
Smallpox (Variola Virus) Infection: Developing Drugs for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact Jeff Murray at 301-796-1500.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**July 2018
Clinical/Antimicrobial**

Revision 1

Smallpox (Variola Virus) Infection: Developing Drugs for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**July 2018
Clinical/Antimicrobial**

Revision 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.....	4
	A. Multidisciplinary Considerations for Studies in Animal Models Using Orthopoxvirus	4
	1. <i>Considerations for Preliminary Assessments of Antiviral Activity in Animal Models.....</i>	<i>4</i>
	2. <i>Key Study Design Considerations for Animal Efficacy Studies to Support Potential NDA Submission Under the Animal Rule.....</i>	<i>5</i>
	3. <i>Selection of an Effective Dose in Humans</i>	<i>6</i>
	B. Pharmacology/Toxicology Considerations	7
	C. Nonclinical Virology Considerations.....	7
	D. Clinical Considerations	8
	1. <i>Healthy Volunteer Safety Trials.....</i>	<i>9</i>
	2. <i>Safety Data From Non-Smallpox Clinical Experience</i>	<i>9</i>
	3. <i>Clinical Trials in the Event of a Public Health Emergency.....</i>	<i>10</i>
	4. <i>Expanded Access IND for Emergency Use.....</i>	<i>10</i>
	5. <i>Emergency Use Authorization</i>	<i>11</i>
	E. Clinical Pharmacology Considerations.....	11
	F. Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Considerations.....	11
	REFERENCES.....	13

**Smallpox (Variola Virus) Infection:
Developing Drugs for Treatment or Prevention
Guidance for Industry¹**

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this guidance is to assist sponsors in the clinical development of drugs for the treatment or prevention of smallpox (variola virus) infection.² Clinical efficacy trials of drugs for the treatment or prevention of smallpox are not feasible³ and challenge studies in healthy subjects are unethical; therefore, drugs for these indications should be developed and approved under the regulations commonly referred to as the *animal rule* (21 CFR part 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part 601, subpart H, for biologics). This draft guidance is intended to serve as a focus for continued discussions among the Division of Antiviral Products (DAVP), pharmaceutical sponsors, the academic community, and the public.⁴

This guidance focuses on drugs that are expected to act by inhibiting variola virus replication. Although the primary focus of this guidance is on antiviral drugs, therapeutic proteins or monoclonal antibodies also may be eligible for evaluation under the animal rule. Sponsors interested in developing small molecules, therapeutic proteins, or monoclonal antibodies for use

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Antiviral Products in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

² For the purposes of this guidance, unless otherwise specified, all references to *drugs* include both human drugs and therapeutic biological products (such as therapeutic proteins and monoclonal antibodies) that are regulated by CDER. References to *approval* include new drug application approval for drugs or biologics license application licensure for therapeutic proteins and monoclonal antibodies.

³ The determination of infeasibility of field trials can change over time. Should circumstances change such that field trials become feasible (e.g., after accidental exposure to or intentional release of variola virus occurs), the sponsor should discuss its development plans with CDER's Division of Antiviral Products.

⁴ In addition to consulting guidances, sponsors are encouraged to contact DAVP to discuss specific issues that arise during the development of drugs for treatment or prevention of smallpox.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

32 against smallpox are encouraged to discuss their approach with the FDA as early as possible in
33 development and are encouraged to communicate with the FDA through the Pre-IND
34 Consultation Program.⁵

35
36 This guidance does not address the treatment of bacterial complications of smallpox or the
37 development of biological therapies such as vaccines or antisera to treat or prevent smallpox.
38 Sponsors interested in developing other types of biological products, such as vaccines and
39 immunoglobulin preparations, should contact the appropriate review division in the Center for
40 Biologics Evaluation and Research.

