



November 11, 2021

Abbott Medical  
Tabitha Payne  
Regulatory Affairs Specialist  
5050 Nathan Ln N  
Plymouth, Minnesota 55442

Re: K213364

Trade/Device Name: EnSite X™ EP System  
Regulation Number: 21 CFR 870.1425  
Regulation Name: Programmable Diagnostic Computer  
Regulatory Class: Class II  
Product Code: DQK  
Dated: October 8, 2021  
Received: October 12, 2021

Dear Tabitha Payne:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's

requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance>) and CDRH Learn (<https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice>) for more information or contact DICE by email ([DICE@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:DICE@fda.hhs.gov)) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

for

Aneesh Deoras  
Assistant Director  
Division of Cardiac Electrophysiology,  
Diagnostics and Monitoring Devices  
Office of Cardiovascular Devices  
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality  
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

## Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)  
K213364

Device Name  
EnSite™ X EP System

### Indications for Use (Describe)

The EnSite™ X EP System is a suggested diagnostic tool in patients for whom electrophysiology studies have been indicated.

The EnSite™ X EP System provides information about the electrical activity of the heart and displays catheter location during conventional electrophysiological (EP) procedures.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)

Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**\*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\***

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Office of Chief Information Officer  
Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff  
[PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov)

*"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."*

<b>510(k) Summary</b>	
<b>510(k) Information</b>	
<b>510(k) Number</b>	K213364
<b>510(k) Type</b>	Special 510(k)
<b>Date Prepared</b>	30 September 2021
<b>Submitter Information</b>	
<b>Manufacturer Name &amp; Address</b>	Abbott Medical One St. Jude Medical Drive St. Paul, MN 55119 USA
<b>Contact Person</b>	Tabitha Payne Regulatory Affairs Specialist 612-268-8558 <a href="mailto:tabitha.payne@abbott.com">tabitha.payne@abbott.com</a>
<b>EnSite™ X EP System Device Information</b>	
<b>Trade Name</b>	EnSite™ X EP System
<b>Common Name</b>	Programmable Diagnostic Computer
<b>Class</b>	II
<b>Classification Name</b>	870.1425, computer, diagnostic, programmable
<b>Product Code</b>	DQK
<b>Predicate Device</b>	EnSite™ X EP System (K212061)
<b>Device Description</b>	<p>The EnSite™ X EP System is a catheter navigation and mapping system. A catheter navigation and mapping system is capable of displaying the 3-dimensional (3-D) position of conventional and Sensor Enabled™ (SE) electrophysiology catheters, as well as displaying cardiac electrical activity as waveform traces and as three-dimensional (3D) isopotential and isochronal maps of the cardiac chamber.</p> <p>The contoured surfaces of the 3D maps are based on the anatomy of the patient's own cardiac chamber. The system creates a model by collecting and labeling the anatomic locations within the chamber. A surface is created by moving a selected catheter to locations within a cardiac structure. As the catheter moves, points are collected at and between all electrodes on the catheter. A surface is wrapped around the outermost points.</p>
<b>Indications for Use</b>	<p>The EnSite™ X EP System is a suggested diagnostic tool in patients for whom electrophysiology studies have been indicated.</p> <p>The EnSite™ X EP System provides information about the electrical activity of the heart and displays catheter location during conventional electrophysiological (EP) procedures.</p>
<b>Predicate Comparison</b>	
<b>Comparison</b>	<p>EnSite™ X v1.1.1 and the predicate EnSite™ X v1.1 have the same intended use and indications for use. They use the same fundamental scientific technology to facilitate catheter position and orientation, as well as cardiac mapping and model creation. There were no changes to the hardware and no new software features added. The subject device software was revised to include the following updates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Patch input signals switched from driven to undriven signals</li> <li>- Composite signal respiration waveform</li> <li>- Bio Impedance Scaling algorithm updates</li> <li>- Respiration Gating algorithm updates</li> <li>- Metal distortion threshold changes</li> <li>- Additional bug fixes</li> </ul> <p>All risks were mitigated to acceptable levels. No new questions of safety or effectiveness were raised.</p>

<p><b>Non-Clinical Testing Summary</b></p>	<p>Design verification and validation activities were performed to ensure the EnSite X v1.1.1 software release is safe and effective.</p> <p><b>Testing:</b>  The EnSite X EP System v1.1.1 was developed and tested in accordance with the following industry guidance documents and standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for Software Contained in Medical Devices</li> <li>- IEC 62304:2015-06 Edition 1.1, Medical Device Software – Software Life Cycle Processes</li> <li>- EN ISO 14971:2019 Medical Devices – Application of Risk Management to Medical Devices</li> </ul> <p><b>Types of Testing Performed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Software Verification at software and system level</li> <li>- Preclinical Validation Testing to ensure system meets user requirements</li> <li>- Installation Validation of software update</li> </ul>
<p><b>Statement of Equivalence</b></p>	<p>The subject and predicate device have the same intended use and the same indications for use. All devices operate using the same fundamental scientific technology to facilitate catheter position and orientation, as well as cardiac mapping and model creation. The testing completed and submitted in this Special 510(k) provides objective evidence the subject device is at least as safe and effective as the predicate device. Based on this, the subject device is considered to be substantially equivalent to the predicate device.</p>