

July 10, 2020

InfraScan, Inc.
% Angela Mallery
Principal Product Development Strategist
NAMSA
400 US-169
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55441

Re: K200203

Trade/Device Name: Infrascanner Model 2500

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 882.1935

Regulation Name: Near Infrared (NIR) Brain Hematoma Detector

Regulatory Class: Class II

Product Code: OPT Dated: June 11, 2020 Received: June 12, 2020

Dear Angela Mallery:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for

devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn) and CDRH Learn (https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Jay Gupta
Assistant Director
DHT5A: Division of Neurosurgical,
Neurointerventional
and Neurodiagnostic Devices
OHT5: Office of Neurological
and Physical Medicine Devices
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120 Expiration Date: 06/30/2020

See PRA Statement below.

| K200203 |
|--|
| Device Name Infrascanner Model 2500 |
| Indications for Use (Describe) The Infrascanner is indicated for the detection of traumatic supratentorial hematomas of greater than 3.5 mL in volume that are less than 2.5 cm from the brain surface, as an adjunctive device to the clinical evaluation in the acute hospital setting of patients 18 years old or greater with suspected traumatic supratentorial intracranial hematoma. The device is indicated to assess patients for CT scans but should not serve as a substitute for these scans. The Infrascanner is indicated for use by Physicians, or under the direction of a physician, who has been trained in the use of the device. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable) |
| Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D) Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C) |
| CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED. |

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

510(k) SUMMARY K200203

July 8, 2020

| Submitter's Name, Address, Telephone Number, Contact Person and Date Prepared | InfraScan, Inc. 3508 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 Phone: 215-387-6784 Facsimile: 215-386-2327 Contact Person: Baruch Ben Dor, CEO | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Name of Device and Name/Address of Sponsor | Infrascanner Model 2500 InfraScan, Inc. 3508 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 | | | |
| Common or Usual Name | Near Infrared (NIR) Brain Hematoma Detector | | | |
| Classification Name | OPT (21 C.F.R. §882.1935) | | | |
| Predicate Devices | InfraScan Infrascanner Model 2000 (K120949) | | | |
| Indications for Use | The Infrascanner is indicated for the detection of traumatic supratentorial hematomas of greater than 3.5 mL in volume that are less than 2.5 cm from the brain surface, as an adjunctive device to the clinical evaluation in the acute hospital setting of patients 18 years old or greater with suspected traumatic supratentorial intracranial hematoma. The device is indicated to assess patients for CT scans but should not serve as a substitute for these scans. The Infrascanner is indicated for use by Physicians, or under the direction of a physician, who has been trained in the use of the device | | | |

Technological Characteristics

The device is a noninvasive device, which uses near-infrared spectroscopy ("NIRS") to provide early information about the possible development of traumatic supratentorial intracranial hematomas in patients presenting to hospitals with head trauma. This technology involves comparing regional differences in absorbance of NIR light. The application of NIRS to hematoma evaluation is based on the principle that intracranial hemoglobin concentration will differ where a hematoma is present, compared to hemoglobin concentrations in normal intracranial regions. The system consists of a Class I NIR-based sensor. The sensor is optically coupled to the patient's head through two disposable light guides in a "hairbrush" configuration. Examination with the Infras canner is performed through placement of the sensor on designated areas of the head that represent the most common locations for traumatic hematoma. The examination is designed to be performed within two minutes.

Specifically, Model 2500 is the same device as the Infrascanner Model 2000 with following two categories of modifications:

- Scanner miniaturization
- Systemenhancements

| Summary of the technological characteristics of the new device in comparison to those of the predicate device | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Itemized description | Reason for change | | | | | |
| 1. | Improved battery charging | To improve charging of depleted rechargeable batteries | | | | | |
| 2. | Update Battery icon | The NiMH battery's charge cycle shifts over time | | | | | |
| 3. | Add Tutorial Software | To add on-screen instructions to guide the user when making scans | | | | | |
| | | To reduce error and repeated measurements - Increasing the number of pulses and timing reduces the number of errors and the need to make repeated measurements on dark skin and dark hair. | | | | | |
| | ļ | | | | | | |
| 4. Modify Ti | Modify Timing and Langth | | Predicate | Current Device | | | |
| | Modify Timing and Length | Laser Pulses | 6 pulses | 10 pulses | | | |
| | | Laser Timing | 100 msec On / 200 msec off | 200 msec On / 100 msec off | | | |
| 5. | Add feedback LED indicator | To provide feedback to the user when measurements are active and when they are completed. | | | | | |
| 6. | Reduced size of device with a smaller display | To make the device smaller. The scanner miniaturization involved arranging of components inside the | | | | | |
| | on the front of the unit, removal of single | scanner. | | | | | |
| | board computer, and design of housing and | Electronic circuit size was reduced by implementing some of the analog circuits in digital. | | | | | |
| | shield. | The Windows CE 6.0 single board computer was replaced by a small microcontroller unit (MCU). | | | | | |
| | | Upgraded measurement buttons to rubber sealed switches. | | | | | |
| 7. | Incremental upgrades to the device. | Upgraded to permanent internal rechargeable battery and addition of snap retention. | | | | | |
| | | Upgrade to USB charger. | | | | | |
| 8. | Changed laser drive signal from pulsed | To reduce space, power and improve accuracy; higher sample rate | | | | | |
| | square wave to sinusoid and digital lock-in | | | | | | |
| | amplifier | | | | | | |
| 9. | Upgrade to software | Addition of secure boot, updated architecture, upgraded screen graphics | | | | | |

