

---

# **Smallpox (Variola Virus) Infection: Developing Drugs for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry**

## ***DRAFT GUIDANCE***

**This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.**

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact Jeff Murray at 301-796-1500.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**July 2018  
Clinical/Antimicrobial**

**Revision 1**

# **Smallpox (Variola Virus) Infection: Developing Drugs for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry**

*Additional copies are available from:*

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research  
Food and Drug Administration  
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor  
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: [druginfo@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:druginfo@fda.hhs.gov)  
<https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**July 2018  
Clinical/Antimicrobial**

**Revision 1**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.....</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>A. Multidisciplinary Considerations for Studies in Animal Models Using Orthopoxvirus .....</b>	<b>4</b>
	1. <i>Considerations for Preliminary Assessments of Antiviral Activity in Animal Models.....</i>	<i>4</i>
	2. <i>Key Study Design Considerations for Animal Efficacy Studies to Support Potential NDA Submission Under the Animal Rule.....</i>	<i>5</i>
	3. <i>Selection of an Effective Dose in Humans .....</i>	<i>6</i>
	<b>B. Pharmacology/Toxicology Considerations .....</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>C. Nonclinical Virology Considerations.....</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>D. Clinical Considerations .....</b>	<b>8</b>
	1. <i>Healthy Volunteer Safety Trials.....</i>	<i>9</i>
	2. <i>Safety Data From Non-Smallpox Clinical Experience .....</i>	<i>9</i>
	3. <i>Clinical Trials in the Event of a Public Health Emergency.....</i>	<i>10</i>
	4. <i>Expanded Access IND for Emergency Use.....</i>	<i>10</i>
	5. <i>Emergency Use Authorization .....</i>	<i>11</i>
	<b>E. Clinical Pharmacology Considerations.....</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>F. Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Considerations.....</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>13</b>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

## Smallpox (Variola Virus) Infection: Developing Drugs for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup>

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this guidance is to assist sponsors in the clinical development of drugs for the treatment or prevention of smallpox (variola virus) infection.<sup>2</sup> Clinical efficacy trials of drugs for the treatment or prevention of smallpox are not feasible<sup>3</sup> and challenge studies in healthy subjects are unethical; therefore, drugs for these indications should be developed and approved under the regulations commonly referred to as the *animal rule* (21 CFR part 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part 601, subpart H, for biologics). This draft guidance is intended to serve as a focus for continued discussions among the Division of Antiviral Products (DAVP), pharmaceutical sponsors, the academic community, and the public.<sup>4</sup>

This guidance focuses on drugs that are expected to act by inhibiting variola virus replication. Although the primary focus of this guidance is on antiviral drugs, therapeutic proteins or monoclonal antibodies also may be eligible for evaluation under the animal rule. Sponsors interested in developing small molecules, therapeutic proteins, or monoclonal antibodies for use

---

<sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Antiviral Products in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

<sup>2</sup> For the purposes of this guidance, unless otherwise specified, all references to *drugs* include both human drugs and therapeutic biological products (such as therapeutic proteins and monoclonal antibodies) that are regulated by CDER. References to *approval* include new drug application approval for drugs or biologics license application licensure for therapeutic proteins and monoclonal antibodies.

<sup>3</sup> The determination of infeasibility of field trials can change over time. Should circumstances change such that field trials become feasible (e.g., after accidental exposure to or intentional release of variola virus occurs), the sponsor should discuss its development plans with CDER's Division of Antiviral Products.

<sup>4</sup> In addition to consulting guidances, sponsors are encouraged to contact DAVP to discuss specific issues that arise during the development of drugs for treatment or prevention of smallpox.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

32 against smallpox are encouraged to discuss their approach with the FDA as early as possible in  
33 development and are encouraged to communicate with the FDA through the Pre-IND  
34 Consultation Program.<sup>5</sup>

35  
36 This guidance does not address the treatment of bacterial complications of smallpox or the  
37 development of biological therapies such as vaccines or antisera to treat or prevent smallpox.  
38 Sponsors interested in developing other types of biological products, such as vaccines and  
39 immunoglobulin preparations, should contact the appropriate review division in the Center for  
40 Biologics Evaluation and Research.

