



October 21, 2022

MCI Medical Concept Innovation Inc.  
% Graziela Brum  
Regulatory Affairs Specialist  
Passarini Regulatory Affairs/ PR Servicos  
Regulatorios Administrativos Ltda ME  
Rua Alice Alem Saadi, 855/2402  
Ribeirao Preto, Sao Paulo 14096-570  
Brazil

Re: K212391

Trade/Device Name: MCI-Neuro Fixation System  
Regulation Number: 21 CFR 882.5320  
Regulation Name: Preformed Alterable Cranioplasty Plate  
Regulatory Class: Class II  
Product Code: GWO, GXR, HBW  
Dated: September 20, 2022  
Received: September 21, 2022

Dear Graziela Brum:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance>) and CDRH Learn (<https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice>) for more information or contact DICE by email ([DICE@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:DICE@fda.hhs.gov)) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Adam D. Pierce, Ph.D.  
Assistant Director  
DHT5A: Division of Neurosurgical,  
Neurointerventional  
and Neurodiagnostic Devices  
OHT5: Office of Neurological  
and Physical Medicine Devices  
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality  
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

## Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)  
K212391

Device Name  
MCI - Neuro Fixation System

Indications for Use (Describe)

MCI - Neuro Fixation System is indicated for use in selective trauma of the cranial skeleton, cranial surgery and reconstructive procedure.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)

Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

---

---

### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

---

---

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**\*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\***

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Office of Chief Information Officer  
Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff  
[PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov)

*"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."*

## 510(k) Summary

### ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Sponsor/Manufacturer Name MCI Medical Concept Innovation Inc.  
4592 North Hiatus Road  
Sunrise, Florida, USA 33351  
Telephone +01 (954) 306-2521

Contact Person and Preparer Graziela Brum and Ana Carolina Carvalho  
Regulatory Affairs Specialist  
Passarini Regulatory Affairs  
PR Serviços Regulatórios Administrativos Ltda  
E-Mail: [graziela@passarini.com.br](mailto:graziela@passarini.com.br)  
Telephone +55 (16) 3421-8488

Date Prepared 20/September/2022

### DEVICE NAME AND CLASSIFICATION

Trade/ Proprietary Name MCI - Neuro Fixation System  
Common Name Plate, Cranioplasty, Preformed, Alterable; Cover, Burr  
Hole; Fastener, Plate, Cranioplasty

Classification Regulation 21 CFR 882.5320

Product Code GWO, GXR, HBW

Classification Panel Neurology  
Reviewing Branch Neurology

### PREDICATE DEVICE INFORMATION

Predicate Device **K141911 - OPTIMUS NEURO SYSTEM**

### Reference Devices

**K971297 - CENTRE-DRIVE DRILL-FREE SCREW**  
**K161821- Stryker Universal Mesh**  
**K141452 - Leforte Neuro System Bone Plate and Screw**  
**K121624 - Biomet Microfixation Neuro Plating System**  
**K182758 - MCI -CMF System**  
**K944565- KLS-MARTIN Micro Osteosynthesis System (1.5mm)**

**INDICATIONS FOR USE**

MCI - Neuro Fixation System is indicated for use in selective trauma of the cranial skeleton, cranial surgery, and reconstructive procedure.

**DEVICE DESCRIPTION**

The MCI – Neuro Fixation System is composed of plates (including burr hole covers), screws, and meshes. The bone plates and meshes are made from commercially pure titanium (ASTM F67) and the bone screws are manufactured from titanium alloy - Ti-6Al-4V (ASTM F136) and are available in different sizes and shapes, according to the site of the implantation and the extension of the fracture. The surface of plates, meshes and screws are colored-anodized.

MCI - Neuro Fixation System devices are for single use. The devices are provided non-sterile and must be properly sterilized before use, according to the recommendations provided in the Instructions for Use.

The devices must only be used by qualified surgeons mastering the surgical technique, having been trained and qualified in cranial surgery.

**Comparison of Technological Characteristics with the Predicate Device**

	<b>SUBJECT DEVICE</b>	<b>PREDICATE DEVICE</b>
<b>Device Name</b>	<b>MCI - Neuro Fixation System</b>	<b>Neuro Plating System</b>
<b>510(K) #</b>	<b>K212391</b>	<b>K141911</b>
<b>Manufacturer</b>	MCI Medical Concept Innovation Inc.	OPTIMUS NEURO SYSTEM
<b>Indications for use</b>	MCI - Neuro Fixation System is indicated for use in selective trauma of the cranial skeleton, cranial surgery, and reconstructive procedure.	Optimus Neuro System is intended for use in selective trauma of the cranial skeleton, cranial surgery, and reconstructive procedure.
<b>Class</b>	2	2
<b>Product Code</b>	GWO, GRX, HBW	GWO, GRX, HBW
<b>Material (Chemical composition)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪Plate- Pure Titanium ASTM F67 (Anodized)</li> <li>▪Screw- Titanium Alloy ASTM F136 (Anodized)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪Plate- Pure Titanium ASTM F67 (Anodized)</li> <li>▪Screw- Titanium Alloy ASTM F136 (Anodized)</li> </ul>
<b>Device types and Dimension</b>	Straight, Square, Rectangular, Y-shape, X-shape, Z -shape, clover, triangular, mesh, burr hole with various lengths and thickness (03 to 06.mm). The screws range in 1.5 mm diameter to 1.7 mm and in lengths from	Straight, angle, Y-shape, X-shape, burr hole, square, matrix and mesh with various lengths and thickness Plate has various length and thickness (0.1 to 0.6mm). The screws range in diameters of 0.8 to 1.8mm and lengths from 3.0 to 6.0mm.

