

March 23, 2022

Beckman Coulter, Inc. Veronica Colinayo Staff Regulatory Affairs 250 S. Kraemer Boulevard, Mail Stop B1.SE.03 Brea, California 92821

Re: K220178

Trade/Device Name: Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE)

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 866.5510

Regulation Name: Immunoglobulins A, G, M, D, And E Immunological Test System

Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: DGC Dated: January 20, 2022 Received: January 21, 2022

# Dear Veronica Colinayo:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm</a> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's

requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801 and Part 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <a href="https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products">https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</a>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems">https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems</a>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance</a>) and CDRH Learn (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn">https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</a>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</a>) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Ying Mao. Ph.D.
Chief
Division of Immunology
and Hematology Devices
OHT7: Office of In Vitro Diagnostics
and Radiological Health
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

**Indications for Use** 

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120

Expiration Date: 06/30/2023
See PRA Statement below.

| Submission Number (if known)   |
|--|
| K220178  |
| Device Name  |
| Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE)   |
| Indications for Use (Describe)   |
| The IgE assay is intended for use in the quantitative determination of Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) concentration in human serum and plasma (lithium heparin, sodium heparin, K2-EDTA, K3-EDTA) on Beckman Coulter AU/DxC AU clinical chemistry analyzers. The determination aids in the diagnosis of IgE-mediated allergic disorders in conjunction with other clinical findings. For in vitro diagnostic use only. |
| Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)  |
| Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D) Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)   |

#### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

## \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."



# 1.0 Submitted By

Veronica V. Colinayo Staff Regulatory Affairs Beckman Coulter, Inc. 250 S. Kraemer Blvd. Mail Stop: B1.SE.03 Brea, CA 92821

Telephone: (714) 961-6515

Fax: (714) 961-4234

Email: vvcolinayo@beckman.com

## 2.0 Date Submitted

January 20, 2022

# 3.0 Device Name(s)

## 3.1 Proprietary Names

Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE)

## 3.2 Classification Name

Immunoglobulins A, G, M, D, and E immunological test system [866.5510, Product Code DGC]

## 4.0 Predicate Device

| Candidate Device             | Predicate Device              | Manufacturer      | Docket<br>Number |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) | Elecsys IgE II<br>Immunoassay | Roche Diagnostics | K061970          |

# 5.0 Device Description

The Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) reagent kit is in a liquid ready-to-use format designed for optimal performance on Beckman Coulter's AU/DxC AU clinical chemistry analyzers. Each reagent kit contains one buffer reagent (R1), one antibody reagent (R2), and a six-level lot matched calibrator set. The IgE reagent test system utilizes a turbidimetric immunoassay methodology. The AU analyzer measures the change in absorbance at 800 nm to calculate and express the concentration of immunoglobulin E in the test sample based on a stored calibration curve. The IgE assay is traceable to the World Health Organization (WHO) 3<sup>rd</sup> International Standard 11/234.



# 6.0 Intended Use

The IgE assay is intended for use in the quantitative determination of Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) concentration in human serum and plasma (lithium heparin, sodium heparin, K2 EDTA, K3 EDTA) on Beckman Coulter AU/DxC AU clinical chemistry analyzers. The determination aids in the diagnosis of IgE-mediated allergic disorders in conjunction with other clinical findings. For in vitro diagnostic use only.

# 7.0 Comparison to the Predicate

The following table describes the similarities and differences between the candidate device and the predicate device identified in Section 4.0 of this summary:

| Device                             |   | Similarities   |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
|                                    | Intended Use: quantitative determination of total IgE for IVD use Sample types: serum, plasma (heparin & EDTA) Format: liquid, ready-to-use Antibody: monoclonal, mouse Storage conditions: 2-8°C | Same as Roche's<br>IgE II assay on the Cobas<br>Immunoassay System                                       |
|                                    | ı   | Differences  |
| Beckman                            | Operating Principle   | IgE: Turbidimetric immunoassay IgE II: Electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay                             |
| Coulter IgE assay for              | Assay Format  | IgE: Homogeneous (1 step) IgE II: Heterogeneous (2 step)   |
| AU/DxC AU<br>Clinical<br>Chemistry | Calibrator scheme   | IgE: 6-level multipoint calibration curve<br>IgE II: Barcoded master curve with two-<br>point adjustment |
| Analyzers                          | Calibration Stability   | IgE: 14 days<br>IgE II: 7 days   |
|                                    | Traceability  | IgE: WHO 3 <sup>rd</sup> IRP 11/234<br>IgE II: WHO 2 <sup>nd</sup> IRP 75/502                            |
|                                    | Analytical Measuring Range  | IgE: 20 - 500 IU/mL<br>IgE II: 0.100 - 2500 IU/mL  |
|                                    | Extended Measuring Range (manual or auto-dilution)  | IgE: 500 - 1,000 IU/mL<br>IgE II: >2,500 up to 50,000 IU/mL  |
|                                    | Limit of Detection  | IgE: 15 IU/mL<br>IgE II: 0.100 IU/mL   |
|                                    | Limit of Quantitation   | IGE: 20 IU/mL with ≤ 35% CV<br>IgE II: 0.500 IU/mL with < 20% CV   |



# 8.0 Comparison testing

Comparative studies were conducted for the candidate IgE reagent test system on the DxC 700 AU Clinical Chemistry Analyzer. Equivalence was demonstrated through a method comparison study. Additional performance studies verified that the technological differences between the candidate and predicate devices did not adversely affect safety and effectiveness. Discussions of the following performance parameters are presented in this 510(k) Summary:

Method Comparison

**Imprecision** 

Linearity

Sensitivity

Reference Interval

Specificity

Anticoagulants

In-use (Reagent Onboard & Calibration) Stability

# 9.0 Summary of Performance Data

The data in the Premarket Notification supports a finding of substantial equivalence to measurand test systems already in commercial distribution.

#### 9.1 Method Comparison Summary

Method comparison and bias estimation experiments were designed in accordance with the CLSI Guideline EP09c "Measurement Procedure Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples – Third Edition". The study evaluated 136 fresh serum samples spanning the analytical measuring range of the candidate IgE assay, where test sample concentrations ranged from approximately 25 to 499 IU/mL IgE as measured by the predicate assay. The study results are summarized in Table 9.1.1 based on Weighted Deming regression analysis.

Table 9.1.1 IgE Method Comparison Study Summary

| Method Y                        | Slope<br>[95% C.I.]      | Intercept<br>(IU/mL)<br>[95% C.I.] | R     | N   | Method X                      |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-----|-------------------------------|
| Beckman<br>Coulter<br>IgE Assay | 0.966<br>[0.950 – 0.981] | 1.0<br>[-1.0 – 3.0]                | 0.996 | 136 | Roche Elecsys<br>IgE II Assay |



#### 9.2 Precision

Repeatability (within-run) and within-laboratory (total) precision studies were designed from CLSI Guideline EP05-A3 "Evaluation of Precision of Quantitative Measurement Procedures; Approved Guideline - Third Edition". Precision studies evaluated three lots of IgE reagent on a single DxC 700 AU analyzer, and one IgE reagent lot on three DxC 700 AU analyzers. Test samples included two levels of human serum-based quality control material and three patient pools. The experimental design used duplicate sample analysis twice daily over twenty working days (N=80) in random order. The performance summary for repeatability (within-run) and total (within-laboratory) imprecision for the candidate IgE assay is provided in Table 9.2.1. The total imprecision estimates include the between-run, between-day, between-lot, and between-instrument components of variance.

Table 9.2.1 IgE Reagent Imprecision Performance Summary

| Test Mean |         | Repeata<br>Resu | ,   | Critorio*     | Total Precision<br>Result |     | Critorio*     | Pass/ |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|-----|---------------|---------------------------|-----|---------------|-------|
| Sample    | (IU/mL) | SD<br>(IU/mL)   | %CV | Criteria*     | SD<br>(IU/mL)             | %CV | Criteria*     | Fail  |
| Control 1 | 113.5   | 1.9             | 1.7 | ≤7.0%<br>CV   | 2.3                       | 2.0 | ≤7.5%<br>CV   | Pass  |
| Control 2 | 229.4   | 2.3             | 1.0 | ≤7.0%<br>CV   | 3.7                       | 1.6 | ≤7.5%<br>CV   | Pass  |
| Pool 1    | 70.4    | 2.1             | 3.0 | ≤5.0<br>IU/mL | 2.3                       | 3.3 | ≤7.0<br>IU/mL | Pass  |
| Pool 2    | 167.9   | 2.4             | 1.4 | ≤7.0%<br>CV   | 4.0                       | 2.4 | ≤7.5%<br>CV   | Pass  |
| Pool 3    | 413.6   | 3.9             | 0.9 | ≤7.0%<br>CV   | 5.7                       | 1.4 | ≤7.5%<br>CV   | Pass  |