41  
42 This guidance also does not contain discussion of the general issues of statistical analysis or  
43 clinical trial design. Those topics are addressed in the ICH guidances for industry *E9 Statistical*  
44 *Principles for Clinical Trials* and *E10 Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in Clinical*  
45 *Trials*, respectively.<sup>6</sup>

46  
47 This guidance revises the draft guidance for industry *Smallpox (Variola) Infection: Developing*  
48 *Drugs for Treatment or Prevention* issued in November 2007. This revised draft guidance  
49 includes modifications pertaining to the following: key study design considerations for animal  
50 efficacy studies; selection of an effective dose in humans; nonclinical virology issues; key  
51 pharmacology and toxicology issues; and chemistry, manufacturing, and controls for drugs  
52 developed for smallpox. These revisions intend to streamline the guidance and incorporate input  
53 from a public workshop in 2009 and an advisory committee meeting in 2011.

54  
55 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.  
56 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only  
57 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of  
58 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but  
59 not required.

60

61

## **II. BACKGROUND**

62

63

64 The most severe form of smallpox, variola major, had reported mortality ranging from 5 percent  
65 to 50 percent in different outbreak situations (Fenner et al. 1988). This form is the principal  
66 source of concern regarding potential bioterrorist uses of smallpox and therefore is the most  
67 relevant to this guidance. Worldwide efforts at case identification, containment, and vaccination

---

<sup>5</sup> For more information, see the Getting Started With the Division of Antiviral Products Pre-IND Process web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/InvestigationalNewDrugINDApplication/Overview/ucm077546.htm> and the Investigational New Drug (IND) Application web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/InvestigationalNewDrugINDApplication/default.htm#preIND>.

<sup>6</sup> We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

68 eventually led the World Health Organization in 1980 to declare that smallpox was eradicated.  
69 Retention of variola virus stocks was limited by international agreement to two sites, one in  
70 Russia and the other at the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in Atlanta,  
71 Georgia. However, concerns exist that variola virus could be used as a weapon of bioterrorism.  
72

73 The first line of defense against smallpox infection is vaccination with vaccinia virus (CDC  
74 2015; CDC 2016).<sup>7</sup> However, the usefulness of vaccination in a biothreat situation depends on  
75 the ability to vaccinate exposed and at-risk persons and on whether vaccine immunity will be  
76 able to protect against a variola strain used in a terrorist attack. Because routine smallpox  
77 vaccination in the United States was discontinued in the 1970s and there is no natural disease  
78 exposure, most of the U.S. population is immunologically naïve to smallpox.  
79

80 Historically, treatment for smallpox was supportive (Dixon 1962). It is not known what effect  
81 technologically advanced supportive care might have on mortality and morbidity. Generally, the  
82 mode of death in fatal cases was unclear and could have been multifactorial (Fenner et al. 1988,  
83 Dixon 1962).  
84

85 Antiviral drugs may be a valuable adjunct for exposure situations in which vaccination is not  
86 feasible or fails to provide adequate protection. Drug development programs to evaluate the  
87 safety and efficacy of smallpox treatment or prevention are affected by numerous distinctive  
88 features of smallpox and its history, including:  
89

- 90 • The absence of smallpox cases for decades because of the successful smallpox  
91 eradication program
- 92
- 93 • The absence of detailed information on the pathophysiology of human smallpox itself,  
94 including the mode of death
- 95
- 96 • The lack of any previously recognized effective drug
- 97
- 98 • Ethical issues that preclude human smallpox challenge studies  
99
- 100 • Restriction of variola virus samples to two designated maximum containment facilities  
101
- 102 • The exceptionally narrow host range of variola virus, which contributes to a lack of  
103 pathogenicity in most animal species after variola virus exposure  
104
- 105 • Current nonhuman primate (NHP) models using variola virus are not consistently  
106 reproducible and do not mimic what is known about human smallpox disease  
107
- 108 • The possibility of antiviral drug interference with effects of the live-virus vaccine  
109

---

<sup>7</sup> These citations contain recommendations for vaccination of certain personnel considered to be at risk of occupational exposure to orthopoxviruses (2016) and for broader use if a smallpox event were to occur (2015).