	3.0mm to 6.0 mm.	
<b>Single Use</b>	Yes	Yes
<b>Sterilization</b>	Nonsterile, steam sterilization before use	Nonsterile, steam sterilization before use

The subject device is substantially equivalent in indications and design principles to the following predicate device: K141911 - OPTIMUS NEURO SYSTEM

Any difference in the technological characteristics do not raise new issues of safety or efficacy.

**Performance Data**

Test	Test Method Summary	Results
Metallographic Test	Applied Standard ASTM F67-13. Perform metallography on implant through an Olympus BX41M-LED microscope, with Kroll attack - immersion.	The material complied with the specifications set forth in the applied standard.
Metallographic Test	Applied Standard ASTM F136 -13. Perform metallography on implant through an Olympus BX41M-LED microscope, with Kroll attack - immersion.	The material complied with the specifications set forth in the applied standard.
Cyclic polarization tests	Applied Standard ASTM F2129-19 a. A scan rate of 1,0 mV /s was used increasing from the corrosion potential, after 1 h of open-circuit or a potential variation less than 3 mV in 60 seconds. The scan was reversed to cathodic direction at 800 mVECS or when the current density reaches two orders of magnitude greater than the passivation threshold.	The devices have high resistance to initiation and to the propagation of localized corrosion.
Cycle Bend Testing of Metallic Bone Plates	Applied Standard ASTM F382-17 Annex A.1.  Testing machine: Universal Testing Machine.  The test was performed in ambient conditions (ambient air at room temperature).  <b>OBJECTIVE:</b> To determine the following four-point bending properties (static) of metallic bone plate:	The Structural Stiffness (Ele) of a bone plate, is the bone plate normalized effective bending stiffness. The test reports showed a Structural Stiffness of 0.003 Nm <sup>2</sup> for the subject and reference devices.  The Bending Stiffness (K) of a bone plate, is the maximum slope of the linear elastic portion of the load versus load-point displacement curve. The test reports show that the reference device plate Bending Stiffness was 70.4

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Structural Stiffness</li> <li>- Stiffness</li> <li>- Bending Strength</li> <li>- Proof Load</li> </ul>	<p>N/mm and to the subject device plate 75.7 N/mm.</p> <p>The Bending Strength (R) of a bone plate, is the bending moment necessary to produce a 0.2 % offset displacement in the bone plate when tested as the standard prescription. The test reports showed a Bending Strength of 0.04 Nm for the subject and reference devices.</p> <p>The proof load (P) is the applied load at the intersection point of line. BC with the load versus load-point displacement curve. The test reports show a reference device plate Proof Load of 16.08 N and to the subject device plate of 15.74 N.</p> <p>The above results allow the conclusion that the subject device worst case plate proved to be slightly stiffer and mechanically resistant than the reference device K944565 and therefore, can be considered equivalents for the performance standpoint.</p>
<p><b>Screw Torsion yield Test</b></p>	<p>Applied Standard ASTM F543-17 Annex A.1.</p> <p>Testing machine: Torsion Equipment. The test was performed in ambient conditions (ambient air at room temperature).</p> <p><b>OBJECTIVE:</b> To determine the following torsional properties of bone screw: Torsional Yield Strength Maximum Torque</p> <p>According to the ASTM F543-17 Standard, the specimen needs to be placed in the holding device so that five threads, below the head of the screw, are exposed outside the holding device. The screws that were evaluated do not attend this condition because they are too small. So, the "Breaking Angle"</p>	<p>The table A5.5 of the standard ASTM F543-17 - Standard Specification and Test Methods for Metallic Medical Bone Screws establish that the Screw type 1.5 should presents minimum Torsional Strength of 0.2 Nm. The worst case of the device in question and the reference device K944565 met the requirement of the applied standard.</p> <p>The Torsion test reports show the reference device screws presented a maximum torque 0.28 Nm, while the subject screws presented a maximum torque of 0.29 Nm. Both tested screws (subject and reference device) accomplish the standard requirements and therefore can be considered equivalents.</p>

	request is not applicable in this case.	
<b>Pull out testing</b>	Applied Standard ASTM F543-17 Annex A.3.  <b>OBJECTIVE:</b> Determine axial pullout strength of bone screw	The test reports show for the reference device screw a maximum force of 46.71 N, and for the subject device screw of 45.54 N. A maximum force variation of less than 2.5% was observed between reference and subject device and therefore they were considered equivalent.
<b>Driving torque testing</b>	Applied Standard ASTM F543-17 Annex A.2.  <b>OBJECTIVE:</b> To determine the driving torque of bone screw  Testing machine: Torsion Equipment.  The test was performed in ambient conditions (ambient air at room temperature).	The driving torque testing shows for the subject device an insertion torque of 0.05 Nm and a removal torque of 0.02 Nm. The reference device has presented an insertion and removal torque of 0.09 Nm and 0.03 Nm, respectively. For both cases, subject and reference, the insertion and removal torque are below than the Torsional Yield Strength. Therefore, considering the overall results of mechanical performance, the behavior of the subject and reference device can be deemed equivalent.

Biocompatibility of the subject devices are supported by the reference device K182758.

No clinical data were included in this submission.

The subject devices are provided non-sterile and have no expiration date defined.

## CONCLUSION

The documentation submitted in this premarket notification demonstrates that the subject devices have equivalent features and performance and, therefore, are substantially equivalent to the identified predicate device.