41
42 This guidance also does not contain discussion of the general issues of statistical analysis or
43 clinical trial design. Those topics are addressed in the ICH guidances for industry *E9 Statistical*
44 *Principles for Clinical Trials* and *E10 Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in Clinical*
45 *Trials*, respectively.⁶

46
47 This guidance revises the draft guidance for industry *Smallpox (Variola) Infection: Developing*
48 *Drugs for Treatment or Prevention* issued in November 2007. This revised draft guidance
49 includes modifications pertaining to the following: key study design considerations for animal
50 efficacy studies; selection of an effective dose in humans; nonclinical virology issues; key
51 pharmacology and toxicology issues; and chemistry, manufacturing, and controls for drugs
52 developed for smallpox. These revisions intend to streamline the guidance and incorporate input
53 from a public workshop in 2009 and an advisory committee meeting in 2011.

54
55 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
56 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only
57 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
58 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
59 not required.

60

61

II. BACKGROUND

62

63

64 The most severe form of smallpox, variola major, had reported mortality ranging from 5 percent
65 to 50 percent in different outbreak situations (Fenner et al. 1988). This form is the principal
66 source of concern regarding potential bioterrorist uses of smallpox and therefore is the most
67 relevant to this guidance. Worldwide efforts at case identification, containment, and vaccination

⁵ For more information, see the Getting Started With the Division of Antiviral Products Pre-IND Process web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/InvestigationalNewDrugINDApplication/Overview/ucm077546.htm> and the Investigational New Drug (IND) Application web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/InvestigationalNewDrugINDApplication/default.htm#preIND>.

⁶ We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

68 eventually led the World Health Organization in 1980 to declare that smallpox was eradicated.
69 Retention of variola virus stocks was limited by international agreement to two sites, one in
70 Russia and the other at the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in Atlanta,
71 Georgia. However, concerns exist that variola virus could be used as a weapon of bioterrorism.
72

73 The first line of defense against smallpox infection is vaccination with vaccinia virus (CDC
74 2015; CDC 2016).⁷ However, the usefulness of vaccination in a biothreat situation depends on
75 the ability to vaccinate exposed and at-risk persons and on whether vaccine immunity will be
76 able to protect against a variola strain used in a terrorist attack. Because routine smallpox
77 vaccination in the United States was discontinued in the 1970s and there is no natural disease
78 exposure, most of the U.S. population is immunologically naïve to smallpox.
79

80 Historically, treatment for smallpox was supportive (Dixon 1962). It is not known what effect
81 technologically advanced supportive care might have on mortality and morbidity. Generally, the
82 mode of death in fatal cases was unclear and could have been multifactorial (Fenner et al. 1988,
83 Dixon 1962).
84

85 Antiviral drugs may be a valuable adjunct for exposure situations in which vaccination is not
86 feasible or fails to provide adequate protection. Drug development programs to evaluate the
87 safety and efficacy of smallpox treatment or prevention are affected by numerous distinctive
88 features of smallpox and its history, including:
89

- 90 • The absence of smallpox cases for decades because of the successful smallpox
91 eradication program
92
- 93 • The absence of detailed information on the pathophysiology of human smallpox itself,
94 including the mode of death
95
- 96 • The lack of any previously recognized effective drug
97
- 98 • Ethical issues that preclude human smallpox challenge studies
99
- 100 • Restriction of variola virus samples to two designated maximum containment facilities
101
- 102 • The exceptionally narrow host range of variola virus, which contributes to a lack of
103 pathogenicity in most animal species after variola virus exposure
104
- 105 • Current nonhuman primate (NHP) models using variola virus are not consistently
106 reproducible and do not mimic what is known about human smallpox disease
107
- 108 • The possibility of antiviral drug interference with effects of the live-virus vaccine
109

⁷ These citations contain recommendations for vaccination of certain personnel considered to be at risk of occupational exposure to orthopoxviruses (2016) and for broader use if a smallpox event were to occur (2015).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- The differences between variola virus and other orthopoxviruses in disease characteristics, drug susceptibility, and host range

In light of these challenges, many specifics of the approaches to drug development for smallpox are likely to differ even from the approaches to other situations involving rare and life-threatening diseases. Because of the unique complexities of drug development in this area, extensive discussion with multiple stakeholders has taken place, including a public workshop in 2009 and an advisory committee meeting in 2011.⁸ These discussions helped the FDA formulate the regulatory pathway for smallpox drug development that is described in this guidance.

III. DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

A. Multidisciplinary Considerations for Studies in Animal Models Using Orthopoxvirus

Because of the unique characteristics of smallpox disease and variola virus mentioned above and discussed further below, animal studies with several related viruses play a much larger role in drug development for smallpox than is the case for many other infectious diseases.⁹

1. Considerations for Preliminary Assessments of Antiviral Activity in Animal Models

We recommend that compounds found to be active in cell culture be studied in several lethal animal models using multiple different non-variola orthopoxviruses, including vaccinia virus and other orthopoxviruses with the greatest homology to variola virus for the drug target. Vaccinia virus should be studied because it is related to variola virus, and studies of vaccinia virus also might be relevant to the development of drugs to treat complications of vaccination. Consideration should be given to conducting studies in vaccinia virus-infected immunocompromised/immunosuppressed animals to support the use of the drug in immunocompromised people with either variola virus infection or complications caused by vaccination.

Small animal models should be used to characterize the preliminary antiviral activity of the drug and should evaluate the effects of a wide range of study variables, including drug doses, dosing regimens, treatment times relative to viral exposure and evolution of disease, differences in viral species, strain and inoculum, and route of viral exposure. Results of such studies may help both in estimating the possible effect of these variations and in setting priorities for the use of resources (such as NHPs and/or more pathogenic viruses) that are less readily available or more

⁸ Materials for the 2011 Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee are available at <https://wayback.archive-it.org/7993/20170404145348/https://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/AntiviralDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/ucm247236.htm>.

⁹ We support the principles of the 3Rs, to reduce, refine, and replace animal use in testing when feasible. We encourage sponsors to consult with the FDA if they wish to use a nonanimal testing method they believe is suitable, adequate, validated, and feasible. We will consider if such an alternative method could be assessed for equivalency to an animal test method.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

149 difficult to work with. We recommend that selection and assessment of NHP models receive
150 consideration in later stages of animal investigations after initial results become available from
151 small animal models.

152

153 2. *Key Study Design Considerations for Animal Efficacy Studies to Support* 154 *Potential NDA Submission Under the Animal Rule*

155

156 The selection of the animal models in which to test the efficacy of an investigational drug is
157 critically important for drugs developed under the animal rule.¹⁰ Sponsors are strongly
158 encouraged to obtain concurrence from DAVP on the animal models and the design of the
159 adequate and well-controlled efficacy studies before study initiation.

160

161 During the December 14-15, 2011, Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee meeting on the
162 development of drugs to treat variola virus infection, the advisory committee agreed with the
163 FDA's assessment that current lethal NHP models using variola virus are not consistently
164 reproducible and do not mimic what is known about human smallpox disease. Because scientific
165 limitations of these available variola virus models preclude definitive efficacy assessments, and
166 uncertainty exists whether an adequate variola model can be developed, the FDA and the
167 advisory committee agreed that data from a combination of other lethal animal models using
168 surrogate orthopoxviruses (e.g., NHP studies with monkeypox virus, rabbit studies with
169 rabbitpox virus, mouse studies with ectromelia virus) could be used as evidence along with, or
170 potentially instead of, animal studies using variola virus.