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

- The differences between variola virus and other orthopoxviruses in disease characteristics, drug susceptibility, and host range

In light of these challenges, many specifics of the approaches to drug development for smallpox are likely to differ even from the approaches to other situations involving rare and life-threatening diseases. Because of the unique complexities of drug development in this area, extensive discussion with multiple stakeholders has taken place, including a public workshop in 2009 and an advisory committee meeting in 2011.<sup>8</sup> These discussions helped the FDA formulate the regulatory pathway for smallpox drug development that is described in this guidance.

### **III. DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM**

#### **A. Multidisciplinary Considerations for Studies in Animal Models Using Orthopoxvirus**

Because of the unique characteristics of smallpox disease and variola virus mentioned above and discussed further below, animal studies with several related viruses play a much larger role in drug development for smallpox than is the case for many other infectious diseases.<sup>9</sup>

##### *1. Considerations for Preliminary Assessments of Antiviral Activity in Animal Models*

We recommend that compounds found to be active in cell culture be studied in several lethal animal models using multiple different non-variola orthopoxviruses, including vaccinia virus and other orthopoxviruses with the greatest homology to variola virus for the drug target. Vaccinia virus should be studied because it is related to variola virus, and studies of vaccinia virus also might be relevant to the development of drugs to treat complications of vaccination. Consideration should be given to conducting studies in vaccinia virus-infected immunocompromised/immunosuppressed animals to support the use of the drug in immunocompromised people with either variola virus infection or complications caused by vaccination.

Small animal models should be used to characterize the preliminary antiviral activity of the drug and should evaluate the effects of a wide range of study variables, including drug doses, dosing regimens, treatment times relative to viral exposure and evolution of disease, differences in viral species, strain and inoculum, and route of viral exposure. Results of such studies may help both in estimating the possible effect of these variations and in setting priorities for the use of resources (such as NHPs and/or more pathogenic viruses) that are less readily available or more

---

<sup>8</sup> Materials for the 2011 Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee are available at <https://wayback.archive-it.org/7993/20170404145348/https://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/AntiviralDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/ucm247236.htm>.

<sup>9</sup> We support the principles of the 3Rs, to reduce, refine, and replace animal use in testing when feasible. We encourage sponsors to consult with the FDA if they wish to use a nonanimal testing method they believe is suitable, adequate, validated, and feasible. We will consider if such an alternative method could be assessed for equivalency to an animal test method.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

### ***Draft — Not for Implementation***

149 difficult to work with. We recommend that selection and assessment of NHP models receive  
150 consideration in later stages of animal investigations after initial results become available from  
151 small animal models.

152

#### 153 2. *Key Study Design Considerations for Animal Efficacy Studies to Support* 154 *Potential NDA Submission Under the Animal Rule*

155

156 The selection of the animal models in which to test the efficacy of an investigational drug is  
157 critically important for drugs developed under the animal rule.<sup>10</sup> Sponsors are strongly  
158 encouraged to obtain concurrence from DAVP on the animal models and the design of the  
159 adequate and well-controlled efficacy studies before study initiation.

160

161 During the December 14-15, 2011, Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee meeting on the  
162 development of drugs to treat variola virus infection, the advisory committee agreed with the  
163 FDA's assessment that current lethal NHP models using variola virus are not consistently  
164 reproducible and do not mimic what is known about human smallpox disease. Because scientific  
165 limitations of these available variola virus models preclude definitive efficacy assessments, and  
166 uncertainty exists whether an adequate variola model can be developed, the FDA and the  
167 advisory committee agreed that data from a combination of other lethal animal models using  
168 surrogate orthopoxviruses (e.g., NHP studies with monkeypox virus, rabbit studies with  
169 rabbitpox virus, mouse studies with ectromelia virus) could be used as evidence along with, or  
170 potentially instead of, animal studies using variola virus.

