

January 29, 2021

Luneau Technology Operations Yossi Constantinis Group Regulatory Affairs & Quality Manager 2 rue Roger Bonnet Pont-de-l'Arche, Rouen 27340 France

Re: K202221

Trade/Device Name: VX650

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 886.1930

Regulation Name: Tonometer and Accessories

Regulatory Class: Class II

Product Code: HKX, HKI, MXK Dated: December 21, 2020 Received: December 28, 2020

#### Dear Yossi Constantinis:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm</a> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's

requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <a href="https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products">https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</a>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems">https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems</a>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance</a>) and CDRH Learn (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn">https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</a>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</a>) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

for Elvin Ng
Assistant Director
DHT1A: Division of Ophthalmic Devices
OHT1: Office of Ophthalmic, Anesthesia,
Respiratory, ENT and Dental Devices
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

## Indications for Use

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120

Expiration Date: 06/30/2023 See PRA Statement below.

510(k) Number (if known)
K202221
Device Name
VX650
Indications for Use (Describe)
The VX650 is a multi-function diagnostic device combining wavefront aberometer, corneal topographer, retro-illuminator, tonometer and pachymeter, indicated for:
• Measuring the refraction of the eye giving both lower and higher order aberrations
• Measuring the shape of the cornea
• Retro-illumination imaging of the eye
• Measuring the intraocular pressure without contacting the eye for glaucoma evaluation
• Photographing the eye and taking images of the eye to evaluate the thickness of the central cornea

- Full cornea thickness map
- Scheimpflug imaging
- Anterior chamber imaging
- Pupil Image
- Image of the cornea relative to the iris
- Automatic eye-fundus camera intended for taking digital images of a human retina without the use of a mydriatic agent

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)	
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)	Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

#### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

#### \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION:**

**Company Name:** Luneau Technology Operations

**Address** 2 rue Roger Bonnet,

27340 Pont-de-l'Arche - France

**Phone:** +33232989132

Yossi Constantinis

**Primary contact** Group Regulatory Affairs

**Person:** 

Email y.constantinis@luneautech.com

Phone: +972 546 535 299

Haggai Herman

**Second contact** 

**Person:** General Manager

Email: <u>h.herman@luneautech.com</u>

**Address:** 2 rue Roger Bonnet,

27340 Pont-de-l'Arche

France

**Date Prepared:** August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020

## **DEVICE IDENTIFICATION:**

Trade Name: VX650

**Generic Device Name:** Tonometer, Ac-Powered

**Review Panel:** Ophthalmic

**Classification:** Class II

**Regulation Number:** 21 CFR 886.1930

**Product Code:** HKX



Subsequent product codes, based on other device functions in Class II:

HKI Camera, Ophthalmic, Ac-Powered

MXK Device, Analysis, Anterior

Segment

## **Device Description:**

The VX650 is based on the VX130 (already cleared 510(k), number K162067) which is a combined wavefront aberrometer, corneal topographer, Scheimpflug pachymeter, non-contact tonometer and cataract screening device in a single platform that contains five different measurement units. The VX650 also contains a non-mydriatic fundus camera for retinal photography. The wavefront aberrometer uses the Shack-Hartmann principle and is used as an advanced autorefractometer that measures both lower and higher order aberrations of the refraction of the eye.

The corneal topographer uses a Placido disk to measure keratometry and the detailed shape of the cornea. The Scheimpflug pachymeter measures the thickness of the central cornea by illuminating it with a slit of light and photographing it using the Scheimpflug technique, there is also a scanning mode that allows measurement of the whole corneal surface and can provide detailed tomography maps of both corneal surfaces and the corneal thickness.

An air puff non-contact tonometer is included for measurement of the intraocular pressure and retro illumination is present for cataract screening.

The fundus camera contains an infra-red LED for alignment and focusing and a white LED flash for the photography to give colour images. The fundus image covers an angle of 45° on the retina so a regular image will contain both the macula and the optic nerve. The fundus camera also has a seven-position fixation target to increase the field of view as the patient can fixate in different directions.