171

172 Based on multiple discussions with stakeholders (including the aforementioned 2011 Antiviral
173 Drugs Advisory Committee meeting), DAVP recommends the following: (1) data from at least
174 two lethal animal models of non-variola orthopoxvirus infection should be obtained to evaluate
175 drug efficacy; (2) non-variola animal models proposed for use in adequate and well-controlled
176 efficacy studies should be well-characterized and generate reproducible results that are
177 reasonably expected to predict efficacy in variola virus infected or exposed humans; and (3)
178 mortality, based on prospectively defined criteria for euthanasia, should be the primary endpoint
179 for efficacy studies. The recommendation for use of multiple non-variola orthopoxvirus animal
180 models acknowledges the unique challenges and uncertainties associated with smallpox drug
181 development, and the fact that no single orthopoxvirus animal model is known to be the best
182 predictor of human responses to treatments for smallpox.

183

184 As discussed in the guidance for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*,
185 "euthanasia criteria should be prospectively specified" and agreed to by DAVP before conduct of
186 animal studies intended to support regulatory decision-making. A detailed documentation of the
187 euthanasia decision should be included in the study report for each animal euthanized during the
188 course of the study. The documentation should include, but is not limited to, how the animal met
189 the euthanasia criteria and whether there were any deviations from the prespecified criteria. The
190 euthanasia documentation and methods for ensuring data quality and integrity (including
191 modifications to data handling due to high-containment facility requirements) should also be
192 discussed with DAVP before study conduct. See the guidance for industry *Product Development*

¹⁰ For general discussion of the animal rule and general guidance for developing products under this regulation, see the guidance for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

193 *Under the Animal Rule* regarding data quality and integrity expectations for the adequate and
194 well-controlled animal efficacy studies and the pharmacokinetic (PK) and/or pharmacodynamic
195 (PD) studies used to select a dose and regimen in humans.

196
197 The design of these animal studies should be based on the general principles of human clinical
198 trial design as well as past experience with characterization of animal models and results from
199 the nonclinical natural history and exposure-response studies. Animals used in natural history
200 and efficacy studies should have been demonstrated to be immunologically naïve to the
201 orthopoxvirus challenge agent based on antibody assays. Protocols should include detailed
202 clinical observations and laboratory evaluations in the animals, similar to clinical and laboratory
203 monitoring that might be performed in human clinical trials in drug development programs for
204 other types of serious illnesses. Furthermore, demonstration of consistency and reproducibility
205 of results using the same model at different animal facilities can assist in characterizing the
206 model. Blinding for studies should follow recommendations outlined in the guidance for
207 industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*. The protocol should also include details
208 about treatment assignment and randomization procedures.

209
210 In addition to the primary endpoint of mortality (that is, proportion of animals succumbing to
211 rather than recovering from disease), sponsors are encouraged to evaluate secondary endpoints
212 that could be associated with or predictive of outcome in the animal models under development.

213
214 Other important study design considerations include using a range of drug doses, durations, and
215 start times, including treatment started both before and after infection and symptomatology have
216 become clinically established.

217
218 Animal study protocols should also include methods for quantification of viral burden or viral
219 shedding (both virus and viral DNA), and evaluation of the relationship between these
220 quantitative measurements and clinical outcomes of disease and treatment. Viral isolates from
221 animals failing treatment or with extended shedding of virus should be evaluated for the
222 development of drug resistance.

223
224 The goal of the adequate and well-controlled animal studies should be to demonstrate that the
225 investigational drug is statistically superior to placebo and confers a treatment or prevention
226 effect considered likely to be clinically meaningful. Power considerations and a proposed
227 statistical analysis plan should be discussed with the FDA before initiation of planned studies.

228
229 **3. *Selection of an Effective Dose in Humans***

230
231 To support human dose selection for an investigational drug, the sponsor should characterize the
232 PK profile of the drug in healthy humans and both the PK profile and the PD of the drug in the
233 surrogate orthopoxvirus animal models that are used to demonstrate efficacy. In addition, the PK
234 profile of the drug in infected animals should be compared to the PK profile of the drug in
235 healthy animals to determine whether the specific orthopoxvirus infection affects the drug's PK.
236 It is critical that the PK data in humans and the PK and PD data in animals are obtained in well-
237 controlled studies using fully validated bioanalytical assays for determining drug concentrations.
238 For each of the surrogate orthopoxvirus animal models used to establish efficacy, the exposure-

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

239 response relationship of the drug should be established and the fully effective dose and the drug
240 exposure associated with the fully effective dose should be determined. Furthermore,
241 interspecies differences in absorption, distribution (including plasma protein binding),
242 metabolism, and excretion should be considered when determining the human dose.