171

172 Based on multiple discussions with stakeholders (including the aforementioned 2011 Antiviral  
173 Drugs Advisory Committee meeting), DAVP recommends the following: (1) data from at least  
174 two lethal animal models of non-variola orthopoxvirus infection should be obtained to evaluate  
175 drug efficacy; (2) non-variola animal models proposed for use in adequate and well-controlled  
176 efficacy studies should be well-characterized and generate reproducible results that are  
177 reasonably expected to predict efficacy in variola virus infected or exposed humans; and (3)  
178 mortality, based on prospectively defined criteria for euthanasia, should be the primary endpoint  
179 for efficacy studies. The recommendation for use of multiple non-variola orthopoxvirus animal  
180 models acknowledges the unique challenges and uncertainties associated with smallpox drug  
181 development, and the fact that no single orthopoxvirus animal model is known to be the best  
182 predictor of human responses to treatments for smallpox.

183

184 As discussed in the guidance for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*,  
185 "euthanasia criteria should be prospectively specified" and agreed to by DAVP before conduct of  
186 animal studies intended to support regulatory decision-making. A detailed documentation of the  
187 euthanasia decision should be included in the study report for each animal euthanized during the  
188 course of the study. The documentation should include, but is not limited to, how the animal met  
189 the euthanasia criteria and whether there were any deviations from the prespecified criteria. The  
190 euthanasia documentation and methods for ensuring data quality and integrity (including  
191 modifications to data handling due to high-containment facility requirements) should also be  
192 discussed with DAVP before study conduct. See the guidance for industry *Product Development*

---

<sup>10</sup> For general discussion of the animal rule and general guidance for developing products under this regulation, see the guidance for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

193 *Under the Animal Rule* regarding data quality and integrity expectations for the adequate and  
194 well-controlled animal efficacy studies and the pharmacokinetic (PK) and/or pharmacodynamic  
195 (PD) studies used to select a dose and regimen in humans.

196  
197 The design of these animal studies should be based on the general principles of human clinical  
198 trial design as well as past experience with characterization of animal models and results from  
199 the nonclinical natural history and exposure-response studies. Animals used in natural history  
200 and efficacy studies should have been demonstrated to be immunologically naïve to the  
201 orthopoxvirus challenge agent based on antibody assays. Protocols should include detailed  
202 clinical observations and laboratory evaluations in the animals, similar to clinical and laboratory  
203 monitoring that might be performed in human clinical trials in drug development programs for  
204 other types of serious illnesses. Furthermore, demonstration of consistency and reproducibility  
205 of results using the same model at different animal facilities can assist in characterizing the  
206 model. Blinding for studies should follow recommendations outlined in the guidance for  
207 industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*. The protocol should also include details  
208 about treatment assignment and randomization procedures.

209  
210 In addition to the primary endpoint of mortality (that is, proportion of animals succumbing to  
211 rather than recovering from disease), sponsors are encouraged to evaluate secondary endpoints  
212 that could be associated with or predictive of outcome in the animal models under development.

213  
214 Other important study design considerations include using a range of drug doses, durations, and  
215 start times, including treatment started both before and after infection and symptomatology have  
216 become clinically established.

217  
218 Animal study protocols should also include methods for quantification of viral burden or viral  
219 shedding (both virus and viral DNA), and evaluation of the relationship between these  
220 quantitative measurements and clinical outcomes of disease and treatment. Viral isolates from  
221 animals failing treatment or with extended shedding of virus should be evaluated for the  
222 development of drug resistance.

223  
224 The goal of the adequate and well-controlled animal studies should be to demonstrate that the  
225 investigational drug is statistically superior to placebo and confers a treatment or prevention  
226 effect considered likely to be clinically meaningful. Power considerations and a proposed  
227 statistical analysis plan should be discussed with the FDA before initiation of planned studies.