The device is fully automated and a number of different measurements can be performed by a single command including alignment and focusing. The fundus imaging function is also fully automatic including automatic alignment, focusing and image capture.



## **Indications For Use:**

The VX650 is a multi-function diagnostic device combining wavefront aberometer, corneal topographer, retro-illuminator, tonometer and pachymeter, indicated for:

- Measuring the refraction of the eye giving both lower and higher order aberrations
- Measuring the shape of the cornea
- Retro-illumination imaging of the eye
- Measuring the intraocular pressure without contacting the eye for glaucoma evaluation
- Photographing the eye and taking images of the eye to evaluate the thickness of the central cornea
- Full cornea thickness map
- Scheimpflug imaging
- Anterior chamber imaging
- Pupil Image
- Image of the cornea relative to the iris
- Automatic eye-fundus camera intended for taking digital images of a human retina without the use of a mydriatic agent

## **Identification of predicate devices:**

The subject device is substantially equivalent to the following:

Primary predicate Device	510(k) Holder	510(k) no.	Date cleared
VX130	Luneau	K162067	24 April 2017

The primary predicate refers to the one with indications for use and technological characteristics most similar to the subject device.

Additional predicate devices related to fundus camera module	510(k) Holder	510(k) no.	Date cleared
Nexy	Next Sight	K180306	8 June 2018
CenterVue Digital Retinography System DRS	CenterVue	K101935	27 October 2010

#### **Bench tests**

As the predicate device VX130 (K162067), VX650 device complies with the following standards:

- ISO 14971 Third Edtion 2019-12
- AAMI ANSI ES60601- 1:2005/(R)2012 And A1:2012
- IEC 60601-1-2 Edition 4.0 2014-02
- ISO 15004-1 First edition 2006-06-01
- ISO 10940 Second edition 2009-08-01
- IEC 62471 First edition 2006-07
- IEC 60825-1 Edition 2.0 2007-03
- ISO 10993-1 Fourth edition 2009-10-15
- IEC 62366-1 Edition 1.0 2015-02
- IEC 60601-1-6 Edition 3.1 2013-10
- IEC 62304 Edition 1.1 2015-06
- ANSI Z80.36-2016 American National Standard for Ophthalmics Light Hazard Protection for Ophthalmic Instruments

VX650 device complies also with the following standards:

- ISO 8612:2009 Ophthalmic instruments Tonometers
- ISO 10342:2010 Ophthalmic instruments Eye refractometers
- ISO 10343:2014 Ophthalmic instruments Ophthalmometers
- ISO 19980:2012 Ophthalmic instruments Corneal topographers
- ISO 24157:2008Ophthalmic optics and instruments Reporting aberrations of the human eye

# **Predicate Device comparison**

Table 1 provides a comparison between the subject device and the primary predicate device.

Table 1 - Predicate device comparison table with primary predicate device			
ATTRIBUTE / CHARACTERISTICS	VX650 Subject Device	VX130 PD1	
Device Name	VX650	VX130	
Comparison: Not Applicable			
Device Manufacturer	Luneau	Luneau	
Comparison: The device ma	nufacturer is the same.		
FDA Product Code	HKX (main and primary code)	HKX	
Comparison: Predicate devi	ce PD1 has the same FDA product o	code as subject device.	
510k reference	K202221	K162067	
Comparison: Not Applicable			
FDA Classification Name	Tonometer, Ac-Powered (for the main and primary code)	Tonometer, Ac-Powered	
Comparison: Predicate device PD1 has the same FDA Classification name of submitted product.			
Classification	II	II	
Comparison: Predicate device PD1 has the same FDA Classification as subject device.			
FDA Regulation Number	886.1930 (according to main code)	886.1930	
Comparison: Predicate devi	ce PD1 has the same regulation nu	mber of subject device.	

