Table 1: Molecular Zika Virus (ZIKV) Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) Assays - Performance Characteristics

| EUA Holder Assay Name* | Authorized Human ZIKV Ge Specimens# Target(| | Detection ⁵ | Clinical Performance^ (Specimen) [Rate] {95% CI} | | FDA Reference Material Testing (RNA NAAT Detectable Units/mL) | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Date of Authorization | | | (Specimen) | Positive Percent Agreement (PPA) | Negative Percent Agreement (NPA) | S1 | S2 |
| Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Trioplex Real-time RT-PCR Assay March 17, 2016 | Serum (S) Whole blood (WB) Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Amniotic fluid (AF) Urine (U) | Envelope | (S) 19300 GCE/mL~ (U) 53800 GCE/mL~ (WB) 2430 GCE/mL | (S) 100.0% [19/19] {83.2-100%} (WB) 96.1% [146/152] {91.7-98.2%} | (S) 99.1% [110/111] {95.1-99.8%} (WB) 100.0% [116/116] {96.8-100%} | (S) 3300 (U) 1000 | (S) 1670 (U) 1670 |
| Quest Diagnostics Infectious Disease, Inc. Zika Virus RNA Qualitative Real-Time RT-PCR April 28, 2016 | Serum Urine | Envelope and Membrane | (S) 250 copies/mL (U) 500 copies/mL | (S) 94.6% [53/56] {85.4- 98.2%} (U) 100% [60/60] {94.0- 100% | (S) 100.0% [54/54] {93.4- 100%} (U) 100% [59/59] {93.9- 100%} | (S) 1000 (U) 1000 | (S) 500 (U) 1500 |
| altona Diagnostics GmbH RealStar Zika Virus RT- PCR Kit U.S. May 13, 2016 | Serum EDTA Plasma Urine | Proprietary | (S) 251.6 GEQ/mL (U) 79.6 GEQ/mL | (S) 96.8% [60/62] {89.0- 99.1%} ^a | (S) 95.1% [39/41] {83.9-98.7%} ^a | (S) 3162 (U) 3162 | (S) 5000 (U) 5000 Manual Ext. (U) 1581 Auto. Ext. |
| Hologic, Inc. Aptima Zika Virus Assay June 17, 2016 | Serum Plasma Processed urine Processed whole blood K2EDTA | NS2 NS4/NS5 | (P) 5.9 copies/mL (U) 8.5 copies/mL | (P) 100.0% [114/114] {96.7-100%} (U) 100% [109/109] {96.6- 100%} (WB) 95.3% [46/48] {86.0- 98.9%} | (P) 97.2% [70/72] {90.4- 99.2%} (U) 100% (123/123) {97.0- 100%} (WB) 100% [50/50] {92.9- 100%} | (P) 100 (U) 300 (WB) 1000 | (P) 150 (U) 150 (WB) 167 |
| Viracor Eurofins Zika Virus Real-time RT- PCR Test July 19, 2016 | Serum Plasma Urine | Proprietary | (P) 50 copies/mL (U) 35 copies/mL | (P) 95.7% [67/70] {88.1- 98.5%} (S) 100% [12/12] {69.8- 100%} (U) 100.0% [61/61] {94.1- | (P) 89.7% [52/58] {79.2- 95.2%} (S) 96.4% [54/56] {86.6- 99.4%} (U) 95.3% [41/43] {84.5- | (P) 1000 (U) 1000 | (P) 500 (U) 500 |