228  
229 **3. *Selection of an Effective Dose in Humans***

230  
231 To support human dose selection for an investigational drug, the sponsor should characterize the  
232 PK profile of the drug in healthy humans and both the PK profile and the PD of the drug in the  
233 surrogate orthopoxvirus animal models that are used to demonstrate efficacy. In addition, the PK  
234 profile of the drug in infected animals should be compared to the PK profile of the drug in  
235 healthy animals to determine whether the specific orthopoxvirus infection affects the drug's PK.  
236 It is critical that the PK data in humans and the PK and PD data in animals are obtained in well-  
237 controlled studies using fully validated bioanalytical assays for determining drug concentrations.  
238 For each of the surrogate orthopoxvirus animal models used to establish efficacy, the exposure-

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

239 response relationship of the drug should be established and the fully effective dose and the drug  
240 exposure associated with the fully effective dose should be determined. Furthermore,  
241 interspecies differences in absorption, distribution (including plasma protein binding),  
242 metabolism, and excretion should be considered when determining the human dose.

243  
244 As described in the guidance for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*, human  
245 doses that provide exposures that exceed the exposures in animals associated with the fully  
246 effective dose (ideally by several-fold, if the drug’s safety profile supports such dosing) should  
247 be selected. This serves to accommodate any uncertainties relating to the similarity of the  
248 exposure-response relationship between humans and animals.

### **B. Pharmacology/Toxicology Considerations**

249  
250  
251  
252 Pharmacology/toxicology considerations for safety evaluation should follow the standard drug  
253 development paradigms for small molecules as outlined in the ICH guidance for industry *M3(R2)*  
254 *Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing*  
255 *Authorization for Pharmaceuticals* or for biologics as outlined in the ICH guidance for industry  
256 *S6(R1) Preclinical Safety Evaluation of Biotechnology-Derived Pharmaceuticals*. Historical  
257 clinical data suggest that some patients (e.g., immunocompromised) with variola virus infection  
258 may have had clinical disease lasting longer than 2 weeks; therefore, we recommend that initial  
259 toxicology and safety studies take this possibility into account. Duration of studies to support  
260 investigational new drug application (IND) and new drug application (NDA)/biologics license  
261 application (BLA) filings are outlined in the respective ICH guidances.

262  
263 We do not anticipate that carcinogenicity studies will be needed for drugs that might be used  
264 only to treat established smallpox because the administration of such drugs will not, in most  
265 cases, exceed 6 months. However, if there is a cause for concern (e.g., positive genotoxicity or  
266 other risks for carcinogenicity), then follow-up discussions with DAVP may be warranted.  
267 Lastly, see the guidances for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule* and  
268 *Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format — Standardized Study Data* regarding  
269 requirements for electronic submission of nonclinical pharmacology/toxicology as well as  
270 nonclinical efficacy datasets.

### **C. Nonclinical Virology Considerations**

271  
272  
273  
274 Study reports for the investigational drug should provide results and analyses describing its  
275 mechanism of action, establish its specific antiviral activity in cell culture and animal models,  
276 provide data on the development and potential mechanisms of viral drug resistance (or reduced  
277 susceptibility of the virus to the drug), and assess its cytotoxicity and mitochondrial toxicity.  
278 Additional information on virology studies can be found in the guidance for industry *Antiviral*  
279 *Product Development — Conducting and Submitting Virology Studies to the Agency*.

280  
281 We recommend that sponsors evaluate the investigational drug’s antiviral activity against a broad  
282 panel of orthopoxviruses, including vaccinia virus, orthopoxviruses with the greatest homology  
283 to the variola virus drug target, and orthopoxviruses expected to be used in animal models (e.g.,  
284 monkeypox virus, rabbitpox virus, ectromelia virus). Such assessments constitute a broad-based

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

285 orthopoxvirus testing strategy to screen for potential relevance to variola virus, and assess the  
286 potential of the investigational drug to treat vaccine complications. Ultimately, sponsors should  
287 explore the potential appropriateness of testing the antiviral activity of the investigational drug  
288 against variola virus isolates if other data are sufficiently promising to proceed to this stage.  
289

290 Orthopoxvirus DNA polymerases replicate their viral genomes with high fidelity complicating  
291 the genotypic analysis of resistance in animal studies. Sponsors should include plans in their  
292 resistance analyses to distinguish between nucleotide sequence changes caused by their  
293 resistance assay and those occurring in vivo.  
294