ATTRIBUTE /	VX650	VX130
CHARACTERISTICS	Subject Device	PD1
Indication for Use	The VX650 is a multi-function diagnostic device combining wavefront aberometer, corneal topographer, retro-illuminator, tonometer and pachymeter, indicated for:  • Measuring the refraction of the eye giving both lower and higher order aberrations • Measuring the shape of the cornea • Retro-illumination imaging of the eye • Measuring the intraocular pressure without contacting the eye for glaucoma evaluation • Photographing the eye and taking images of the eye to evaluate the thickness of the central cornea • Full cornea thickness map • Scheimpflug imaging • Anterior chamber imaging • Pupil Image • Image of the cornea relative to the iris • Automatic eye-fundus camera intended for taking digital images of a human retina without the use of a mydriatic agent	The VX130 is a multi- function diagnostic device combining wavefront aberometer, corneal topographer, retro- illuminator, tonometer and pachymeter, indicated for:  • Measuring the refraction of the eye giving both lower and higher order aberrations • Measurement of the shape of the cornea • Retro-illumination imaging of the eye • Measuring the intraocular pressure without contacting the eye for glaucoma evaluation • Photographing the eye and taking images of the eye to evaluate the thickness of the central cornea. • Full cornea thickness map • Scheimpflug imaging • Anterior chamber imaging • Pupil image • Image of the cornea relative to the iris

*Comparison:* The addition in submitted product of the last points related to the photograph of the external eye doesn't impact on performances and safety of the other functions identical to predicate device PD1; the comparison and discussion of fundus camera module has been discussed on table 2.

VX650 is not different in safety or efficacy and is substantially equivalent to PD1.

Type of device	Combination instrument	Combination instument
Type of device	Combination instrument	Combination installent

Table 1 - Predicate device	ce comparison table with prima	ry predicate device
ATTRIBUTE / CHARACTERISTICS	VX650 Subject Device	VX130 PD1
	including fundus non-mydriatic camera	
•	ce PD1 is a combination instrument bination instrument including also (	_
Treatable areas	Eyes	Eyes
Comparison: Predicate devi	ce PD1 has the same treatable area	
Alignment and examination	Automatic – the device automatically aligns to the center of the pupil prior to autofocusing and capture.	Automatic – the device automatically aligns to the center of the pupil prior to autofocusing and capture.
Comparison: Predicate devithe subject device.	ce PD1 has the same alignment and	d examination procedure as
General Functionalities	The general functionalities of VX650 are:	The general functionalities of VX130 are:
same of predicate device PI	1	all the other functions are the
Performance Standard Compliance	Complies with the following standards:  IEC 60601-1:2005  IEC 60601-1-2:2014  ISO 10940-2:2009  ISO 60825-1:2014  ISO 62471-1:2006  ISO 8612:2010  ISO 10342:2010  ISO 24157:2008  ISO 19980:2012  ISO 10343-1:2014  ISO 15004-2 2007  ISO 15004-1:2006  ISO 14971: 2012  ISO 62366:2007  ISO 62304: 2006	Complies with the following standards:  IEC 60601-1:2005  IEC 60601-1-2:2014  ISO 60825-1:2014  ISO 62471-1:2006  ISO 8612:2010  ISO 10342:2010  ISO 24157:2008  ISO 19980:2012  ISO 10343-1:2014  ISO 15004-2 2007  ISO 15004-1:2006  ISO 14971: 2012  ISO 62366:2007  ISO 62304: 2006



Table 1 - Predicate device comparison table with primary predicate device				
ATTRIBUTE / CHARACTERISTICS	VX650 Subject Device	VX130 PD1		
Comparison:		I		
Subject device and pre	dicate device PD1 comply with	relevant standards, except for		
ISO 10940-2:2009 that	is applied specifically to VX65	O since it includes fundus		
camera module.				

Table 2 provides a comparison between the subject device additional predicate devices related to fundus camera module.

