

February 10, 2022

Renerve Ltd % Chris Sloan President Sloan Regulatory Consulting LLC 322 Hart Road Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878

Re: K202234

Trade/Device Name: NervAlign Nerve Cuff Regulation Number: 21 CFR 882.5275

Regulation Name: Nerve Cuff Regulatory Class: Class II

Product Code: JXI Dated: January 10, 2022 Received: January 11, 2022

#### Dear Chris Sloan:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm</a> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's

K202234 - Chris Sloan Page 2

requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <a href="https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products">https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</a>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems">https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems</a>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance</a>) and CDRH Learn (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn">https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</a>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</a>) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Adam D. Pierce, Ph.D.
Assistant Director
DHT5A: Division of Neurosurgical,
Neurointerventional
and Neurodiagnostic Devices
OHT5: Office of Neurological
and Physical Medicine Devices
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

# **Indications for Use**

510(k) Number (if known)

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120

Expiration Date: 06/30/2023 See PRA Statement below.

K202234
Device Name
NervAlign® Nerve Cuff
Indications for Use (Describe)
The NervAlign® Nerve Cuff is indicated for the repair of peripheral nerve injuries in which there is no gap or where a gap closure is achieved by flexion of the extremity.
Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D) Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)
CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

#### \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

# 510(k) Summary NervAlign® Nerve Cuff K202234

#### **Submitter**

Name	ReNerve Ltd
	Suite 3, 21 Vale Street
Address	North Melbourne, Victoria 3051
	Australia
<b>Contact Person</b>	David Rhodes
Phone	+61 (0)418598835
Email Address	drhodes@renerve.com.au
Date Prepared	February 2, 2022

#### **Device**

Trade Name	NervAlign® Nerve Cuff
Common Name	Nerve Cuff
Classification Name	Nerve Cuff
Classification Number	21 CFR 882.5275
<b>Product Code</b>	JXI
Regulatory Class	II

## **Predicate Device**

Name [510(k) Number] AxoGuard Nerve Protector [K132660]
---

# **Device Description**

The NervAlign® Nerve Cuff is a collagen membrane matrix derived from porcine pericardium. It is a sterile, whitish to light beige colored, freeze-dried, pre-cut, flat sheet of acellular collagen. The NervAlign® Nerve Cuff is available in three (3) different sizes: 10x10mm, 20x30mm and 30x40mm.

The collagen material that comprises the Nerve Cuff is derived from the same species as that of the predicate nerve cuff (AxoGuard Nerve Protector; K132660) manufactured by Cook Biotech Incorporated.

Like the predicate, the NervAlign® Nerve Cuff is implanted providing a scaffold which becomes infiltrated by the patient's cells and is remodelled into native tissue. The Nerve Cuff provides protection of the damaged nerve while the nerve heals.

The NervAlign® Nerve Cuff is packaged in a dried state, is for single use and provided sterile.

#### **Indications for Use**

The NervAlign® Nerve Cuff is indicated for the repair of peripheral nerve injuries in which there is no gap or where a gap closure is achieved by flexion of the extremity.

## **Comparison of Technological Characteristics with the Predicate Device**

The proposed device is substantially equivalent to the design and materials in the predicate device. The table below summarizes the comparison between the predicate device and the NervAlign® Nerve Cuff.

# NervAlign® Nerve Cuff Comparison to AxoGuard Nerve Protector

Feature	NervAlign® Nerve Cuff [Proposed Device]	AxoGuard Nerve Protector [Predicate Device]
510(k)	K202234	K132660
Device Class	Class II	Class II
Classification Name and Number	Nerve Cuff; 21 CFR 882.5275	Nerve Cuff; 21 CFR 882.5275
<b>Product Code</b>	JXI	JXI
Indications for Use	The NervAlign® Nerve Cuff is indicated for the repair of peripheral nerve injuries in which there is no gap or where a gap closure is achieved by flexion of the extremity.	The Nerve Cuff is indicated for the repair of peripheral nerve injuries in which there is no gap or where a gap closure is achieved by flexion of the extremity. The device is provided sterile and intended for one-time use.
<b>Principles of Operation</b>	Collagen matrix intended to wrap and repair damaged peripheral nerves	Collagen matrix intended to wrap and repair damaged peripheral nerves
Material	Collagen Matrix - Porcine Pericardium	Collagen Matrix – Porcine Small Intestinal Submucosa (SIS)
Sterile/Single Use	Sterile; single use	Sterile; single use
Sterilization Method	Gamma Irradiation	Ethylene Oxide (EtO)

## **Performance Data**

The following testing was performed on the ReNerve NervAlign® Nerve Cuff.

