

May 9, 2022

Immunalysis Corporation Elina Arroyo Manager Regulatory Affairs 829 Towne Center Drive Pomona, California 91767

Re: K203527

Trade/Device Name: Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>TM</sup> Regulation Number: 21 CFR 862.3650 Regulation Name: Opiate Test System Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: DJG Dated: February 10, 2022 Received: February 11, 2022

Dear Elina Arroyo:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm</a> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801 and Part 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <a href="https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products">https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</a>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems</u>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance</u>) and CDRH Learn (<u>https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</u>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</u>) for more information or contact DICE by email (<u>DICE@fda.hhs.gov</u>) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Marianela Perez-Torres, Ph.D. Deputy Director Division of Chemistry and Toxicology Devices OHT7: Office of In Vitro Diagnostics Office of Product Evaluation and Quality Center for Devices and Radiological Health

## Indications for Use

510(k) Number *(if known)* k203527

Device Name Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA™

# Indications for Use (Describe)

For in vitro diagnostic use.

The Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>TM</sup> is a homogeneous enzyme immunoassay with a cutoff of 200 ng/mL. The assay is intended for use in laboratories for the qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of tapentadol in human urine with automated clinical chemistry analyzers. This assay is calibrated against tapentadol. This in vitro diagnostic device is for prescription use only.

The Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>™</sup> provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to obtain a confirmed analytical result. Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is the preferred confirmatory method. Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any test result, particularly when preliminary positive results are used.

The semi-quantitative mode is for purposes of enabling laboratories to determine an appropriate dilution of the specimen for confirmation using a confirmatory method such as Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)	
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)	Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

#### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

#### \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff *PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov* 

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

# 510(K) SUMMARY

# 510(K) NUMBER: K203527

# A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant Name:	Immunalysis Corporation
	829 Towne Center Drive
	Pomona, CA 91767
	Establishment # 2020952

Company Contact:	Elina Arroyo
	Associate Director, Regulatory Affairs
	1 312-208-1514
	elina.arroyo@abbott.com
Date Prepared:	February 10, 2022

# **B. DEVICE IDENTIFICATION**

Trade or Proprietary Names: Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIATM

Common Name: Tapentadol Urine Enzyme Immunoassay

## **C. REGULATORY INFORMATION**

Device Classification Name:	Enzyme Immunoassay, Opiates
Product Codes:	DJG
Regulatory Class:	Class II
Classification Regulation:	21 CFR 862.3650, Opiate Test System
Panel:	Toxicology (91)
Predicate Device:	Immunalysis Tramadol Enzyme Immunoassay [K141803]

#### **D. DEVICE DESCRIPTION**

The Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>™</sup> is a homogeneous enzyme immunoassay intended for use in laboratories for the qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of tapentadol in human urine with automated clinical chemistry analyzers. This assay is calibrated against tapentadol. This in vitro diagnostic device is for prescription use only.

The Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>™</sup> provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to obtain a confirmed analytical result. Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is the preferred confirmatory method. Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any test result, particularly when preliminary positive results are used.

The semi-quantitative mode is for purposes of enabling laboratories to determine an appropriate dilution of the specimen for confirmation using a confirmatory method such as Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

Tapentadol is a centrally acting analgesic approved by the FDA in 2008 to treat moderate to severe pain in adults. It is a  $\mu$ -opioid receptor agonist and is classified as a Schedule II controlled substance. The therapeutic doses of tapentadol are generally between 50 and 600 mg/ day (the range specified by the package insert)<sup>1</sup> with a median of 200 mg/day. About 99% of ingested tapentadol undergoes glucuronide conjugation (55%) and sulfate conjugation (15%) before excretion into the urine<sup>2,3</sup>. Wu et al<sup>4</sup>. described urine concentrations of tapentadol and its metabolites in 736 samples. The parent drug and all three metabolites were detected together in 79.9% of positive specimens (n = 586); the parent drug was detected together with glucuronide conjugate in 7.1% of positive samples. Parent drug was detected without metabolites in 49 samples. The median

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. https://www.nucynta.com/hcp/ir/individualized-dosing/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Terlinden R, Ossig J, Fliegert F, Lange C, Goehler K. Absorption, metabolism, and excretion of 14C-labeled tapentadol HCl in healthy male subjects. Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinetics 2007; 32:163–169.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> DePriest AZ, Puet BL, Holt AC, Roberts A, Cone EJ. Metabolism and disposition of prescription opioids: A Review. Forensic Sci Rev. 2015 Jul;27(2):115-45. Review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Wu F, Slawson MH, Johnson-Davis KL. Metabolic patterns of fentanyl, meperidine, methylphenidate, tapentadol and tramadol observed in urine, serum or plasma. J Anal Toxicol. 2017;41(4):289-299

concentration observed for tapentadol was 3,104 ng/mL, and the concentrations of tapentadol exceeding 5,000 ng/mL were found in 37.5% of positive samples.