295 Sponsors should submit information on sample collection, assays performed, and on validation  
296 approaches for these assays. Use of a specific procedure, method, or test system in an  
297 investigational protocol for a nonclinical laboratory study, or as a laboratory procedure  
298 supporting a clinical trial, does not constitute FDA endorsement of that procedure, method, or  
299 test system, or FDA approval for clinical laboratory use.<sup>11</sup>  
300

301 The FDA performs independent assessments of virologic and resistance data. Sponsors should  
302 consult with DAVP before submission of virology datasets to obtain information on the most  
303 recent format and, in the case of Next Generation Sequence analysis, the procedure for  
304 submission of FASTQ files.  
305

306 Laboratory work with certain orthopoxviruses must comply with applicable regulations (e.g., the  
307 select agent regulations found at 42 CFR part 73)<sup>12</sup> and should incorporate relevant biosafety and  
308 biosecurity procedures as appropriate to the viruses studied. Sponsors should contact relevant  
309 government agencies such as the CDC and the National Institutes of Health for more information  
310 regarding biosafety procedures.<sup>13</sup>  
311

### **D. Clinical Considerations**

312  
313  
314 For the FDA to approve a drug for treatment or prevention of smallpox under the animal rule, the  
315 safety of the drug must be established (21 CFR part 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part  
316 601, subpart H, for biologics). However, the animal rule does not provide special provisions for  
317 the evaluation of safety. Therefore, the FDA evaluates these drugs under preexisting NDA/BLA  
318 regulations for establishing the safety of new drugs or biological products. Under most  
319 conditions, the human safety data for smallpox drugs will come from healthy volunteer studies  
320 and/or relevant human safety data for the same drugs developed for other indications.  
321 Evaluation of important drug-drug interactions also may involve healthy volunteer studies. In  
322 the event of a smallpox public health emergency, human safety and efficacy data also can be  
323 obtained through the use of investigational smallpox drugs in clinical field trials. For drugs

---

<sup>11</sup> Submission of an investigational device exemption to the Center for Devices and Radiological Health may be warranted if an investigational assay is used in a clinical trial.

<sup>12</sup> Information on the Federal Select Agent Program can be found at <https://www.selectagents.gov>.

<sup>13</sup> Information on biosafety can be found at <https://www.cdc.gov/biosafety/publications/bmb15/index.htm> and <https://www.nih.gov/research-training/safety-regulation-guidance>.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

324 approved under the animal rule, postmarketing clinical trials are required when feasible and  
325 ethical (21 CFR part 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part 601, subpart H, for biologics).

326

### 327 *1. Healthy Volunteer Safety Trials*

328

329 Outside of a public health emergency, the safety evaluation of drugs developed solely for the  
330 treatment of smallpox or smallpox prevention largely depends on safety trials in healthy  
331 volunteers. Nonclinical safety and activity data of the investigational drug should be available  
332 before the initiation of human trials to support safety and to guide clinical trial design (e.g., dose,  
333 duration) as outlined in the respective ICH guidances for small molecules (ICH M3(R2)) or  
334 biologics (ICH S6(R1)). Sponsors should discuss any concerns related to the safety or ethics of  
335 healthy volunteer trials with the FDA early in the drug development program.

336

337 The size and composition of the human safety database needed to support smallpox drug  
338 approval depend on issues such as the indication (e.g., treatment, post-exposure prophylaxis, or  
339 prophylaxis), the drug's toxicity, and the extent of the FDA's experience with a particular drug  
340 (and possibly with related drugs). For a drug intended to treat smallpox, greater known risks or  
341 greater uncertainty about undefined risks may be acceptable if a drug offers a potential for  
342 benefit to smallpox patients, given the serious nature of the disease. In general, a safety database  
343 of at least 300 individuals is needed for a 95 percent confidence interval to rule out a 1 percent  
344 rate of a specific adverse reaction if that specific adverse reaction did not occur in the population  
345 studied. For drugs intended to prevent smallpox infection that might therefore be administered  
346 to large numbers of healthy individuals with uncertain risk of smallpox disease, a larger safety  
347 database may be needed. Sponsors should discuss with DAVP the appropriate safety database  
348 size for their drugs.