Table 2 - Additional p	redicate devices related to	fundus camera module	
ATTRIBUTE / CHARACTERISTICS	VX650 Subject Device	Nexy Predicate Device PD2	Digital Retinography System DRS Predicate Device PD3
<b>Device Name</b>	VX650	Nexy	Digital Retinography System DRS
Comparison: Not Appl	icable		,
Device Manufacturer	Luneau	Next Sight srl	CenterVue
Comparison: Not Appl	icable		1
FDA Product Code	HKX (main code); subsequent codes for class II functions are HKI and MXK	НКІ	HKI
Comparison: Both pre	dicate devices PD2 and PD3	have product code identi	ical to one of subsequent
code of subject device		•	'
510k reference	K202221	K180306	K101935
Comparison: Not Appl	icable		
FDA Classification Name	Tonometer, Ac-Powered (for the main code);  for the subsequent codes: HKI Camera, Ophthalmic, Ac-Powered  MXK Device, Analysis, Anterior Segment	Camera, Ophthalmic, Ac-Powered	Camera, Ophthalmic, Ac-Powered
Comparison: Both pre	dicate devices PD2 and PD3	have the same FDA Class	sification name, that is the
	bsequent codes of the subje	ect device (VX650).	
Classification	II	II	II
Comparison: Both predevice.	dicate devices PD2 and PD3	have the same FDA Class	sification as subject
Type of device	Combination instument	Fundus non-mydriatic	Fundus non-mydriatic