243

244 As described in the guidance for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule*, human
245 doses that provide exposures that exceed the exposures in animals associated with the fully
246 effective dose (ideally by several-fold, if the drug’s safety profile supports such dosing) should
247 be selected. This serves to accommodate any uncertainties relating to the similarity of the
248 exposure-response relationship between humans and animals.

249

B. Pharmacology/Toxicology Considerations

250

251
252 Pharmacology/toxicology considerations for safety evaluation should follow the standard drug
253 development paradigms for small molecules as outlined in the ICH guidance for industry *M3(R2)*
254 *Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing*
255 *Authorization for Pharmaceuticals* or for biologics as outlined in the ICH guidance for industry
256 *S6(R1) Preclinical Safety Evaluation of Biotechnology-Derived Pharmaceuticals*. Historical
257 clinical data suggest that some patients (e.g., immunocompromised) with variola virus infection
258 may have had clinical disease lasting longer than 2 weeks; therefore, we recommend that initial
259 toxicology and safety studies take this possibility into account. Duration of studies to support
260 investigational new drug application (IND) and new drug application (NDA)/biologics license
261 application (BLA) filings are outlined in the respective ICH guidances.

262

263 We do not anticipate that carcinogenicity studies will be needed for drugs that might be used
264 only to treat established smallpox because the administration of such drugs will not, in most
265 cases, exceed 6 months. However, if there is a cause for concern (e.g., positive genotoxicity or
266 other risks for carcinogenicity), then follow-up discussions with DAVP may be warranted.

267 Lastly, see the guidances for industry *Product Development Under the Animal Rule* and
268 *Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format — Standardized Study Data* regarding
269 requirements for electronic submission of nonclinical pharmacology/toxicology as well as
270 nonclinical efficacy datasets.

271

C. Nonclinical Virology Considerations

272

273
274 Study reports for the investigational drug should provide results and analyses describing its
275 mechanism of action, establish its specific antiviral activity in cell culture and animal models,
276 provide data on the development and potential mechanisms of viral drug resistance (or reduced
277 susceptibility of the virus to the drug), and assess its cytotoxicity and mitochondrial toxicity.
278 Additional information on virology studies can be found in the guidance for industry *Antiviral*
279 *Product Development — Conducting and Submitting Virology Studies to the Agency*.

280

281 We recommend that sponsors evaluate the investigational drug’s antiviral activity against a broad
282 panel of orthopoxviruses, including vaccinia virus, orthopoxviruses with the greatest homology
283 to the variola virus drug target, and orthopoxviruses expected to be used in animal models (e.g.,
284 monkeypox virus, rabbitpox virus, ectromelia virus). Such assessments constitute a broad-based

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

285 orthopoxvirus testing strategy to screen for potential relevance to variola virus, and assess the
286 potential of the investigational drug to treat vaccine complications. Ultimately, sponsors should
287 explore the potential appropriateness of testing the antiviral activity of the investigational drug
288 against variola virus isolates if other data are sufficiently promising to proceed to this stage.
289

290 Orthopoxvirus DNA polymerases replicate their viral genomes with high fidelity complicating
291 the genotypic analysis of resistance in animal studies. Sponsors should include plans in their
292 resistance analyses to distinguish between nucleotide sequence changes caused by their
293 resistance assay and those occurring in vivo.
294