349

350 The adverse event grading scale used in safety trials should be appropriate for healthy adult  
351 human volunteers. Safety signals identified from animal studies or human trials should be  
352 characterized and, if necessary, specific study design elements should be incorporated in the  
353 proposed nonclinical and clinical protocols.

354

355 The evaluation of certain drug-drug interactions also may involve healthy volunteer studies.  
356 Sponsors should be prepared to address the potential interaction between a smallpox therapeutic  
357 and smallpox vaccination, and should discuss with DAVP the conduct and timing of animal  
358 studies and any appropriate human studies for this purpose.

359

### 360 *2. Safety Data From Non-Smallpox Clinical Experience*

361

362 Safety information to support approval of a smallpox drug can be derived from clinical trials of  
363 the same drug for a non-smallpox indication. In the case of approved drugs, this can include  
364 safety data generated both pre- and postapproval. For drugs in development for non-smallpox  
365 indications, safety data acquired in all stages of development can support approval under the  
366 animal rule. Because patients with smallpox disease may be expected to be acutely ill, safety  
367 data from clinical trials for non-smallpox indications associated with acute illness may be  
368 particularly relevant. Because clinical studies in related viruses may provide additional support  
369 for a drug's activity as well as its safety, sponsors can consider simultaneously developing a drug

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

370 for another poxvirus infection such as molluscum contagiosum virus, vaccinia virus, or  
371 monkeypox virus.<sup>14</sup>

372

### 373 3. *Clinical Trials in the Event of a Public Health Emergency*

374

375 Sponsors developing smallpox drugs under the animal rule should design one or more clinical  
376 trials to assess the safety and efficacy of the investigational drugs in the event of a human  
377 smallpox outbreak. Sponsors should discuss important trial design elements and potential  
378 smallpox emergency scenarios with the FDA and other relevant stakeholders early in the trial  
379 design process. The trial(s) should be designed to evaluate the most appropriate therapeutic  
380 use(s) for the drug (treatment, post-exposure prophylaxis, or prophylaxis) based on results of  
381 nonclinical studies. Depending on the strength of the data, efficacy and safety results from an  
382 emergency clinical trial could be used to support approval of a drug that was in the process of  
383 being developed under the animal rule.

384

385 The animal rule stipulates that all drugs approved using the animal rule should be evaluated for  
386 efficacy and safety through clinical trials if circumstances arise in which that would be feasible  
387 and ethical. Therefore, smallpox drug approval under the animal rule will include a requirement  
388 to conduct one or more human postmarketing trials if a smallpox outbreak occurs, and the  
389 marketing application must include a plan or approach to meet this requirement (21 CFR part  
390 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part 601, subpart H, for biologics). The drug approval  
391 letter will include a time frame for submission of the final clinical protocol, ready for  
392 implementation should the need arise.

393

### 394 4. *Expanded Access IND for Emergency Use*

395

396 For sporadic events such as smallpox vaccine complications or accidental laboratory exposures  
397 to orthopoxviruses, treatment of a patient under an individual patient expanded access IND for  
398 emergency use may be appropriate if the drug under development is expected to have activity  
399 against the orthopoxvirus and if the patient is not able to participate in a clinical trial.<sup>15</sup> If a  
400 situation arises in which it is necessary to treat a patient under an expanded access IND for  
401 emergency use, a sponsor should collect data to the extent feasible while recognizing that the  
402 data collected may be of limited utility. If frequent sporadic uses of an investigational drug are  
403 anticipated, efforts should be made to develop an appropriate clinical trial protocol.

404

---

<sup>14</sup> Sponsors are strongly encouraged to discuss drug development for non-variola indications with DAVP as early as possible, especially in circumstances in which the drug has potential to fill an unmet need by pursuing those other indications.