ATTRIBUTE / CHARACTERISTICS	VX650 Subject Device	Nexy Predicate Device PD2	Digital Retinography System DRS Predicate Device PD3
	including fundus non- mydriatic camera	camera	camera
Comparison: Both pred	dicate devices PD2 and PD3	are non-mydriatic fundus	cameras.
The subject device is a	a combination instrument in	cluding a non-mydriatic fu	undus camera module.
Treatable areas	Eyes	Eyes	Eyes
Comparison: Both pred	dicate devices PD2 and PD3	have the same treatable	areas as subject device
Alignment and	Automatic – the device	Automatic – the device	Automatic – the DRS
examination	automatically aligns to	automatically aligns to	device automatically
	the center of the pupil	the center of the pupil	aligns to the center of
	prior to autofocusing	prior to autofocusing	the pupil prior to
	and capture.	and capture.	autofocusing and
	·	· ·	capture.
Comparison: Both pred	dicate devices PD2 and PD3	have the same alignment	•
procedure as the subje			
Field of horizontal	45°	45°	45°
vision			
Field of vertical	45°	45°	40°
vision	.5		
Comparison:	1	1	l
•	-		can be framed with the
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence	device PD2 has the same fi vision of the predicate devi ller. vertical vision, a difference e between the VX650 device	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not br e and a predicate device,	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non- as it does not influence
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence the intended use, the	device PD2 has the same fi vision of the predicate devi ller. vertical vision, a difference e between the VX650 devic performance, the safety an	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not bre and a predicate device, deffectiveness of the syst	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non-as it does not influence tem.
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalenc the intended use, the Minimum pupil size	device PD2 has the same fi vision of the predicate devi ller. vertical vision, a difference e between the VX650 device	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not br e and a predicate device,	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non- as it does not influence
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence the intended use, the Minimum pupil size Comparison: The minimum pupil siz Both predicate devices device. It does not bri	device PD2 has the same fivision of the predicate deviller.  vertical vision, a difference between the VX650 device performance, the safety an 3.5mm  see parameter represents the PD2 and PD3 have slightlying a point of non-substantias it does not influence the	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not be and a predicate device, deffectiveness of the system 3.8mm  e size of the smallest pupil a larger minimum pupil size al equivalence between the	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non-as it does not influence rem.  4mm  that can be measured. res than the subject e submitted device and
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence the intended use, the Minimum pupil size Comparison: The minimum pupil siz Both predicate devices device. It does not bri the predicate devices,	device PD2 has the same fivision of the predicate deviller.  vertical vision, a difference between the VX650 device performance, the safety an 3.5mm  see parameter represents the PD2 and PD3 have slightlying a point of non-substantias it does not influence the	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not be and a predicate device, deffectiveness of the system 3.8mm  e size of the smallest pupil a larger minimum pupil size al equivalence between the	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non-as it does not influence rem.  4mm  that can be measured. res than the subject e submitted device and
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence the intended use, the Minimum pupil size Comparison: The minimum pupil siz Both predicate devices device. It does not bri the predicate devices, effectiveness of the sy  Image sensor  Comparison: Both predicate	device PD2 has the same fivision of the predicate deviller.  vertical vision, a difference between the VX650 device performance, the safety and 3.5mm  The parameter represents the PD2 and PD3 have slightlying a point of non-substantias it does not influence the estem.	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not bree and a predicate device, deffectiveness of the system 3.8mm  essize of the smallest pupil arger minimum pupil size all equivalence between the intended use, the performance CMOS	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non- as it does not influence em.  4mm  that can be measured. es than the subject e submitted device and mance, the safety and  CMOS
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence the intended use, the Minimum pupil size Comparison: The minimum pupil siz Both predicate devices device. It does not bri the predicate devices, effectiveness of the sy  Image sensor  Comparison: Both predicatedevice.	device PD2 has the same fivision of the predicate deviller. vertical vision, a difference between the VX650 device performance, the safety an 3.5mm  see parameter represents the PD2 and PD3 have slightlying a point of non-substantias it does not influence the estem.  CMOS	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not bree and a predicate device, deffectiveness of the system 3.8mm  essize of the smallest pupil arger minimum pupil size all equivalence between the intended use, the performance CMOS	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non- as it does not influence em.  4mm  that can be measured. es than the subject e submitted device and mance, the safety and  CMOS
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence the intended use, the Minimum pupil size Comparison: The minimum pupil siz Both predicate devices device. It does not bri the predicate devices, effectiveness of the sy  Image sensor  Comparison: Both pred device.  Sensor size	device PD2 has the same find vision of the predicate deviller.  vertical vision, a difference between the VX650 device performance, the safety and 3.5mm  The parameter represents the PD2 and PD3 have slightlying a point of non-substantial as it does not influence the extern.  CMOS  CMOS	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not be and a predicate device, deffectiveness of the syst 3.8mm  e size of the smallest pupil a larger minimum pupil size al equivalence between the intended use, the performance of the same image services and the same image services are same image.	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non- as it does not influence rem.  4mm  that can be measured. es than the subject e submitted device and mance, the safety and  CMOS  nsor type as the subject
device. The predicate The field of horizontal vertical field is 5° sma Regarding the field of substantial equivalence the intended use, the Minimum pupil size Comparison: The minimum pupil siz Both predicate devices device. It does not bri the predicate devices, effectiveness of the sy  Image sensor  Comparison: Both predicate.  Sensor size  Comparison: Predicate device PD3 I	device PD2 has the same find vision of the predicate deviller.  vertical vision, a difference between the VX650 device performance, the safety and 3.5mm  The parameter represents the PD2 and PD3 have slightlying a point of non-substantial as it does not influence the extern.  CMOS  CMOS	eld of view as the subject ce PD3 is the same as the of 5° degrees does not be and a predicate device, deffectiveness of the system 3.8mm  e size of the smallest pupil alonger minimum pupil size alonger minimum	device. e subject device but the ring a point of non- as it does not influence em.  4mm  that can be measured. es than the subject e submitted device and mance, the safety and  CMOS  CMOS  S M pixel  The sensor resolution of