### **E. INTENDED USE**

For in vitro diagnostic use.

The Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>™</sup> is a homogeneous enzyme immunoassay with a cutoff of 200 ng/mL. The assay is intended for use in laboratories for the qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of tapentadol in human urine with automated clinical chemistry analyzers. This assay is calibrated against tapentadol. This *in vitro* diagnostic device is for prescription use only.

The Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>™</sup> provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to obtain a confirmed analytical result. Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is the preferred confirmatory method. Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any test result, particularly when preliminary positive results are used.

The semi-quantitative mode is for purposes of enabling laboratories to determine an appropriate dilution of the specimen for confirmation using a confirmatory method such as Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) or Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

### F. COMPARISON WITH PREDICATE

Immunalysis Tramadol Enzyme Immunoassay was selected as the predicate because both Tapentadol and Tramadol are in the opioid family and share identical characteristics except for the analyte being detected. The following **Table 5-1** includes a summary of the technological characteristics.

	L L						
Attribute	Candidate Device Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA <sup>TM</sup>	Predicate Device Immunalysis Tramadol Enzyme Immunoassay [K141803]					
	Similarities						
Intended Use	Identical	For the qualitative and semi- quantitative analysis of an opioid in human urine with automated clinical chemistry analyzers.					
Test Principle	Identical	Homogeneous enzyme immunoassay					
User Environment	Identical	For use in laboratories					
Sample Matrix	Identical	Human urine					
Mass Spectrometry Confirmation	Identical	Required for preliminary positive analytical results					
Reagent Storage	Identical	2-8°C until expiration date					
Assay Materials	Identical	Two analytical reagents: antibody/substrate reagent and enzyme labeled conjugate reagent					
Assay Cutoff Level	Identical	200 ng/mL					
Instrumentation	Identical	Automated Clinical chemistry analyzer					
	Differences						
Antibody	Recombinant FAB antibody to Tapentadol	Goat Polyclonal Antibody to Tramadol					
Calibrator	Tapentadol	Tramadol					

### **Table 5-1 Comparison to Predicate Device**

### **G. PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS**

The following laboratory performance studies were performed to determine substantial equivalence of the Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>TM</sup> to the predicate device. Assay performance was established using the Beckman Coulter AU480 chemistry analyzer.

### 1. Precision

Precision study was performed over 20 days, two runs per day in duplicates ( $20 \ge 2 \ge 2$  replicates per panel member) for a total of 80 replicates (N=80) on 3 lots of reagent. Nine panel members were made using Drug free negative urine as the base sample and 8 panel members were spiked to concentrations of assay cutoff and  $\pm 25\%$ ,  $\pm 50\%$ ,  $\pm 75\%$ ,  $\pm 100\%$ 

of the cutoff (200 ng/mL). The concentrations used for spiking were confirmed by mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The data demonstrates all sample concentration ranging from -100% to -25% of the cutoff were negative and all sample concentrations ranging from +25% to +100% of cutoff were positive for both qualitative and semi-quantitative interpretations. The %CV of the semi-quantitative results ranged from 3.6 to 9.7 for all lots tested. The study established the repeatability of the assay. Test results in qualitative and semi-quantitative modes for a representative lot are presented in **Tables 2** to **7**.

		e e	
Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Result
0	-100%	80	80 Negative
50	-75%	80	80 Negative
100	-50%	80	80 Negative
150	-25%	80	80 Negative
200	Cutoff	80	41 Neg / 39 Pos
250	+25%	80	80 Positive
300	+50%	80	80 Positive
350	+75%	80	80 Positive
400	+100%	80	80 Positive