| EUA Holder Assay Name* | Authorized Human Specimens# | ZIKV Gene Target(s) | ZIKV Limit of Detection ^{\$} (Specimen) | Clinical Performance^ (Specimen) [Rate] {95% CI} | | FDA Reference Material Testing (RNA NAAT Detectable Units/mL) | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Date of Authorization | | | | Positive Percent | Negative Percent | S1 | S2 |
| | | | | Agreement (PPA) | Agreement (NPA) | | |
| | | | | 100%} | 98.7%} | | |
| Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc. | | | | (P) 100.0% [64/64] {94.3- 100%} | (P) 88.5% [54/61] {78.2- 94.3%} | | |
| VERSANT Zika RNA 1.0 Assay (kPCR) Kit | Serum EDTA plasma | Proprietary | (S), (P) 721 GCE/mL (U) 1081 GCE/mL | (S) 90.6% [87/96] {83.1- 95.0%} | (S) 85.2% [46/54] {73.4- 92.3%} | (S) 1000 | (S) 5000 |
| July 29, 2016 | Urine | | (0) 1001 GCL/IIIL | (U) 86.7% [39/45] {73.8- 93.7%} | (U) 84.5% [71/84] {75.3- 90.7%} | (U) 3000 | (U) 5000 |
| Luminex Corporation xMAP MultiFLEX Zika RNA | Serum Plasma Urine | 6 Distinct genomic | (S), (P), (U) | (S) 95.8% [46/48] {85.1- 99.5%} | (S) 98.1% [104/106] {93.4- 99.5%} | (S) 3000 | (S) 5000 |
| Assay August 4, 2016 | | regions Proprietary | 687 copies/mL | (U) 94.3% [50/53] {84.6- 98.1%} | (U) 96.8% [60/62] {89.3- 99.1%} | (U) 3330 | (U) 5000 |
| Vela Diagnostics USA, Inc. | | | | (P) 94.9% [74/78] {87.5- 98.0%} | (P) 100.0% [56/56] {93.6- 100%} | | |
| Sentosa SA ZIKV RT-PCR Test | Serum EDTA plasma | NS4A | (S), (P), (U) 3000 copies/mL | (S) 94.2% [65/69] {86.0- 97.7%} | (S) 100% [59/59] {93.9- 100%} | (S) 30000 (U) 10000 | (S) 15000 (U) 5000 |
| September 23, 2016 | Urine | | | (U) 100.0% [61/61] {94.1- 100%} | (U) 100.0% [49/49] {92.7- 100%} | (0) 10000 | (0) 3000 |
| ARUP Laboratories Zika Virus Detection by | Serum EDTA plasma Urine | Proprietary | (S), (P), (U) 160 copies/mL | (P) 90.7% [68/75] {82.0- 95.4%} | (P) 93.3% [70/75] {85.3- 97.1%} | | |
| RT-PCR | | | | (S) 98.0% [49/50] {89.5- 99.7%} | (S) 100.0% [15/15] {79.6- 100%} | (P) 3000 | (P) 1650 |
| September 28, 2016 | | | | | | (U) 3300 | (U) 4500 |
| | | | | (U) 91.1% [51/56] {80.7- 96.1%} | (U) 95.7% [66/69] {87.9- 96.7%} | | |
| Abbott Molecular Inc. Abbott RealTime Zika | Serum EDTA Plasma Whole blood Urine | prM precursor membrane protein and NS3 | (S) 30 copies/mL (P) (U) 40 copies/mL (WB) 120 copies/mL | (P) 93.4% [57/61] {84.1- 98.2%} | (P) 100.0% [60/60] {94.0- 100%} | (S) 1000 | (S) 500 |
| November 21, 2016 | | | | (S) 92.6% [50/54] {82.1- 97.9%} | (S) 97.0% [65/67] {89.6- 99.6%} | (U) 300 | (U) 500 |
| | | | | (U) 87.3% [69/79] {78.0- 93.8%} | (U) 95.5% [64/67] {87.5- 99.1%} | (WB) 1000 | (WB) 1500 |