295 Sponsors should submit information on sample collection, assays performed, and on validation
296 approaches for these assays. Use of a specific procedure, method, or test system in an
297 investigational protocol for a nonclinical laboratory study, or as a laboratory procedure
298 supporting a clinical trial, does not constitute FDA endorsement of that procedure, method, or
299 test system, or FDA approval for clinical laboratory use.¹¹
300

301 The FDA performs independent assessments of virologic and resistance data. Sponsors should
302 consult with DAVP before submission of virology datasets to obtain information on the most
303 recent format and, in the case of Next Generation Sequence analysis, the procedure for
304 submission of FASTQ files.
305

306 Laboratory work with certain orthopoxviruses must comply with applicable regulations (e.g., the
307 select agent regulations found at 42 CFR part 73)¹² and should incorporate relevant biosafety and
308 biosecurity procedures as appropriate to the viruses studied. Sponsors should contact relevant
309 government agencies such as the CDC and the National Institutes of Health for more information
310 regarding biosafety procedures.¹³
311

D. Clinical Considerations

312
313
314 For the FDA to approve a drug for treatment or prevention of smallpox under the animal rule, the
315 safety of the drug must be established (21 CFR part 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part
316 601, subpart H, for biologics). However, the animal rule does not provide special provisions for
317 the evaluation of safety. Therefore, the FDA evaluates these drugs under preexisting NDA/BLA
318 regulations for establishing the safety of new drugs or biological products. Under most
319 conditions, the human safety data for smallpox drugs will come from healthy volunteer studies
320 and/or relevant human safety data for the same drugs developed for other indications.
321 Evaluation of important drug-drug interactions also may involve healthy volunteer studies. In
322 the event of a smallpox public health emergency, human safety and efficacy data also can be
323 obtained through the use of investigational smallpox drugs in clinical field trials. For drugs

¹¹ Submission of an investigational device exemption to the Center for Devices and Radiological Health may be warranted if an investigational assay is used in a clinical trial.

¹² Information on the Federal Select Agent Program can be found at <https://www.selectagents.gov>.

¹³ Information on biosafety can be found at <https://www.cdc.gov/biosafety/publications/bmb15/index.htm> and <https://www.nih.gov/research-training/safety-regulation-guidance>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

324 approved under the animal rule, postmarketing clinical trials are required when feasible and
325 ethical (21 CFR part 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part 601, subpart H, for biologics).

326

327 *1. Healthy Volunteer Safety Trials*

328

329 Outside of a public health emergency, the safety evaluation of drugs developed solely for the
330 treatment of smallpox or smallpox prevention largely depends on safety trials in healthy
331 volunteers. Nonclinical safety and activity data of the investigational drug should be available
332 before the initiation of human trials to support safety and to guide clinical trial design (e.g., dose,
333 duration) as outlined in the respective ICH guidances for small molecules (ICH M3(R2)) or
334 biologics (ICH S6(R1)). Sponsors should discuss any concerns related to the safety or ethics of
335 healthy volunteer trials with the FDA early in the drug development program.

336

337 The size and composition of the human safety database needed to support smallpox drug
338 approval depend on issues such as the indication (e.g., treatment, post-exposure prophylaxis, or
339 prophylaxis), the drug's toxicity, and the extent of the FDA's experience with a particular drug
340 (and possibly with related drugs). For a drug intended to treat smallpox, greater known risks or
341 greater uncertainty about undefined risks may be acceptable if a drug offers a potential for
342 benefit to smallpox patients, given the serious nature of the disease. In general, a safety database
343 of at least 300 individuals is needed for a 95 percent confidence interval to rule out a 1 percent
344 rate of a specific adverse reaction if that specific adverse reaction did not occur in the population
345 studied. For drugs intended to prevent smallpox infection that might therefore be administered
346 to large numbers of healthy individuals with uncertain risk of smallpox disease, a larger safety
347 database may be needed. Sponsors should discuss with DAVP the appropriate safety database
348 size for their drugs.