 Table 2. Precision – Qualitative Lot#1

### Table 3. Precision – Qualitative Lot#2

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Result
0	-100%	80	80 Negative
50	-75%	80	80 Negative
100	-50%	80	80 Negative
150	-25%	80	80 Negative
200	Cutoff	80	35 Neg / 45 Pos
250	+25%	80	80 Positive
300	+50%	80	80 Positive
350	+75%	80	80 Positive
400	+100%	80	80 Positive

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Result
0	-100%	80	80 Negative
50	-75%	80	80 Negative
100	-50%	80	80 Negative
150	-25%	80	80 Negative
200	Cutoff	80	42 Neg / 38 Pos
250	+25%	80	80 Positive
300	+50%	80	80 Positive
350	+75%	80	80 Positive
400	+100%	80	80 Positive

 Table 4. Precision – Qualitative Lot#3

Table 5. Precision - Semi-Quantitative Lot#1

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Mean Conc. (ng/mL)	Result
0	-100%	80	-3	80 Negative
50	-75%	80	48	80 Negative
100	-50%	80	99	80 Negative
150	-25%	80	161	80 Negative
200	Cutoff	80	215	2 Neg / 78 Pos
250	+25%	80	268	80 Positive
300	+50%	80	304	80 Positive
350	+75%	80	372	80 Positive
400	+100%	80	431	80 Positive

Table 6. Precision - Semi-Quantitative Lot#2

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Mean Conc. (ng/mL)	Result
0	-100%	80	-4	80 Negative
50	-75%	80	50	80 Negative
100	-50%	80	100	80 Negative
150	-25%	80	162	80 Negative
200	Cutoff	80	213	8 Neg / 72 Pos
250	+25%	80	263	80 Positive
300	+50%	80	298	80 Positive
350	+75%	80	359	80 Positive
400	+100%	80	417	80 Positive

Concentration (ng/mL)	% of Cutoff	# of Determinations	Mean Conc. (ng/mL)	Result
0	-100%	80	-3	80 Negative
50	-75%	80	49	80 Negative
100	-50%	80	102	80 Negative
150	-25%	80	162	80 Negative
200	Cutoff	80	215	7 Neg / 73 Pos
250	+25%	80	266	80 Positive
300	+50%	80	303	80 Positive
350	+75%	80	369	80 Positive
400	+100%	80	422	80 Positive

Table 7. Precision - Semi-Quantitative Lot#3

### 2. Specificity and Cross-Reactivity

Structurally and functionally similar compounds were spiked into drug free urine at levels that will yield a result that is equivalent to the cutoff. The data demonstrates all sample compounds tested were negative by both the qualitative and semi-quantitative interpretations except for N-desmethyl tapentadol and tapentadol glucuronide. The % of cross- reactivity of the semi-quantitative results was < 0.2 % for all compounds tested except for N-desmethyl tapentadol with 15.7% and tapentadol glucuronide with 0.5% of cross- reactivity. Cross-reactivity test results in qualitative mode are presented in **Table 9**.

Compound	Compoun d Conc. (ng/mL)	Tapentadol Equivalent Conc. (ng/mL)	Result	Cross- Reactivity (%)
Chlorpromazine	100,000	< 200	NEG	<0.2
Clomipramine	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
Cyclobenzaprine	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
Doxepin	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
Imipramine	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
O-desmethyl tramadol	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2

 Table 8. Cross-Reactivity – Qualitative

Compound	Compoun d Conc. (ng/mL)	Tapentadol Equivalent Conc. (ng/mL)	Result	Cross- Reactivity (%)
O-desmethyl venlafaxine	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
N-desmethyl tapentadol	1,275	200	POS	15.7
N-desmethyl tramadol	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
N-desmethyl venlafaxine	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
Tapentadol glucuronide	43,000	200	POS	0.5
Tramadol	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
Trimipramine	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2
Venlafaxine	100,000	<200	NEG	<0.2