| EUA Holder Assay Name* | | ZIKV Gene Target(s) | ZIKV Limit of Detection ^{\$} (Specimen) | Clinical Performance^ (Specimen) [Rate] {95% CI} | | FDA Reference Material Testing (RNA NAAT Detectable Units/mL) | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|--|---|---|---|--------------------|
| Date of Authorization | | | (эресппеп) | Positive Percent Agreement (PPA) | Negative Percent Agreement (NPA) | S1 | S2 |
| | | | | (WB) 96.0% [48/50] {86.3- 99.5%} | (WB) 100.0% [50/50] {92.9-100%} | | |
| ELITechGroup Inc. Molecular Diagnostics Zika ELITe MGB Kit U.S. December 9, 2016 | Serum EDTA plasma | NS3 | (P) 270 copies/mL | (P) 94.7% [89/94] {88.1- 97.7%} | (P) 90.9% [70/77] {82.4- 95.5%} | (P) 3330 | (P) 5560 |
| Nanobiosym Diagnostics, Inc. Gene-RADAR Zika Virus Test March 20, 2017 | Serum | Proprietary | (S) 18000 copies/mL ^b | (S) 100.0% [50/50] {92.9- 100%} | (S) 100.0% [50/50] {92.9- 100%} | (S) 3300 | (S) 5000 |
| Thermo Fisher Scientific TaqPath Zika Virus Kit | Serum Urine | Proprietary | (S) 50 GC/mL (U) 35 GC/mL | (S) 94.9% [111/117] {90.8- 98.9%} (U) 88.6% [93/105] {82.4- | (S) 100.0% [76/76] {95.3- 100%} (U) 100.0% [74/74] {95.1- | (S) 3330 (U) 3300 | (S) 500 (U) 500 |
| August 2, 2017 Columbia University CII-ArboViroPlex rRT-PCR | Serum | 3' UTR | (S) (U) 5160 GEQ/mL | 94.8%} (S) 97.0% [65/67] {89.8- 99.2%} | 100%} (S) 100.0% [129/129] {97.1-100%} | (S) 1000 | (S) 500 |
| August 11, 2017 | Urine | | | (U) 98.1% [51/52] {89.9- 99.7%} | (U) 100.0% [50/50] {92.9- 100%} | (U) 1000 | (U) 500 |

^{*}Listed in order of initial EUA issuance, information current as of May 1, 2018.

^{*}P = Plasma, S = Serum, U = Urine, WB = Whole blood, CSF = Cerebrospinal fluid.

SManufacturer determined LoD, please see manufacturer Instructions for Use to specific material used to characterize the analytical sensitivity/LoD; unit definitions GCE = Genome Copy Equivalent, GEQ = genomic equivalent quantity, GC = Genomic Copies.

[~]Large volume extraction protocols have improved sensitivity (S) 2.5x103 GCE/mL and (U) 4.6x103 GCE/mL

[^]The clinical validations that were performed for currently authorized PCR tests differ with respect to the comparator method used, and therefore depend on the performance of the comparator. They also differ with respect to the number of natural clinical and contrived specimens used in the evaluation and the population of patients tested (i.e., endemic and non-endemic area samples in different numbers). These factors impact the performance of an assay and a comparison between the assays based on the clinical performance, thus these factors have to be taken into consideration. In particular, it is critical to note that those firms that have sourced their negative samples in the endemic areas or that have tested a higher number of clinical samples from endemic areas usually have slightly lower performance because of the frequency of true low positive samples in the endemic population. Another example is when the comparator of choice was more

sensitive than the device under evaluation, therefore decreasing the performance of the assay under study. Please see individual manufacturer instructions for the breakdown of the clinical specimens used to evaluate clinical performance.

^aA matrix equivalency study was used to demonstrate equivalency between serum and EDTA plasma clinical matrices. The urine claim was evaluated using paired urine and serum specimens from 52 patients whose patient infected status was determined to be positive from the results of testing the serum and urine specimens with the Zika virus real-time RT-PCR assay described by Lanciotti et al.

^bLoD 200 PFU/mL was converted to 18000 copies/mL using a standard curve which determined 1 PFU to be equivalent to 90 RNA copies.