ATTRIBUTE / CHARACTERISTICS	VX650 Subject Device	Nexy Predicate Device PD2	Digital Retinography System DRS Predicate Device PD3
retina			
Comparison: The sub	ject device has better fundus	s resolution than the pred	icate devices.
Light source	LEDs	LEDs	LEDs
Comparison: Both pre	edicate devices PD12 and PD	3 have the same light sou	irce as subject device.
Type of fixation light	Blue and continuous (during the exam time)	Green and continuous (during the exam time)	Green and continuous (during the exam time)
· · ·	edicate devices PD2 and PD3 bes not make any significant		xation light as the subject
Focus on the retina – type of light	IR (infrared) LEDs and continuous source (during the focusing time)	IR (infrared) LEDs and continuous source (during the focusing time)	IR (infrared) LEDs and continuous source (during the focusing time)
retina, similar to the	edicate devices PD2 and PD3 subject device.	use infrared LEDs to perf	orm the focus on the
Type of flash	White LEDs in the visible range and pulsed (duration less than 50ms) with annular shape on the cornea.	White LEDs in the visible range and pulsed (duration less than 50ms) with annular shape on the cornea.	White LEDs in the visible range and pulsed (duration less than 50ms) with annular shape on the cornea.
	edicate devices PD2 and PD3 the cornea type of light to il		e subject device (color,
Performance Standard Compliance	Complies with the following standards:  IEC 60601-1:2005  IEC 60601-1-2:2014  ISO 10940-2:2009  ISO 60825-1:2014  ISO 62471-1:2006  ISO 8612:2010  ISO 10342:2010  ISO 10342:2010  ISO 24157:2008  ISO 19980:2012  ISO 10343-1:2014  ISO 15004-2 2007  ISO 15004-1:2006  ISO 14971: 2012  ISO 62366:2007  ISO 62304: 2006	Complies with the following standards:  • IEC 60601- 1:2005  • IEC 60601-1- 2:2007  • ISO 10940- 2:2009  • ISO 15004-2 2007  • ISO 15004- 1:2006  • ISO 14971: 2012  • ISO 62366:2007  • ISO 62304: 2006	Complies with the following standards:  • IEC 60601- 1:2005  • IEC 60601-1- 2:2007  • ISO 10940- 2:2009  • ISO 15004-2 2007



Table 2 - Additional predicate devices related to fundus camera module			
ATTRIBUTE /	VX650	Nexy	Digital Retinography System DRS Predicate Device PD3
CHARACTERISTICS	Subject Device	Predicate Device PD2	

Subject device and predicate devices PD2 and PD3 comply with relevant standards; the subject device includes also the applicability of additional standards for the other functions, as described above on Table 1.

## **TESTING IN SUPPORT OF SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION**

All necessary bench testing was conducted on VX650 to support a determination of substantial equivalence to the primary predicate device and to additional predicate device for fundus camera module. The tests performed include also:

- Ophthalmic Testing per ISO 15004-1, and ISO15004-2
- Ophthalmic Testing about Fundus Cameras per ISO 10940 Ophthalmic Instruments --Fundus Cameras
- Software Verification and Validation per AAMI/ANSI/IEC 62304 The software of this
  device was considered as a "Moderate" level of concern based on the FDA's Guidance for
  Industry and FDA Staff, "Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for
  Software Contained in Medical Devices" issued on: May 11, 2005
- Electrical Safety Testing per IEC60601-1 and Electromagnetic Compatibility Testing per IEC60601-1-2.

The collective performance testing demonstrates that the VX650 device does not raise any new questions of safety or effectiveness when compared to the predicate devices. The results of the performance testing demonstrate that the VX650 device performs as intended and does not raise any new questions of safety or effectiveness.

### Conclusion

None of the differences highlighted in the Table 1 and 2 introduce new issues of safety and effectiveness compared to predicate devices.

The remaining technical aspects (fundus camera module) of the VX650 compared with the additional predicate devices are considered very similar.

Based on the information contained in this submission, it is concluded that the VX650 device is substantially equivalent to the identified primary predicate device already in commerce within the USA and that any differences that do exist have no effect on the safety and effectiveness of the device.