349

350 The adverse event grading scale used in safety trials should be appropriate for healthy adult
351 human volunteers. Safety signals identified from animal studies or human trials should be
352 characterized and, if necessary, specific study design elements should be incorporated in the
353 proposed nonclinical and clinical protocols.

354

355 The evaluation of certain drug-drug interactions also may involve healthy volunteer studies.
356 Sponsors should be prepared to address the potential interaction between a smallpox therapeutic
357 and smallpox vaccination, and should discuss with DAVP the conduct and timing of animal
358 studies and any appropriate human studies for this purpose.

359

360 *2. Safety Data From Non-Smallpox Clinical Experience*

361

362 Safety information to support approval of a smallpox drug can be derived from clinical trials of
363 the same drug for a non-smallpox indication. In the case of approved drugs, this can include
364 safety data generated both pre- and postapproval. For drugs in development for non-smallpox
365 indications, safety data acquired in all stages of development can support approval under the
366 animal rule. Because patients with smallpox disease may be expected to be acutely ill, safety
367 data from clinical trials for non-smallpox indications associated with acute illness may be
368 particularly relevant. Because clinical studies in related viruses may provide additional support
369 for a drug's activity as well as its safety, sponsors can consider simultaneously developing a drug

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

370 for another poxvirus infection such as molluscum contagiosum virus, vaccinia virus, or
371 monkeypox virus.¹⁴

372

373 3. *Clinical Trials in the Event of a Public Health Emergency*

374

375 Sponsors developing smallpox drugs under the animal rule should design one or more clinical
376 trials to assess the safety and efficacy of the investigational drugs in the event of a human
377 smallpox outbreak. Sponsors should discuss important trial design elements and potential
378 smallpox emergency scenarios with the FDA and other relevant stakeholders early in the trial
379 design process. The trial(s) should be designed to evaluate the most appropriate therapeutic
380 use(s) for the drug (treatment, post-exposure prophylaxis, or prophylaxis) based on results of
381 nonclinical studies. Depending on the strength of the data, efficacy and safety results from an
382 emergency clinical trial could be used to support approval of a drug that was in the process of
383 being developed under the animal rule.

384

385 The animal rule stipulates that all drugs approved using the animal rule should be evaluated for
386 efficacy and safety through clinical trials if circumstances arise in which that would be feasible
387 and ethical. Therefore, smallpox drug approval under the animal rule will include a requirement
388 to conduct one or more human postmarketing trials if a smallpox outbreak occurs, and the
389 marketing application must include a plan or approach to meet this requirement (21 CFR part
390 314, subpart I, for drugs and 21 CFR part 601, subpart H, for biologics). The drug approval
391 letter will include a time frame for submission of the final clinical protocol, ready for
392 implementation should the need arise.

393

394 4. *Expanded Access IND for Emergency Use*

395

396 For sporadic events such as smallpox vaccine complications or accidental laboratory exposures
397 to orthopoxviruses, treatment of a patient under an individual patient expanded access IND for
398 emergency use may be appropriate if the drug under development is expected to have activity
399 against the orthopoxvirus and if the patient is not able to participate in a clinical trial.¹⁵ If a
400 situation arises in which it is necessary to treat a patient under an expanded access IND for
401 emergency use, a sponsor should collect data to the extent feasible while recognizing that the
402 data collected may be of limited utility. If frequent sporadic uses of an investigational drug are
403 anticipated, efforts should be made to develop an appropriate clinical trial protocol.

404

¹⁴ Sponsors are strongly encouraged to discuss drug development for non-variola indications with DAVP as early as possible, especially in circumstances in which the drug has potential to fill an unmet need by pursuing those other indications.