Performance Data

Bench testing demonstrated that device functioned as intended. Testing comparing to the predicate was conducted using a hematoma model to provide an approximation of human tissue. Testing included repeatability and reproducibility. Results were consistent with the expected result. Performance was substantially similar for both models across a range of depths and sizes of hematomas, and for skin types. Additional laboratory testing demonstrated the comparability of the device and its predicate over the range of optical densities.

Four types of tests were conducted in order to evaluate substantial equivalence between Infrascanner Model 2000 and Model 2500:

- Repeatability / Reproducibility to assess the degree of agreement between measurements conducted on the same device. The repeatability and reproducibility test data involved repeat observations with three different systems for each of the two models. Model hematomas of 5 mL and 50 mL were evaluated at depths of shallow and deep ranges at 0 cm, and 3 cm
- A greement test to assess the degree of agreement between measurements conducted by Model 2000 and Model 2500.
 The agreement test data were collected for one system of each model for hematomas of size 5 mL and 50 mL, and depths of 0-3cm below the CSF.
- Skin color test to assess the effect of the skin color on the measurements conducted by Model 2000 and Model 2500. The evaluation of the potential impact of skin color was performed for both models with hematoma size of 5 mL at depths of 0 and 2 cm, and without a model hematoma in the tank. The thickness of the film filters (0.1 mm) is similar to the thickness of human skin. Neutral Density Filters were used in to reduce light transmission similar to the behavior of skin color differences. Using those filters the OD values in the new lab tests covered the range of 5-5.8 OD to simulate light-skinned patients and 6.3-7.1 OD to simulate dark skinned subjects.

The test data sets support the conclusion that the models 2500 and 2000 are substantially equivalent across a range of simulated hematoma sizes, depths, and for light and dark skin and for adult and infant age groups.

A 4-corners approach was used for the selection and testing of the simulated hematoma size and depth and for the simulated adult age group

- Small (5 ml) and large (50 ml) hematomas
- Superficial (0 cm) and deep (2 and 3cm) hematomas
- Adult (>18 years old) ages were tested

A mixed multi-layered solid and liquid optical head phantom mimicking human tissue was used in the test. For brain tissue optical parameters simulation of an intralipid was used to control the scattering properties and a calibrated ink to control absorption properties. Including the layers of skin, scalp, skull and CSF with the liquid brain layer and blood to simulate brain hematoma.

Silicon was selected for building the phantom due to a close match to the mechanical properties of tissue. Carbon black was used as the absorbing agent, and Titanium Dioxide was used as the scattering agent. The optical absorption and scattering properties of each layer were confirmed further by optical frequency-domain measurements ystems. The optical properties of the CSF layer were those of water. Thin neutral density (ND) Wratten 2 film filters were placed over the phantom to adjust the signal level to OD values observed in the clinical studies for patients with different skin color. The thickness of the film filters is similar to the thickness of human skin. Using those filters, the OD values in the new lab tests covered the range of OD to simulate light-skinned patients and OD to simulate dark skinned subjects. The attenuation values of the filters were selected to match the OD values observed in the clinical studies. The brain model was filled with water, intralipid for scattering and a black ink for absorption. This mixture created a simulation of brain tissue. Our approach is to use ovine whole blood as a hematoma. Following prior FDA guidance, a flat hematoma model was used in this test. The flat hematoma model was built using a rectangular frame, inserted into a ball, and filled with blood. The rectangular frame forced the shape of the hematoma to remain relatively flat.

Substantial Equivalence

The subject device is as safe and effective as the predicate device. The subject device has the same indication for use, technological characteristics, and principles of operation as its predicate device.

The enhancements (such as size of the physical device, the type of battery, and type of charging station) of the Model 2500 raise no new is sues of safety or effectiveness. Bench data demonstrate the subject device is substantially equivalent to the predicate.

Conclusion

Based on the intended use, technological characteristics, comparison to the predicate device and performance testing, the modified device is substantially equivalent to the predicate device and raises no additional or different questions of safety or effectiveness