Test	Test Method Summary	Results
Suture retention	A suture was placed through aged and	Test was completed and met specification.
strength	unaged devices and the force required to	Like predicate the device has sufficient
	pull free was measured.	strength for its intended use.
Thickness	Direct measurement of the device with	Thickness measurements of device showed
	micrometer when hydrated according to	substantially equivalent to predicate.
	IFU.	
Tensile Strength	Direct measurement of the device.	Test was completed and met specification.
	Device placed between two grips and the	Like predicate device is suitable for
	separation force required to reach device	intended use.
	failure was measured.	
Cytotoxicity	The device was evaluated for potential	The test article extract showed no cytotoxic
	cytotoxic effects using a mammalian cell	potential.
	line following ISO 10993-5 guidelines.	
Sensitization	The device was evaluated for the	Extracts of the test article showed no
	potential to cause delayed dermal	evidence of inducing delayed contact
	contact sensitization in guinea pigs	sensitization in the guinea pig.
	based on ISO 10993-10.	
Acute intracutaneous	The device was evaluated for the	Extracts of the test article show no evidence
reactivity (Irritation)	potential to cause irritation following	of irritation.
	intracutaneous injection in rabbits based	
	on ISO 10993-10.	
Acute systemic	The device was evaluated for acute	No mortality or evidence of systemic
toxicity	systemic toxicity in mice based on ISO	toxicity from both extracts, injected into
	10993-11.	mice.
Pyrogenicity	The device was evaluated for the	The test article met the requirements and is
	potential to induce a pyrogenic response	judged non-pyrogenic.
	following intravenous injection in	
	rabbits. Study conducted according to	
	the United States Pharmacopeia (USP 41	
	- NF36, General Chapter <151>).	
Hemolysis	The device was evaluated for hemolytic	The direct contact of the test article was
	potential when in contact with blood	slightly hemolytic.
	based on ASTM F756 and requirements	The extracts at 25, 12.5 and 6.25% were
	of ISO 10993-4.	non-hemolytic.
		Device is suitable for intended indication.
Genotoxicity	The device was evaluated for the	Extracts of the device were considered to be
(AMES)	potential to induce reverse mutations in	non-mutagenic to tester strains.
	Salmonella typhimurium and	

Test	Test Method Summary	Results
	Escherichia coli tester strains per ISO	
	10993-3.	
Genotoxicity (mouse	The device was evaluated to determine	Test article considered non-mutagenic.
lymphoma assay)	its mutagenic potential using the mouse	
	lymphoma forward gene mutation assay	
	per ISO 10993-3.	
Endotoxin	Bacterial endotoxin testing is conducted	Device is produced and released with
	per USP 85 and European	endotoxin level <2.15EU/device.
	Pharmacopoeia 2.6.14	
Subacute Systemic	The device was surgically implanted in	The device showed no evidence of systemic
Toxicity & Local	the subcutaneous tissue of rats for 4	toxicity. Device appeared as a well-
effects of	weeks to evaluate its potential systemic	integrated scaffold with remodelling
Implantation	toxicity and local tissue response.	responses. Microscopically the test article
		demonstrated minimal reaction to the tissue.
Sub-chronic Systemic	The device was surgically implanted in	On subcutaneous implantation the device
Toxicity & Local	the subcutaneous tissue of rats for 8 and	showed no evidence of systemic toxicity
effects of	13 weeks to evaluate potential systemic	after 13 weeks.
implantation	toxicity after 13 weeks and local tissue	Microscopically the test article
	responses after 8 and 13 weeks.	demonstrated minimal tissue reaction
		compared to control. Device was
		bioresorbing at 13 weeks as expected.
6-Month Nerve Wrap	The device was surgically implanted	The device was well tolerated with no
Study in New-	around the sciatic nerve of rabbits for 1,	device related clinical signs, changes in
Zealand White	2 & 6 months. The effects and	body weight, food consumption,
Rabbits	compatibility of the wrapping material	neurological parameters, NCV, clinical
	on the nerve and surrounding tissues	pathology, or organ weights up to 6 months
	were assessed.	post-implantation.
		No adverse macroscopic or microscopic
		changes in the nerve and surrounding
		tissues were observed. No device related
		axonal degeneration was observed.
Rat transected sciatic	The device was surgically implanted	At all time points the changes observed in
nerve model	around the transected sciatic nerve of the	the nerve were similar between device and
	rat and compared to nerves wrapped	predicate control material and were typical
	with marketed predicate control	of changes in a nerve after transection.
	material. Data were collected at 1, 4 and	At all time points the device was considered
	13 weeks.	to elicit no or minimal reaction in
		comparison to the predicate control.

Test	Test Method Summary	Results
	Local tissue responses and device	The reactivity scores for device and
	degradation were evaluated, and motor	predicate control decreased at later time
	and sensory neurological assessments	points indicating healing.
	were conducted.	The device was markedly or completely
		degraded at 13 weeks, compared with the
		control device which was not degraded.
		Neuromas were not observed in any animal.

## **Conclusions**

The NervAlign® Nerve Cuff has the following similarities to the predicate device:

- Same intended use,
- Same basic design,
- Similar material,
- Similar safety and performance characteristics, and
- Same operating principles.

Based on the similarities of the intended use/indications for use, device design, principles of operation, technological characteristics and the results of the non-clinical performance testing, the subject device, NervAlign® Nerve Cuff, is substantially equivalent to the legally marketed predicate device.