<sup>\*</sup>Repeatability criteria is 5.0 IU/mL for mean recovery values ≤ 71.4 IU/mL, and 7.0% CV for mean recovery values > 71.4 IU/mL; total precision criteria is 7.0 IU/mL for mean recovery values ≤ 93.3 IU/mL, and 7.5% CV for mean recovery values > 93.3 IU/mL.

y = 1.01273x + 0.630

 $R^2 = 0.99990$ 



Sample

Type

Serum

# 9.3 Analytical Range (Linearity)

Analytical range (linearity) studies were designed in accordance with the CLSI guideline EP06-A "Evaluation of the Linearity of Quantitative Measurement Procedures: A Statistical Approach; Approved Guideline". The study used a 15-level linearity test set of inter-diluted patient pools that spanned the claimed analytical measuring range of the candidate IgE assay. The initial assessment of the study data in accordance with the EP06-A document found the modelled non-linearity to be not statistically significant, and the assay was deemed linear; as such, no further evaluation of non-linear models against a bias criterion was required. Table 9.3.1 provides the linearity study summary that supports the analytical measuring range claim for the candidate IgE assay, and Table 9.3.2 provides the linearity test results.

Acceptance Criterion Results

Linear Range (IU/mL) Allowable Difference Specification Linear From Linear To

20 – 500 10.0 IU/mL or 10.0%\* 13.2 575.5 Pass

Table 9.3.1 IgE Linearity Study Summary

Weighted Linear Regression

| Table 9.3.2 IgE Linearity | v Studv | / Degree | of Non-I    | Linearity | Test Results    |
|---------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|
| I abio c.c.z ige eliloant | , Claa  | , 509.00 | 01 1 1011 1 |           | 1 OOL 1 LOOGILO |

| Sample | Target | Average | Predicted<br>Order 1 | Predicted<br>Nonlinear | cov   | Bias | Bias<br>Spec | %Bias | %Bias<br>Spec | Pass/<br>Fail |
|--------|--------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|-------|------|--------------|-------|---------------|---------------|
| LIN_01 | 13.2   | 13.2    | 14.0                 | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | 10.0         | N/A*  | -             | Pass          |
| LIN_02 | 15.1   | 16.4    | 15.9                 | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | 10.0         | N/A*  | -             | Pass          |
| LIN_03 | 24.5   | 25.2    | 25.4                 | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | 10.0         | N/A*  | -             | Pass          |
| LIN_04 | 50.7   | 52.1    | 52.0                 | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | 10.0         | N/A*  | -             | Pass          |
| LIN_05 | 88.2   | 90.9    | 90.0                 | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | 10.0         | N/A*  | -             | Pass          |
| LIN_06 | 155.7  | 157.6   | 158.3                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_07 | 215.6  | 220.0   | 219.0                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_08 | 266.2  | 269.2   | 270.2                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_09 | 331.8  | 335.3   | 336.7                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_10 | 388.1  | 392.2   | 393.7                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_11 | 425.6  | 428.7   | 431.6                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_12 | 463    | 473.8   | 469.5                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_13 | 511.8  | 520.9   | 518.9                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_14 | 530.5  | 542.6   | 537.9                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |
| LIN_15 | 575.5  | 575.5   | 583.5                | N/A*                   | 100.0 | N/A* | -            | N/A*  | 10.0          | Pass          |

<sup>\*</sup>Not Applicable: The non-linearity was deemed not statistically significant, therefore no additional bias assessment between the non-linear and linear models was required.

<sup>\*</sup>Values ≤100 IU/mL use the unit specification, and > 100 IU/mL use the percent specification.