¹⁵ The requirements and procedures for expanded access INDs for emergency use can be found in 21 CFR part 312, subpart I, and in the guidance for industry *Expanded Access to Investigational Drugs for Treatment Use — Questions and Answers*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

405 5. *Emergency Use Authorization*

406

407 In the event of a smallpox emergency, the FDA may issue an emergency use authorization
408 (EUA)¹⁶ to provide emergency access to unapproved drugs (or approved drugs with unapproved
409 indications) after the Secretary of Health and Human Services issues the requisite declaration¹⁷
410 that circumstances exist justifying the authorization of emergency use of the drugs, provided
411 other statutory criteria are met. For example, the FDA must conclude that based on the totality
412 of scientific evidence available, it is reasonable to believe that a drug may be effective to treat or
413 prevent smallpox, the known and potential benefits outweigh the known and potential risks of
414 the drug, and there is no adequate, approved, and available alternative. Sponsors that think that
415 their drugs may warrant EUA consideration are encouraged to submit relevant information and
416 initiate pre-EUA discussions rather than waiting for a potential emergency to arise; however, the
417 issuance of an EUA is not considered an appropriate final goal for drug development or a
418 substitute for generating data to support an NDA or BLA.

419

420 **E. Clinical Pharmacology Considerations**

421

422 See section III.A.3., Selection of an Effective Dose in Humans, for a discussion on obtaining
423 exposure-response data for the investigational drug from at least two well-characterized animal
424 models to aid in determining a human effective dose. Sponsors should follow the standard drug
425 development paradigms for clinical pharmacology. Intrinsic and extrinsic factors (such as organ
426 impairment, food effect, or drug interactions) that may affect the pharmacokinetics of an
427 investigational drug should be well characterized and the effective dose in humans should be
428 adjusted if necessary. Because of human subject protection considerations in the conduct of
429 clinical trials in specific populations, such as pregnant women and pediatric patients (45 CFR
430 part 46, subparts B and D), administration of an investigational drug solely for the purpose of
431 collecting PK data may not be ethical. For such specific populations, it may be possible to
432 obtain PK data if there are situations in which a drug is already being used for reasons other than
433 solely for obtaining PK data. In some circumstances, modeling and simulation methods can be
434 used to determine effective doses; the use of such methods should be discussed with the FDA.

435

436 **F. Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Considerations**

437

438 Sponsors should pay particular attention to developing formulations for patients who are unable
439 to swallow solid oral dosage formulations (e.g., development of oral solutions and powders for
440 pediatric patients, parenteral formulations for extremely ill patients).

441

442 It is likely that drugs for the treatment or prevention of smallpox infection may be stockpiled for
443 long periods of time in anticipation of a sudden outbreak and therefore an expiration dating

¹⁶ The requirements and procedures for EUAs can be found in section 564 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 360bbb-3) and in the guidance for industry and other stakeholders *Emergency Use Authorization of Medical Products and Related Authorities* (available at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm125127.htm>).

¹⁷ The declaration of the Secretary of Health and Human Services must be based on one of four determinations (including a material threat determination), as described in statute (section 564(b)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360bbb-3(b)(1))).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

444 period (shelf life) that is longer than usual may be desirable. To generate the stability data
445 needed to support a long expiration dating period, it may be advantageous to place in the long-
446 term stability testing program larger amounts of drug than is usual.
447

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

REFERENCES

448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465

CDC, 2015, Clinical Guidance for Smallpox Vaccine Use in a Postevent Vaccination Program, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 64(RR02):1–26.

CDC, 2016, Use of Vaccinia Virus Smallpox Vaccine in Laboratory and Health Care Personnel at Risk for Occupational Exposure to Orthopoxviruses — Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 65(10):257–262.

Dixon, CW, 1962, Complications. Treatment and Nursing. Sequelae, in Smallpox, J. & A. Churchill LTD, London, available at https://www.nlm.nih.gov/nichsr/esmallpox/smallpox_dixon.pdf.

Fenner, F, Henderson DA, Arita I, Ježek Z, and Ladnyi ID, 1988, Smallpox and Its Eradication, World Health Organization, Geneva, (accessible at <http://whqlibdoc.who.int/smallpox/9241561106.pdf>).