spiked with methamphetamine at $\pm 25\%$ of the cutoff were tested in qualitative mode at time points up to 14 days and in semi-quantitative at time points up to 10 days. At the initial time point, a two-point calibration curve was established in qualitative mode and multi-points calibration curve was established in semi-quantitative mode. These calibrations were used through the duration of the study. The test results met acceptance criteria up to 10 days. The recommended frequency of calibration is 7 days.

9. Method Comparison

80 deidentified, unaltered clinical oral fluid samples collected by Quantisal and Quantisal II Oral Fluid Collection Devices were obtained from clinical research facilities, analyzed for methamphetamine at assay cutoff with the SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay in both qualitative and semi-quantitative modes and compared to Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) results. The instruments used were the Beckman Coulter AU480 chemistry analyzer and an Agilent 6430 Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. The data demonstrate that the design goal of greater than 95% agreement was achieved. Method comparison test results in qualitative and semi-quantitative modes are presented from **Tables 16** to **18**.



Table 16. Method Comparison – Quantisal

	LC-MS/MS Methamphetamine Concentration					
	inoassay esult	< 25 ng/mL (less than -50% cutoff)	25 – 49 ng/mL (between -50% cutoff and cutoff)	50 – 75 ng/mL (between cutoff and +50% cutoff)	> 75 ng/mL (greater than +50% cutoff)	Agreement (%)
01	Positive	0	0	4	36	100% (40/40)
Qual.	Negative	36	4	0	0	100% (40/40)
Semi-	Positive	0	0	4	36	100% (40/40)
Quant.	Negative	36	4	0	0	100% (40/40)

Table 17. Method Comparison – Quantisal II "A"

LC-M			IS/MS Methamphetamine Concentration			
	inoassay esult	< 25 ng/mL (less than -50% cutoff)	25 – 49 ng/mL (between -50% cutoff and cutoff)	50 – 75 ng/mL (between cutoff and +50% cutoff)	> 75 ng/mL (greater than +50% cutoff)	Agreement (%)
Onel	Positive	0	0	5	35	100% (40/40)
Qual.	Negative	36	4	0	0	100% (40/40)
Semi-	Positive	0	0	5	35	100% (40/40)
Quant.	Negative	36	4	0	0	100% (40/40)

Table 18. Method Comparison – Quantisal II "B"

		LC-MS/MS Methamphetamine Concentration				
	inoassay esult	< 25 ng/mL (less than -50% cutoff)	25 – 49 ng/mL (between -50% cutoff and cutoff)	50 – 75 ng/mL (between cutoff and +50% cutoff)	> 75 ng/mL (greater than +50% cutoff)	Agreement (%)
Ouel	Positive	0	0	5	35	100% (40/40)
Qual.	Negative	36	4	0	0	100% (40/40)
Semi-	Positive	0	0	5	35	100% (40/40)
Quant.	Negative	36	4	0	0	100% (40/40)

H. CONCLUSION

The information provided in this pre-market notification demonstrates that the SEFRIA Methamphetamine Oral Fluid Enzyme Immunoassay is substantially equivalent to the legally marketed predicate device for its intended use.