# 9.4 Sensitivity (Detection Capability)

Limit of Blank (LoB), Limit of Detection (LoD), and Limit of Quantitation (LoQ) studies were designed from the CLSI guideline EP17-A2 "Evaluation of Detection Capability for Clinical Laboratory Measurement Procedures Approved Guideline - Second Edition" using the Classical Approach. The EP17 study evaluated two lots of candidate IgE reagent on one DxC 700 AU analyzer. The LoB evaluation assessed four unique lots of Immunoglobulin (Ig)-depleted human serum as the individual blank samples, and the LoD and LoQ evaluations used native patient pools diluted with Ig-depleted serum to achieve the low analyte levels. The results of the Detection Capability Study are shown in Table 9.4.1.

Table 9.4.1 IgE Detection Limit Study Summary (LoB, LoD & LoQ)

| Reagent Lot | LoB Result | LoB Claim | LoD Result | LoD Claim | LoQ Claim        |                  | Pass/Fail |
|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| ~           |            |           |            |           | (IU/mL)          |                  | <u> </u>  |
| 1           | 5.9        | ≤10.0     | 13.8       | ≤15.0     | 19.6 at 11.9% CV | ≤20.0 at ≤35% CV | Pass      |
| 2           | 7.5        | ≥10.0     | 12.5       | ≥15.0     | 17.8 at 7.8% CV  | ≥20.0 at ≥33% CV | Pass      |



## 9.5 Reference Interval (Expected values)

IgE reference intervals are significantly influenced by age, sex, geographic location, microflora of the gastrointestinal tract, diet of the population, as well as environmental factors such as climate change. As such, Beckman Coulter recommends that each clinical laboratory establish a range of expected values for its own local population, as dictated by good laboratory practices. The reference intervals presented in Table 7.5.1 were taken from literature.

Table 9.5.1 IgE Expected Values<sup>2</sup>

| Analyte | Sample Type | Condition (age)     | Levels (kIU/L)* |
|---------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|         |             | 0 - <7 years        | <25 – 440       |
| IgE     | gE Serum    | 7 - <19 years       | <25 – 450       |
|         |             | Adult (20-60 years) | 0 – 160         |

<sup>\*</sup>Equivalent to IU/mL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CLSI I/LA20, Analytical Performance Characteristics, Quality Assurance, and Clinical Utility of Immunological Assays for Human Immunoglobulin E Antibodies of Defined Allergen Specificities, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, October 2016, pp. 23; 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rifai N, *Tietz Handbook of Clinical Chemistry and Molecular Diagnostics*, 6<sup>th</sup> Ed., Elsevier (2018).



# 9.6 Analytical Specificity

Interference studies were designed in accordance with the CLSI Guidelines EP07 "Interference Testing in Clinical Chemistry - Third Edition" and EP37 "Supplemental Tables for Interference Testing in Clinical Chemistry" to identify and evaluate substances that could potentially interfere with the candidate IgE assay. The criteria for no significant interference (NSI) required the test sample (containing interferent) recovery mean to be within  $\pm$  10 IU/mL for recovered values  $\leq$  100 IU/mL, or 10% of the control recovery mean values > 100 IU/mL (sample containing no interferent).

The interference study results are presented in Tables 9.6.1 and 9.6.2. The drug omalizumab is a known interferent with the IgE assay methodology<sup>1</sup> and was not tested; falsely decreased results may occur in patients being treated with the drug. Antibody specificity studies were performed externally by the supplier of the monoclonal antibody component and showed no cross-reactivity with human IgA, IgD, IgG, or IgM.