 Table 9. Cross-Reactivity – Semi-Quantitative

Compound	Compound Conc. (ng/mL)	Tapentadol Equivalent Conc. (ng/mL)	Mean Value (ng/mL)	Result	Cross- Reactivity (%)
Chlorpromazine	100,000	< 200	47.3	NEG	<0.2
Clomipramine	100,000	<200	47.6	NEG	<0.2
Cyclobenzaprine	100,000	<200	1.0	NEG	<0.2
Doxepin	100,000	<200	0.9	NEG	<0.2
Imipramine	100,000	<200	69.7	NEG	<0.2
O-desmethyl tramadol	100,000	<200	101.0	NEG	<0.2
O-desmethyl venlafaxine	100,000	<200	1.8	NEG	<0.2
N-desmethyl tapentadol	1,275	200	214.3	POS	15.7
N-desmethyl tramadol	100,000	<200	6.0	NEG	<0.2
N-desmethyl venlafaxine	100,000	<200	1.9	NEG	<0.2
Tapentadol glucuronide	43,000	200	211.7	POS	0.5
Tramadol	100,000	<200	2.5	NEG	<0.2
Trimipramine	100,000	<200	10.8	NEG	<0.2
Venlafaxine	100,000	<200	-1.6	NEG	<0.2

## 3. Interference – Structurally Unrelated Compounds

Structurally unrelated compounds were evaluated in qualitative and semi-quantitative modes by spiking the potential interferent into drug free urine containing tapentadol at

 $\pm 25\%$  of the cutoff. The levels of structurally unrelated compounds that did not interfere in the assay are presented in **Table 10**.

Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)
4-Bromo-2,5,Dimethoxyphenethylamine	100,000
6-Acetylcodeine	100,000
6-Acetylmorphine	100,000
Alprazolam	100,000
7-Aminoclonazepam	100,000
7-Aminoflunitrazepam	100,000
7-Aminonitrazepam	100,000
Amitriptyline	100,000
d-Amphetamine	100,000
Amobarbital	100,000
Atomoxetine	100,000
Benzoylecgonine	100,000
Benzylpiperazine	100,000
Bromazepam	100,000
Brompheniramine	100,000
Buprenorphine	100,000
Bupropion	100,000
Butabarbital	100,000
Butalbital	100,000
Cannabidiol	100,000
Cannabinol	100,000
Carbamazepine	100,000
Cetirizine	100,000
Chlordiazepoxide	100,000
1-(3-Chlorophenylpiperazine) mCPP	100,000
Chlorpheniramine	100,000
Cimetidine	100,000
Citalopram	100,000
Clobazam	100,000
Clonazepam	100,000
Clozapine	100,000
Cocaine	100,000
Codeine	100,000
Cotinine	100,000

 Table 10. Non-Interfering Structurally Unrelated Compounds

Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)		
Dehydronorketamine	50,000		
Demoxepam	100,000		
Desakylflurazepam	100,000		
Desipramine	100,000		
Dextromethorphan	100,000		
Dihydrohydroxcarbamazepine	100,000		
Diazepam	100,000		
Digoxin	100,000		
Dihydrocodeine	100,000		
Doxylamine	100,000		
Duloxetine	100,000		
Ecgonine	100,000		
Ecgonine Methyl Ester	100,000		
EDDP	100,000		
EMDP	100,000		
1S,2R (+)-Ephedrine	100,000		
Ethylmorphine	100,000		
Ethyl-β-D-Glucuronide	100,000 100,000		
Fentanyl			
Fenfluramine	100,000		
Flunitrazepam	100,000		
Fluoxetine	100,000		
Flurazepam	100,000		
Haloperidol	100,000		
Heroin	100,000		
Hexobarbital	100,000		
Hydrocodone	100,000		
Hydromorphone	100,000		
Ketamine	100,000		
Lamotrigine	100,000		
Levorphanol	100,000		
Lidocaine	100,000		
Lorazepam	100,000		
Lorazepam Glucuronide	50,000		
Lormetazepam	100,000		
LSD	100,000		
Maprotiline	100,000		
MDA	100,000		

Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)		
MDEA	100,000		
MDMA	100,000		
Meperidine	100,000		
Meprobamate	100,000		
d-Methamphetamine	100,000		
Methaquolone	100,000		
Methoxetamine	100,000		
Methylone	100,000		
Methylphenidate	100,000		
Midazolam	100,000		
Morphine	100,000		
Morphine-3-Glucuronide	100,000		
Morphine-6-Glucuronide	100,000		
Nalorphine	100,000		
Naloxone	100,000		
Naltrexone	100,000		
Naproxen	100,000		
Nitrazepam	100,000 100,000		
Norbuprenorphine			
Norcodeine	100,000		
Nordiazepam	100,000		
Norketamine	100,000		
Normorphine	100,000		
Noroxycodone	100,000		
Norpropoxyphene	100,000		
Norpseudoephedrine	100,000		
Nortriptyline	100,000		
Olanzapine	100,000		
Oxazepam	100,000		
Oxazepam glucuronide	50,000		
Oxycodone	100,000		
Oxymorphone	100,000		
Delta-9-THC	100,000		
11-hydroxy-delta-9-THC	100,000		
11-nor-9 carboxy THC	100,000		
РСР	100,000		
Pentazocine	100,000		
Pentobarbital	100,000		

Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)		
Phenobarbital	100,000		
Phentermine	100,000		
R(-)-Phenylephrine	100,000		
Phenylpropanolamine (PPA)	100,000		
Phenytoin	100,000		
РМА	100,000		
РММА	100,000		
Prazepam	100,000		
Propoxyphene	100,000		
Propranolol	100,000		
Protriptyline	100,000		
R,R (-)-Pseudoephedrine	100,000		
S,S (+)-Pseudoephedrine	100,000		
Risperidone	100,000		
Ritalinic Acid	100,000		
Salicylic Acid	100,000		
Secobarbital	100,000		
Sertraline	100,000		
Sufentanil	50,000		
Temazepam	100,000		
Theophylline	100,000		
Thioridazine	100,000		
Trazadone	100,000		
Triazolam	100,000		
3-Trifluoromethylphenyl-piperazine	100,000		
Tyramine	100,000		
Verapamil	100,000		
Zolpidem	100,000		
Carisoprodol	100,000		
1R,2S (-)-Ephedrine	100,000		
Acetaminophen	500,000		
Acetylsalicyclic Acid	500,000		
α-hydroxyalprazolam	100,000		
Barbital	100,000		
Caffeine	500,000		
Cyclopentobarbital	100,000		
Diphenhydramine	300,000		
Ibuprofen	500,000		

Compound	Conc. Tested (ng/mL)		
LAAM	100,000		
Labetalol	100,000		
Loratadine	100,000		
Mephenytoin	100,000		
Methadone	500,000		
Methylphenylsuccinimide (mCPP)	100,000		
Mirtazapine	100,000		
n-desmethylcitalopram	100,000		
Nor-LAAM	100,000		
Noroxymorphone	100,000		
Normesuximide	100,000		
PEMA	100,000		
Phenazepam	100,000		
Procaine	100,000		

### 4. Interference – Endogenous Compounds and Urine Preservatives

Endogenous compounds and urine preservatives were evaluated in qualitative and semiquantitative modes by spiking the potential interferent into drug free urine containing tapentadol at  $\pm 25\%$  of the cutoff. Due to the interference of boric acid observed at  $\pm 25\%$ of the cutoff, potential interference was also evaluated at  $\pm 50\%$  of the cutoff. Endogenous compounds and urine preservative tested that did not interfere in the assay are presented in **Tables 11 and 12**. Boric acid interference test results at  $\pm 50\%$  of the cutoff in qualitative and semi-quantitative modes are presented in **Table 13**.

Compound	<b>Concentration Tested</b>
Acetone	1.0 g/dL
Ascorbic Acid	1.5 g/dL
Bilirubin	0.002 g/dL
Creatinine	0.5 g/dL
Ethanol	1.0 g/dL
Galactose	0.01 g/dL
γ-Globulin	0.5 g/dL
Glucose	2.0 g/dL
Hemoglobin	0.3 g/dL
Human Serum Albumin	0.5 g/dL
Oxalic Acid	0.1 g/dL
Riboflavin	0.0075 g/dL
Sodium Chloride	6.0 g/dL
Urea	6.0 g/dL

**Table 11. Non-interfering Endogenous Compounds** 

**Table 12. Non-interfering Urine Preservative** 

Compound	<b>Concentration Tested</b>
Sodium Azide	1% w/v
Sodium Fluoride	1% w/v

 Table 13. Interference at ±50% of the Cutoff

		-50% Cutoff (50 ng/mL)		+50% Cutoff (150 ng/mL)	
Compound	Concentration Tested	Qualitative Result Semi- Quantitative Result		Qualitative Result	Semi- Quantitative Result
Boric Acid	1% w/v	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative

### 5. Interference – pH

To evaluate potential interference from the effect of urine pH on the assay's ability to detect tapentadol, device performance in the qualitative and semi-quantitative modes was tested using a range of urine pH values (3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0 and 11.0). All test samples were prepared in drug free urine containing tapentadol at  $\pm 25\%$  of the cutoff. No positive or negative interference was observed at urine pH values ranging from 3.0 to 11.0 for each test mode.