<sup>15</sup> The requirements and procedures for expanded access INDs for emergency use can be found in 21 CFR part 312, subpart I, and in the guidance for industry *Expanded Access to Investigational Drugs for Treatment Use — Questions and Answers*.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

### 405 5. *Emergency Use Authorization*

406

407 In the event of a smallpox emergency, the FDA may issue an emergency use authorization  
408 (EUA)<sup>16</sup> to provide emergency access to unapproved drugs (or approved drugs with unapproved  
409 indications) after the Secretary of Health and Human Services issues the requisite declaration<sup>17</sup>  
410 that circumstances exist justifying the authorization of emergency use of the drugs, provided  
411 other statutory criteria are met. For example, the FDA must conclude that based on the totality  
412 of scientific evidence available, it is reasonable to believe that a drug may be effective to treat or  
413 prevent smallpox, the known and potential benefits outweigh the known and potential risks of  
414 the drug, and there is no adequate, approved, and available alternative. Sponsors that think that  
415 their drugs may warrant EUA consideration are encouraged to submit relevant information and  
416 initiate pre-EUA discussions rather than waiting for a potential emergency to arise; however, the  
417 issuance of an EUA is not considered an appropriate final goal for drug development or a  
418 substitute for generating data to support an NDA or BLA.

419

#### 420 **E. Clinical Pharmacology Considerations**

421

422 See section III.A.3., Selection of an Effective Dose in Humans, for a discussion on obtaining  
423 exposure-response data for the investigational drug from at least two well-characterized animal  
424 models to aid in determining a human effective dose. Sponsors should follow the standard drug  
425 development paradigms for clinical pharmacology. Intrinsic and extrinsic factors (such as organ  
426 impairment, food effect, or drug interactions) that may affect the pharmacokinetics of an  
427 investigational drug should be well characterized and the effective dose in humans should be  
428 adjusted if necessary. Because of human subject protection considerations in the conduct of  
429 clinical trials in specific populations, such as pregnant women and pediatric patients (45 CFR  
430 part 46, subparts B and D), administration of an investigational drug solely for the purpose of  
431 collecting PK data may not be ethical. For such specific populations, it may be possible to  
432 obtain PK data if there are situations in which a drug is already being used for reasons other than  
433 solely for obtaining PK data. In some circumstances, modeling and simulation methods can be  
434 used to determine effective doses; the use of such methods should be discussed with the FDA.

435

#### 436 **F. Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Considerations**

437

438 Sponsors should pay particular attention to developing formulations for patients who are unable  
439 to swallow solid oral dosage formulations (e.g., development of oral solutions and powders for  
440 pediatric patients, parenteral formulations for extremely ill patients).

441

442 It is likely that drugs for the treatment or prevention of smallpox infection may be stockpiled for  
443 long periods of time in anticipation of a sudden outbreak and therefore an expiration dating

---

<sup>16</sup> The requirements and procedures for EUAs can be found in section 564 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 360bbb-3) and in the guidance for industry and other stakeholders *Emergency Use Authorization of Medical Products and Related Authorities* (available at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm125127.htm>).

<sup>17</sup> The declaration of the Secretary of Health and Human Services must be based on one of four determinations (including a material threat determination), as described in statute (section 564(b)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360bbb-3(b)(1))).

***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

444 period (shelf life) that is longer than usual may be desirable. To generate the stability data  
445 needed to support a long expiration dating period, it may be advantageous to place in the long-  
446 term stability testing program larger amounts of drug than is usual.  
447

*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

**REFERENCES**

448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465

CDC, 2015, Clinical Guidance for Smallpox Vaccine Use in a Postevent Vaccination Program, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 64(RR02):1–26.

CDC, 2016, Use of Vaccinia Virus Smallpox Vaccine in Laboratory and Health Care Personnel at Risk for Occupational Exposure to Orthopoxviruses — Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 65(10):257–262.

Dixon, CW, 1962, Complications. Treatment and Nursing. Sequelae, in Smallpox, J. & A. Churchill LTD, London, available at [https://www.nlm.nih.gov/nichsr/esmallpox/smallpox\\_dixon.pdf](https://www.nlm.nih.gov/nichsr/esmallpox/smallpox_dixon.pdf).

Fenner, F, Henderson DA, Arita I, Ježek Z, and Ladnyi ID, 1988, Smallpox and Its Eradication, World Health Organization, Geneva, (accessible at <http://whqlibdoc.who.int/smallpox/9241561106.pdf>).