Table 9.6.1 IgE Endogenous Interferents Study Summary

| Substance                 | Sample Type | Source                | Level Tested | Observed<br>Effect |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Hemoglobin                | Serum       | Hemolysate<br>(human) | 1,000 mg/dL  | NSI                |
| Unconjugated<br>Bilirubin | Serum       | Porcine               | 60 mg/dL     | NSI                |
| Lipemia                   | Serum       | Intralipid            | 1,000 mg/dL  | NSI                |
| RF                        | Serum       | Human                 | 250 IU/mL    | NSI                |

NSI = No Significant Interference within ± 10.0 IU/mL or 10%

Table 9.6.2 IgE Common Drug Interferents Study Summary

| Substances                               | Sample Type | Analyte Level<br>(IU/mL) | Range of<br>Observed<br>Mean % Bias | Observed<br>Effect |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 21 common<br>drugs and<br>concentrations | Serum       | ~160                     | -1.6% to 0.9%                       | NSI                |

NSI = No Significant Interference within ± 10.0 IU/mL or 10%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hamilton RG. Accuracy of Food and Drug Administration-cleared IgE antibody assays in the presence of anti-IgE (omalizumab). J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2006;117(4):759-766



## 9.7 Anticoagulant Studies (Serum vs. Plasma)

Methods comparison studies were used to evaluate plasma as an equivalent sample type. The methods comparison and bias estimation experiments were designed using the CLSI Guideline EP09c "Measurement Procedure Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples; Third Edition". The study utilized freshly drawn serum and plasma from apparently healthy adult volunteer donors, where five specimen tubes were drawn from each donor: one serum tube and one tube of each type of anticoagulant. The acceptance criteria and study results are summarized in Table 9.7.1 based on Weighted Deming regression analysis.

Table 9.7.1 IgE Anticoagulant Study Results Summary

| Plasma<br>Type      | Level<br>Tested | N  | Slope<br>[0.9 – 1.1] | Intercept<br>[± 20 IU/mL] | R<br>[≥ 0.97] | Pass/<br>Fail |
|---------------------|-----------------|----|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Na Heparin          | 17 Units/mL     | 55 | 0.989                | 0.0                       | 0.999         | Pass          |
| Li Heparin          | 17 Units/mL     | 55 | 0.989                | -0.5                      | 0.999         | Pass          |
| K <sub>2</sub> EDTA | 1.8 mg/mL       | 55 | 0.986                | -1.7                      | 0.997         | Pass          |
| K <sub>3</sub> EDTA | 1.8 mg/mL       | 53 | 0.964                | -2.4                      | 0.998         | Pass          |



## 9.8 In-Use Stability

In-Use Stability studies were designed to verify the open-bottle stability claims for the candidate IgE kit components and the IgE assay calibration interval. Testing was designed in accordance with the CLSI EP25-A guideline "Evaluation of Stability of In Vitro Diagnostic Reagents; Approved Guideline" using a classical design for sampling, storage, and testing. The study design evaluated in-use metrics at both the start and end of the shelf stability period for reagent kits stored under normal conditions (2-8°C) and including reagent kits that were exposed to post-shipping stress conditions. Three levels of quality control material were evaluated through the duration of the test period, where the mean IgE recovery at the last time point must be within 10 IU/mL or 10% of the mean Day 0 recovery. Table 9.8.1 summarizes the study results for the prestressed reagent kits beyond the claimed shelf life of 24 months.

Table 9.8.1: IgE In-Use Stability Study Summary

| Pre-stress<br>Condition | Shelf Life<br>(months) | Stability Parameter (2-8°C storage) | Claim<br>(days) | Tested to (days) | Pass/Fail |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|
| Winter                  | 29                     | Reagent open bottle*                | 28              | 29               | Pass      |
| Summer                  |                        |                                     |                 |                  |           |
| Winter                  | 29                     | Calibration interval <sup>†</sup>   | 14              | 15               | Pass      |
| Summer                  |                        |                                     |                 |                  |           |
| Winter                  | 29                     | Calibrator open bottle              | 45              | 54               | Pass      |
| Summer                  |                        |                                     |                 |                  |           |

<sup>\*</sup>Reagent stored onboard (on instrument) for study duration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>For Calibration Cycle 1, the Day 15 test point uses the Day 0 calibration curve; for Calibration Cycle 2, the Day 15 test point uses a new calibration curve based on a Day 15 recalibration.



# 10.0 Conclusion

Beckman Coulter's Total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) Reagent is substantially equivalent to the Roche Elecsys IgE II reagent test system (K061970) as demonstrated through methods comparison and precision studies. The performance testing presented in this submission provides evidence that the device is safe and effective in its intended use.

This 510(k) Summary is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of the Safe Medical Device Act of 1990 and the implementing regulation 21 CFR 807.92.