### 6. Interference - Specific Gravity

To evaluate potential interference from the specific gravity of urine on the assay's ability to detect tapentadol, device performance in the qualitative and semi-quantitative modes was tested using a range of physiologically relevant urine specific gravity values (1.000, 1.002, 1.005, 1.010, 1.015, 1.020, 1.025 and 1.030). All test samples were prepared in drug free urine containing tapentadol at  $\pm 25\%$  of the cutoff. No positive or negative interference was observed at urine specific gravity values ranging from 1.000 to 1.030 for each test mode.

### 7. Linearity/Recovery

A linearity study in the semi-quantitative mode was conducted by spiking a drug free urine pool with a high concentration of tapentadol above the highest calibrator. Additional pools were made by serially diluting the high concentration specimen with drug free urine to achieve concentrations ranging from 1100 to 100 ng/mL. The 0 ng/mL specimen was made from drug free urine. Each pool was tested in triplicate to calculate the mean concentration values that were used to calculate drug recovery of tapentadol. The study confirmed the linear range to be 100-1100 ng/mL. The assay drug recovery percentage ranged from 95.8 to 110.4 %. Linearity test results in semi-quantitative mode are presented in **Tables 14**.

Expected Concentration	Mean Concentration	Recovery (%)
(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	itecovery (70)
0	3.0	N/A
100	110.4	110.4
200	211.6	105.8
300	298.2	99.4
400	417.3	104.3
500	531.2	106.2
600	614.1	102.4
700	721.7	103.1
800	827.3	103.4
900	907.8	100.9
1000	980.1	98.0
1100	1054.3	95.8

 Table 14. Linearity/Recovery – Tapentadol

#### 8. Calibration Duration

Drug free negative urine spiked with tapentadol at  $\pm 25\%$  of the cutoff were tested in qualitative and semi-quantitative mode at time points up to 14 days. At the initial time point, a two-point calibration curve was established in qualitative mode and a multi-point calibration curve was established in semi-quantitative mode. This calibration was used through the duration of this study. The test results met acceptance criteria at each time point. The recommended frequency of calibration is 14 days.

#### 9. Tapentadol Stability in Urine

Urine samples were collected from 4 participants who reported taking tapentadol in the last 24 hours. These samples were tested within 4 hours of sample collection. Test results indicated that urine samples containing tapentadol are stable for up to 14 days stored at  $2^{\circ}C - 8^{\circ}C$ .

### **10. Method Comparison**

A method comparison study was performed using 160 deidentified remnant unaltered clinical urine samples obtained from clinical testing laboratories. The urine samples were analyzed for tapentadol using the Immunalysis tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>TM</sup> in both qualitative and semi-quantitative modes and Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The instruments used were the Beckman Coulter AU480 chemistry analyzer and an Agilent 6430 Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. The method comparison study demonstrates that the Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>TM</sup> is an accurate test method in comparison to LC-MS/MS with a positive percent agreement (PPA) and negative percent agreement (NPA) of 100% and 100%, respectively. The results are presented from Tables 15 and 16.

		LC	C-MS/MS Tape	ntadol Concentra	ation	
Tapenta	unalysis adol Urine <sup>IM</sup> Result	< 100 ng/mL (less than -50% cutoff)	100 – 199 ng/mL (between - 50% cutoff and cutoff)	200 - 300 ng/mL (between cutoff and +50% cutoff)	> 300 ng/mL (greater than +50% cutoff)	Agreement (%)
Oual	Positive	0	0	14	81	100% (95/95)
Qual.	Negative	46	19	0	0	100% (65/65)
Semi-	Positive	0	0	14	81	100% (95/95)
Quant.	Negative	46	19	0	0	100% (65/65)

Table 15. Method Comparison Results by Concentration Range

Table 16. Method Comparison Results Compared to LC-MS/MS

Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA <sup>TM</sup>		LC-MS/MS	
		(+)	(-)
Qualitative	(+)	95	0
	(-)	0	65
Semi-Quantitative	(+)	95	0
	(-)	0	65

# **H. CONCLUSION**

The information provided in this pre-market notification demonstrates that the Immunalysis Tapentadol Urine HEIA<sup>TM</sup> is substantially equivalent to the legally marketed predicate device.